

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL INFORMATION AND GUIDELINES

1.	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAMS	
1.1	Introduction.....	
1.2	Three-Phase Programs	
1.3	Phase II Commercial Commitment and Phase III Follow-on Funding.....	
1.4	Eligibility	
1.5	Restrictions	
1.6	Support from National Laboratories, Universities, and Other Research Institutions.....	
1.7	Agreements with Research Institutions and Other Subcontractors.....	
1.8	Contact with DOE.....	
2.	DEFINITIONS.....	
2.1	Research or Research and Development.....	
2.2	Innovation	
2.3	Small Business.....	
2.4	Socially and Economically Disadvantaged Small Business	
2.5	Woman-Owned Small Business	
2.6	Subcontract	
2.7	Hubzone	
2.8	Joint Venture.....	
2.9	Research Institution	
3.	PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS AND REQUIREMENTS FOR GRANT APPLICATIONS	
3.1	General Requirements.....	
3.2	25-Page Limitation.....	
3.3	Phase I Grant Application Format	
4.	METHOD OF SELECTION AND EVALUATION CRITERIA	
4.1	Introduction.....	
4.2	Evaluation and Selection Criteria-Phase I	
4.3	Evaluation Criteria-Phase II.....	
5.	CONSIDERATIONS	
5.1	Awards	
5.2	Reports and Payments.....	
5.3	Research Involving Special Considerations.....	
5.4	Intellectual Property Including Innovations, Inventions, and Patents	
5.5	Nondiscrimination in Federally Assisted Programs	
5.6	Grantee Commitments	
5.7	Additional Information	
6.	SUBMISSION OF GRANT APPLICATIONS.....	
6.1	Number of Copies.....	

- 6.2 Deadline for Receipt of Grant Applications
- 6.3 Physical Packaging
- 6.4 Delivery.....
- 7. SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION SOURCES.....
- 7.1 National Technical Information Service.....
- 7.2 DOE Office of Scientific and Technical Information.....
- 7.3 Other Sources.....

TECHNICAL TOPIC DESCRIPTIONS

FUSION ENERGY SCIENCES

- Program Area Overview
- 1. Fusion Science and Technology
- 2. Advanced Technologies and Materials for Fusion Energy Systems
- 3. Inertial Fusion Energy.....

HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS

- Program Area Overview
- 4. High-Field Superconductor and Superconducting Magnet Technologies
for High Energy Particle Colliders.....
- 5. Advanced Concepts and Technology for High Energy Accelerators
- 6. Radio Frequency Accelerator Technology for High Energy Accelerators
and Colliders.....
- 7. Technologies for the Next-Generation Electron-Positron Linear Collider.....
- 8. High Energy Physics Detectors
- 9. High Energy Physics Data Acquisition and Processing

ADVANCED SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING RESEARCH

- Program Area Overview
- 10. High Performance Networks.....
- 11. Scalable Middleware and Grid Technologies.....
- 12. Technology for Software Libraries.....

NUCLEAR PHYSICS

- Program Area Overview
- 13. Nuclear Physics Software and Data Management.....
- 14. Nuclear Physics Electronics Design and Fabrication
- 15. Nuclear Physics Accelerator Technology.....
- 16. Nuclear Physics Detectors, Instrumentation, and Techniques.....

DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

- Program Area Overview
- 17. Technology to Support the Nuclear and Radiological National Security Program.....
- 18. Technology to Detect Nuclear Proliferation and Support Nuclear Nonproliferation
Agreements.....
- 19. Research to Support Global Nuclear Explosion Monitoring

BIOLOGICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH

- Program Area Overview
- 20. Atmospheric Measurement Technology
- 21. Carbon Cycle Measurements of the Atmosphere and the Biosphere
- 22. Biological Carbon Sequestration Research and Technology
- 23. Medical Sciences
- 24. Genomes to Life, and Related Biotechnologies.....
- 25. Measurement/Monitoring and Characterization Technologies for the Subsurface Environment

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

- Program Area Overview
- 26. Technologies to Facilitate Management of Buried Transuranic and Mixed Waste.....

NUCLEAR ENERGY, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

- Program Area Overview
- 27. Advanced Technologies for Nuclear Energy

BASIC ENERGY SCIENCES

- Program Area Overview
- 28. Materials for Advanced Nuclear Energy Systems
- 29. Advanced Fossil Fuels Research
- 30. Neutron and Electron Beam Instrumentation
- 31. Energy Storage Technologies for Electric and Hybrid Vehicles
- 32. Innovative Research for the Hydrogen Economy
- 33. Nanotechnology Applications in Industrial Chemistry.....
- 34. Reactive Separations.....
- 35. Solid State Organic Light Emitting Diodes for General Lighting

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY

- Program Area Overview
- 36. New Technologies for General Illumination Applications
- 37. Energy Efficient Membranes
- 38. Materials for Industrial Energy Systems.....
- 39. New Energy Sources.....
- 40. Sensors and Controls.....
- 41. Innovative Waste Heat Recovery.....

FOSSIL ENERGY

- Program Area Overview
- 42. Measurement and Technology for Gasification.....
- 43. Combustion Technologies and Water Resources
- 44. Materials for Advanced Power Systems
- 45. Greenhouse and Hydrogen Gas Research.....
- 46. Sequestration of Carbon.....
- 47. Natural Gas and Oil Technologies.....

FORMS

Cover Page (Appendix A).....

Project Summary (Appendix B).....

Budget Form (Appendix C)

Budget Example.....

Checklist and Level of Effort Worksheet (Appendix D)

Level of Effort Worksheet (Example)

**DOE MODEL AGREEMENT FOR PROPERTY AND
COMMERCIALIZATION RIGHTS.....**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY SOLICITATIONS
FOR THE
SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH
AND
SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAMS**

GENERAL INFORMATION AND GUIDELINES

1. DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAMS

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This document describes two solicitations under which small businesses are invited to submit grant applications to two separate Department of Energy (DOE) programs: the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program and the Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) program. These annual solicitations, the twenty-second for SBIR and the eleventh for STTR, are issued pursuant to the Small Business Innovation Development Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-219), Small Business Innovation Research Program Reauthorization Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-554), the Small Business Research and Development Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-564), and the Small Business Technology Transfer Program Reauthorization Act of 2001 (Public Law 107-50). Small businesses with strong research capabilities in science or engineering in any of the topic areas described in the Technical Topics section of this document are encouraged to participate.

The solicitations are presented in a single document because the two programs are very similar. *The major difference is that STTR grants **must** involve substantial cooperative research collaboration between the small business and a single research institution (defined in Section 2.9).* However, it should be noted that the SBIR program also permits substantial collaboration between the small business and other organizations, including research institutions. The difference is that in SBIR, the collaboration is optional, while *in STTR, the collaboration is required and must be cooperative in nature.* **In the rest of the guidelines, italics will be used to identify information that pertains exclusively to the STTR program.**

The objectives of these programs include increasing private sector commercialization of technology developed through DOE-supported R&D, stimulating technological innovation in the private sector, and improving the return on investment from federally funded research for economic and social benefits to the nation. DOE will support high-quality research or research and development (R&D) on advanced concepts concerning important mission-related scientific or engineering problems and opportunities that are likely to lead to significant public benefit if the research is successful.

1.2 THREE-PHASE PROGRAMS

These solicitations are for Phase I grant applications only, but this document describes some aspects of Phase II grants as reference information.

Phase I: Phase I grant awards from these competitions will be made during fiscal year 2004 to small businesses, in amounts up to \$100,000. The duration of Phase I will be nine months. Phase I is to evaluate, insofar as possible, the scientific or technical merit and feasibility of ideas that appear to have commercial potential. The grant application should concentrate on research that will contribute to proving scientific or technical feasibility of the approach or concept. Success in DOE Phase I is a prerequisite to further DOE support in Phase II.

Phase II: Phase II is the principal R&D effort, and only DOE Phase I grantees will be eligible to compete for subsequent Phase II continuation of their Phase I projects. Phase II awards are expected to be made during fiscal year 2005 to small businesses with

approaches that appear sufficiently promising as a result of the Phase I effort. Phase II grant awards are expected to be in amounts up to \$750,000 and cover a period of up to 24 months. Funds will be allocated over a two-year period. It is anticipated that one-third to one-half of Phase I awardees will receive Phase II awards, depending on Phase I results and availability of funds. Instructions for preparing a Phase II grant application will be provided to all Phase I grantees through a posting on the SBIR/STTR website. Phase I awardees will be advised of its availability in correspondence sent during Phase I period of performance. The work proposed for Phases I and II, assuming that it proceeds successfully, should be suitable in nature for subsequent progression to Phase III.

Phase III: Under Phase III, it is intended that non-SBIR capital be used by the small business to pursue commercial applications of the R&D. That is, the SBIR/STTR funding pays for research or R&D meeting DOE objectives identified by the DOE (Phases I and II); non-SBIR capital provides follow-on developmental funding to meet commercial objectives (Phase III). Additionally, under Phase III, Federal agencies may award non-SBIR/STTR funded follow-on grants or contracts for (1) products or processes that meet the mission needs of those agencies, or (2) further research or R&D. In some cases, these follow-on grants or contracts could be sole source awards since they represent a continuation of SBIR or STTR projects that were competitively selected in Phases I and II by scientific/technical review criteria.

1.3 PHASE II COMMERCIAL COMMITMENT AND PHASE III FOLLOW-ON FUNDING

An important goal of these programs is the commercialization of DOE-supported research or R&D. Following the start of Phase I, awardees whose research or R&D has identifiable potential to meet market needs are encouraged to seek commitments from private sector or Federal non-SBIR/STTR funding sources for both Phases II and III. (See Evaluation Criterion 3 in Section 4.3.) **The commitments should be obtained prior to the Phase II grant application submission.** The commitment for Phase III may be made contingent on the DOE-supported research or R&D meeting some specific technical objectives in Phase II, which, if met, would justify funding to pursue further development for commercial purposes in Phase III.

1.4 ELIGIBILITY

Only small businesses, as defined in Section 2.3, are eligible to receive SBIR/STTR awards. Joint ventures as defined in Section 2.8 are also permitted, provided the entity created also qualifies as a small business in accordance with the definition in Section 2.3. **Wholly owned subsidiaries are not eligible to apply;** however, their parent company may apply as long as the parent, subsidiaries included, qualifies as a small business.

The research or R&D must be performed in the United States for both Phases I and II. "United States" means the 50 states, the territories and possessions of the United States, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, and the District of Columbia.

1.5 RESTRICTIONS

1.5.1 Restrictions on Submitting Applications

a. **Choice of Topic and Subtopic – Each grant application must be submitted to only one topic and, within it, to only one subtopic** as described in the Technical Topics section. DOE will **not** assign a topic and/or subtopic to grant applications; this must be done by the applicant. When a grant application has relevance to more than one subtopic, the **applicant must decide** which subtopic is the most relevant and submit the grant application under that subtopic only.

b. **Responsiveness** – To be considered responsive, a grant application must fall within the description of the subtopic, and also satisfy any conditions contained in the introductory section of that topic. **The language in both the topic introductions and the subtopics should be taken literally. Applications that do not directly address the subtopic statement will be declined for non-responsiveness, and will not be peer reviewed.**

c. **Duplicate Applications** – Duplicate grant applications, even if submitted to different topics and/or subtopics, will be rejected without review. The application received first in time will be accepted for evaluation.

d. **Multiple Applications** – There is no limit on the number of **different** grant applications that a small business may submit, even to the same subtopic.

e. **Similar Applications** -- Similar grant applications in which some of the tasks overlap (e.g., the same technology used for different purposes), may be submitted. However, **no more than one** such similar grant application will be funded as a result of these solicitations. If a grant application has tasks which overlap with those in another grant application, the applicant must so indicate by answering “Y” for yes on Question #6 on the grant application cover page, Appendix A, and by providing the information required by Section 3.3.4.

f. **Grant Applications Being Considered for Other Funding** – If a grant application submitted in response to these solicitations contains a significant amount of essentially equivalent work as one that has been previously funded by, has been submitted to, or is about to be submitted to, another Federal agency, or to another DOE program, the applicant must so indicate by answering "Y" for yes for Question #6 on the grant application cover page, Appendix A, and by providing the information required by Section 3.3.4. If an award is made pursuant to a grant application submitted under these solicitations, the grantee will be required to certify that neither the grantee organization nor any of its employees have previously been, nor are currently being paid for essentially equivalent work by an agency of the Federal Government.

In the event the same or similar work is selected for funding by two or more agencies, the agencies, in consultation with the applicant, will determine the awarding agency.

1.5.2 Restrictions on the Principal Investigator

The Principal Investigator (PI) is the key individual designated by the applicant to direct the project. The PI must be knowledgeable in all technical aspects of the grant application and be capable of leading the research effort. **Because the DOE's evaluation of the grant application is critically dependent on the qualifications of the PI, changes in the PI that are made after award selection are strongly discouraged. Requests for PI changes will be closely scrutinized and infrequently approved, and may cause delays in grant execution.**

The PI's primary employment must be with the small business at the time of award and during the conduct of the proposed research. Primary employment means that no less than 20 hours (average) per week is spent in the employment of the small business during the conduct of the project and no more than 19 hours per week spent

in the employment of another organization. However, it is acceptable for the PI to be on an unpaid leave-of-absence from another organization during the conduct of the research project. In addition, the PI is expected to devote to the project a considerable part of his or her time. “Considerable” means at least 195 hours for both SBIR and STTR Phase I projects, **or** a minimum of five hours per week for the duration of the project. Applicants **must** state the duration of the project in weeks, if the project is to be completed in less than nine months, in order to make clear that this requirement is fully met. Also, the source of the PI's compensation for work on the project must be the small business. In order to ensure appropriate technical guidance for the project, only **one** PI will be accepted per project. Processing of proposals that include co-PIs may be delayed while the error is corrected by the applicant. Before the grant is awarded, the PI will be required to sign a statement certifying adherence to all these requirements.

1.5.3 Restrictions on the Level of Small Business Participation

For both SBIR and STTR, there are requirements on the amount of the funded research or analytical effort that must be performed by the small business (see also Section 3.3.5.a) in order to be selected for and to receive a grant. The funded research or analytical effort is defined as the total requested funding minus the cost of any purchased or leased equipment, materials, and supplies (whether purchased by the applicant, a research institution, or by any other subcontractor). Work performed by a consultant, a DOE national laboratory, or any other subcontractor, will be considered as external to the applicant organization when complying with these requirements.

To be awarded an SBIR grant, a minimum of two-thirds of the funded research or analytical effort must be allocated to the small business applicant during Phase I; correspondingly, a maximum of one-third of the effort may be allocated to consultants or subcontractors. (In Phase II, up to one-half of the effort may be allocated to consultants or subcontractors).

To be awarded an STTR grant, at least 40% of the funded research or analytical effort must be allocated to the small business, and at least 30% of the effort must be allocated to a single research institution (as defined in Section 2.8). (The same requirement is applicable for both Phase I and Phase II.)

Grant applications that include a substantial amount of cooperative research collaboration with a single

research institution can be considered for funding in both programs, thereby increasing the chances of winning an award. The required dollar amount for the research institution (RI) depends on the amount of material, equipment, and supplies in the budget. However, it is unlikely that STTR requirements can be satisfied unless the subcontract for the RI is at least \$15,000. Applicants can indicate their interest in being considered for both programs by checking the appropriate box on the grant application cover page, Appendix A. **If you choose to be considered in both programs, prepare the grant application to meet the requirements of the SBIR program.** It is understood that because some requirements differ for the two programs adjustments may be required after the grant application is selected for award. These adjustments will be addressed during the negotiation period before the grant begins.

1.5.4 Restrictions on the Management of SBIR/STTR Projects

The small business, not a subcontractor (*including the research institution in STTR*), must exercise management direction and control of the performance of the SBIR or STTR funding agreement. Regardless of the proportion of the work or funding of each of the performers under the grant, the small business is the primary grantee with overall responsibility for the grant's performance. It is recommended that all agreements between the small business and any subcontractor (*including the research institution collaborating in an STTR project*), including any business plan concerning agreements and responsibilities between the parties, or for the commercialization of the resulting technology, reflect the controlling position of the small business during the performance of Phase I or Phase II.

1.6 SUPPORT FROM NATIONAL LABORATORIES, UNIVERSITIES, AND OTHER RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS

1.6.1 Identifying Institutions

Experts at institutions such as DOE contractor-operated national laboratories, universities, colleges, or other research institutions, may be consulted during the preparation of the grant application. Any of these institutions may also serve as a subcontractor to SBIR/STTR Phase I or Phase II projects, providing technical expertise, facilities, or equipment. In such

cases, the small business must have the necessary expertise to direct the project.

For STTR, the small business must conduct cooperative R&D with a research institution (see Section 2.9). An alliance between the small business and a research institution must be formed before submitting the grant application. Grants will be awarded to the small business, which will receive all funding for the project and disperse the appropriate funds to the research institution.

Some DOE laboratories have identified technical topics for which they have expertise and available services. A list of these National Laboratory Collaboration Opportunities is available on our web page at www.science.doe.gov/sbir. Also, inquiries may be made at a local library to locate supporting expertise or facilities from an appropriate university or other research institution to assist with the proposed project. For help in contacting personnel at DOE and other Federal agency laboratories, see the Federal Laboratory Consortium (FLC) Website at <http://www.federallabs.org>, or contact the FLC Locator:

Mr. Frank Koos or Mr. Sam Samuelian
950 N. Kings Highway, Suite 208
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034
Phone: (856) 667-7727
FAX: (856) 667-8009
E-mail: fkoo@utrsmail.com
ssamuelian@utrsmail.com

1.6.2 Assurance of Need for a Federal Laboratory Subcontract

If an application is selected for award under the SBIR program that includes a subcontract to a Federally-owned, contractor-operated lab (such as Argonne National Laboratory), the SBIR office will require the awardee to complete a Certification for Using a National Laboratory as a Subcontractor form. The form is available on the Web under Program Solicitation, Forms.

1.6.3 DOE User Facilities

The Department of Energy operates a number of specialized facilities to enable scientists to carry out experiments that could not be done in the laboratories of individuals. These facilities include, but are not limited to, synchrotron radiation light sources (Advanced Light Source, National Synchrotron Light Source, Advanced Photon Source, and Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory), high-flux neutron sources (High Flux Beam

Reactor, Intense Pulsed Neutron Source, High Flux Isotope Reactor, and Neutron Scattering Center), electron-beam microcharacterization centers (Center for the Microanalysis of Materials, Electron Microscopy Center, Shared Research Equipment Program, and National Center for Electron Microscopy), particle and ion accelerators (Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider, Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility, Argonne Tandem Linac Accelerator System, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab 88-Inch Cyclotron, Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility, the Bates Linear Accelerator Center at MIT), and other specialized facilities (Surface Modification & Characterization Research Center, Combustion Research Facility, James R. MacDonald Laboratory, Pulse Radiolysis Laboratory, and Materials Preparation Center).

Potential applicants to the SBIR or STTR programs should consider whether the use of any of these facilities would contribute to the scientific efforts proposed in Phases I or II. For approved experiments (access to these facilities is through a peer-reviewed system), operating time is available without charge to those scientists whose intent is to publish their results in the open literature. If the investigator wishes to perform proprietary research, the user must pay the full-cost recovery rate for facility usage (in which case, the cost could be charged to the SBIR/STTR project); in return, the facility will treat all technical data generated as proprietary, and the user may take title to any inventions resulting from the research. Additional details on program dedicated user facilities may be found at the following websites: <http://www.sc.doe.gov/bes/BESfacilities.htm> for facilities supported by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, <http://www.sc.doe.gov/ober/facilities.html> for facilities supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Sciences. Information on other laboratory facilities which may be available on a case by case basis may be obtained through the FLC Locator or directly from the DOE laboratory involved.

1.7 AGREEMENTS WITH RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS AND OTHER SUBCONTRACTORS

1.7.1 Property and Commercialization Rights Agreements

It is in the small business's best interest, when collaborating with a research institution or other subcontractor, to negotiate a written agreement for

allocating, between the parties, intellectual property rights and rights to carry out any follow-on research, development, or commercialization. *For STTR awards only, the small business and the research institution must certify that this agreement has been completed. This certification will be requested by the Contract Specialist after award selection, but before the grant is signed.* A model agreement, which may be found at <http://www.science.doe.gov/sbir/Solicitations/FY%202002/model.htm> may be used or revised through negotiation between the small business and the research institution. The completed agreement should **not** be submitted with the grant application, but retained by the parties to the agreement. The Federal government will not be a party to any agreement between the small business and any subcontractor, including the STTR research institution. However, applicants are reminded that nothing in such agreements should conflict with any provisions setting forth the respective rights of the United States and the small business with respect to both intellectual property rights and any rights to carry out follow-on research.

1.7.2 Cooperative Research and Development Agreements

SBIR/STTR grant recipients who have chosen a DOE laboratory as a subcontractor may be required to implement a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA). CRADAs are collaborative research agreements between DOE laboratories and their partners, and are approved by the appropriate DOE Operations Office. *In many cases, the CRADA could be used as a vehicle for the property and commercialization rights agreement required by the STTR program (Section 1.7.1).*

Immediately after the applicant small business is notified that it has been chosen for an SBIR/STTR grant award, the company should contact the laboratory to determine if a CRADA will be required. If the DOE laboratory requires a CRADA, no work may be initiated by the laboratory under the grant until the CRADA has been approved.

Implementation of a CRADA begins with project definition and milestones, and leads to a statement of work. Standard terms and conditions, with a total of 60 options to provide maximum flexibility, are available from the laboratory for use by partners and laboratories. A streamlined, short-form CRADA document that can reduce the need for legal review is also available.

1.7.3 Work-for-Others Agreements

"Work-for-Others" agreements are used by DOE national laboratories when performing tasks that are less cooperative in nature than tasks that require a CRADA (i.e., the work is directed by the primary contractor rather than being fully collaborative). Nonetheless, it is recommended, even when operating under a work-for-others agreement, that the small business negotiate a written agreement for the disposition of intellectual property that laboratory employees may develop during the course of their work for the grantee.

1.7.4 When to Negotiate these Agreements

It is recommended that small business applicants to the SBIR/STTR programs attempt, to the maximum extent practicable, to negotiate these agreements before submitting the grant application. It is during this period that the small business will have maximum leverage in conducting negotiations. If satisfactory terms cannot be agreed upon at this time, the small business still would have the option of finding an alternative research institution or subcontractor. Once the grant application has been submitted to the DOE, and subsequently reviewed and selected for award, the small business may be locked-in to the subcontractor identified in the grant application. Also, after selection for award, there would only be a short time available for conducting these negotiations before the grant would begin.

1.8 CONTACT WITH DOE

General questions about the DOE SBIR/STTR programs may be addressed to the SBIR/STTR Program Office, SC-32/ Germantown Building, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585-1290, telephone (301) 903-1414, e-mail: sbir-sttr@science.doe.gov. Requests to be added to the notification list for future DOE SBIR/STTR solicitations should be forwarded to the SBIR/STTR Program office as mentioned above, by calling the DOE SBIR/STTR hotline on (301) 903-5707, or by submitting your request on-line at www.science.doe.gov/sbir. For reasons of competitive fairness, communications with DOE personnel regarding this solicitation are limited to non-technical matters and to clarifying specific language in the solicitation. Further interpretations of the narrative descriptions of the technical topics will not be provided. However, the staff of DOE national laboratories, universities, or other research institutions may provide assistance, or may even enter into an agreement to participate in a grant application, as described in Section 1.6.

No information on grant application status will be available until the final selections have been made (approximately four months after the closing date of the solicitation). However, if a grant application acknowledgment letter, with an assigned grant application number, is not received from DOE within three weeks of the closing date January 6, 2004, the applicant should telephone (301) 903-1414.

2. DEFINITIONS

The following definitions apply for purposes of this solicitation:

2.1 RESEARCH OR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Research or R&D is any scientific or engineering activity which is (1) a systematic, intensive study directed toward greater knowledge or understanding of the subject; (2) a systematic study directed specifically toward applying new knowledge to meet a recognized need; and/or (3) a systematic application of knowledge toward the production of useful materials, devices, and systems or methods, including design, development, and improvement of prototypes and new processes to meet specific requirements.

2.2 INNOVATION

Innovation is the process of introducing new ideas into use, or the process of introducing novel uses of existing ideas.

2.3 SMALL BUSINESS

A small business is one that at the time of award of Phase I (and of Phase II, if awarded):

(1) is organized for profit, with a place of business located in the United States, which operates primarily within the United States or which makes a significant contribution to the United States economy through

payment of taxes or use of American products, materials or labor;

(2) is in the legal form of an individual proprietorship, partnership, limited liability company, corporation, joint venture, association, trust or cooperative, except that where the form is a joint venture, there can be no more than 49 percent participation by foreign business entities in the joint venture;

(3) is at least 51 percent owned and controlled by one or more individuals who are citizens of, or permanent resident aliens in, the United States, except in the case of a joint venture, where each entity to the venture must be 51 percent owned and controlled by one or more individuals who are citizens of, or permanent resident aliens in, the United States; and

(4) has, including its affiliates, not more than 500 employees.

2.4 SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED SMALL BUSINESS

A socially and economically disadvantaged small business is one:

a. that is at least 51 percent owned by (i) an Indian tribe or a native Hawaiian organization, or (ii) one or more socially and economically disadvantaged individuals; and;

b. whose management and daily business operations are controlled by one or more socially and economically disadvantaged individuals. A socially and economically disadvantaged individual is defined as a member of any of the following groups: Black Americans, Hispanic Americans, Native Americans, Asian-Pacific Americans, Subcontinent Asian Americans, other groups designated from time to time by the Small Business Administration (SBA) to be socially disadvantaged, or any other individual found to be socially and economically disadvantaged by SBA pursuant to section 8(a) of the Small Business Act, 15 U.S.C. 637(a).

Related information requested in Appendix D, "Application Checklist," is provided to the Small Business Administration for statistical purposes and is not considered in the evaluation of grant applications or award of grants.

2.5 WOMAN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS

A woman-owned small business is a small business that is at least 51 percent owned by a woman or women who also control and operate it. "Control" in this context means exercising the power to make policy decisions. "Operate" in this context means being actively involved in the day-to-day management.

Related information requested in Appendix D, "Application Checklist," is provided to the Small Business Administration for statistical purposes and is not considered in the evaluation of grant applications or award of grants.

2.6 SUBCONTRACT

A subcontract is any agreement, other than one involving an employer-employee relationship, entered into by the primary recipient of a Federal Government grant, calling for supplies or services required solely for the performance of the original grant award.

2.7 HUBZONE

A "Hubzone" is a "historically underutilized business zone". To find out if your business is in a Hubzone, use the mapping utility provided by the Small Business Administration at its Hubzone Contracting web site: <https://eweb1.sba.gov/hubzone/internet/general/findout.cfm>.

Related information requested in Appendix D, "Application Checklist," is provided to the Small Business Administration for statistical purposes and is not considered in the evaluation of grant applications or award of grants.

2.8 JOINT VENTURE

A joint venture is an association between two or more firms to participate jointly in a single business enterprise. There must be a community of interests, a sharing of profits and losses, and, for the purposes of this solicitation, the new entity must qualify as a small business, as defined in Section 2.3. If a joint venture is selected for award, the Contract Specialist from the Contracting Office will request a signed agreement from the parties involved. The agreement must state which company will negotiate the grant and serve as the main point of contact.

2.9 RESEARCH INSTITUTION

A research institution is a U.S. research organization that is:

a. A **non-profit** research institution as defined in section 4(5) of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (i.e., an organization owned and operated exclusively for scientific or educational purposes, no part of the net earnings of which inures to the benefit of any private shareholders or individual), or

b. A **non-profit** college or university, or

c. A **non-profit** medical or surgical hospital, or

d. A **contractor-operated** federally-funded research and development center (FFRDC), as identified by the National Science Foundation in accordance with the government-wide Federal Acquisition Regulations issued in accordance with section 35(c) (1) of the Office

of Federal Procurement Policy Act (or any successor legislation thereto). Department of Energy FFRDCs include Ames Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Los Alamos National Laboratory, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories, Savannah River Technology Center, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, and the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility.

e. A government-owned, government-operated facility, such as the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), is **not** eligible to act as either a partner or subcontractor in DOE SBIR/STTR projects.

3. PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS AND REQUIREMENTS FOR GRANT APPLICATIONS

3.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Grant applications, submitted to DOE under SBIR/STTR programs, must provide sufficient information to convince DOE, and members of the research community who review the grant application, that the application is responsive to the topic and subtopic under which it is submitted, that the proposed work represents a sound approach to the investigation of an important scientific or engineering question, and that it is worthy of support under the stated criteria. The grant application should describe self-contained research that will contribute to proving scientific or technical feasibility of the approach or concept. It should be written with the care and thoroughness accorded papers for publication--direct, concise, informative, and free from grammar, typographical, and spelling errors. Illustrations and charts should be clearly labeled and correctly referenced in the text. Promotional and non-project-related discussion detracts from the professional quality of the proposal. The work proposed for Phase I, assuming that it proceeds successfully, should be suitable in nature for subsequent progression to Phases II and III.

Technical reviewers will base their conclusions only on information contained in the 25 pages of the grant application. Do not assume that reviewers are acquainted with the small business, key individuals, or any theory or experiments referred to, but not described. (This includes material in refereed professional journals--those in which the articles have been subjected to peer review, and material referenced on Internet web pages). Relevant journal articles should be summarized in the grant application. **Attached videos, CDs, and other media will be discarded; information provided via web links will not be reviewed.**

Specifically excluded from this solicitation are grant applications principally for literature surveys, for compilations of the work of others, for technical assessments, or for technical status surveys. If any of these types of tasks are included in the work plan, the grant (if awarded) may be reduced in proportion to that effort. In addition, grant applications primarily for the development of already proven concepts will be declined, because such efforts are considered the responsibility of the private sector.

Narrative descriptions of 47 technical topics are provided in the Technical Topics section. Each technical topic is subdivided into a maximum of 4 subtopics, designated by the letters a, b, c, or d. **A grant application must respond to a specific technical topic and, within it, to only one subtopic, as required in Section 1.5.1.b.** For example, an applicant submitting a grant application to topic 4a may not submit the same grant application to any other topic and subtopic.

3.2 25-PAGE LIMITATION

Grant applications are limited to 25 consecutively numbered pages stapled together, including cover page, project summary page, main text, references, resumes, budget, and any other enclosures or attachments. The checklist (Appendix D) and the level of effort worksheet (Appendix D backside) are not included in the 25-page limitation. **Grant applications containing more than 25 pages will not be considered for review or award. The pages must be of standard 8 1/2" x 11" size (21.6 cm x 27.9 cm). For proportionally spaced fonts, the type can be no smaller than 12 point, and for non-proportionally-spaced fonts, the type can be no smaller than 12 characters per inch (elite). Margins are not to be less than 1 inch (2.5 cm).** VCR tapes, CDs, or electronic disks will not be accepted. The listing of multiple Phase II awards, which may be required by Section 3.3.7, is exempted from the 25-page limitation.

3.3 PHASE I GRANT APPLICATION FORMAT

The items listed in this section should be covered fully and in the order set forth. In following this format, applicants should keep in mind that their grant application will be evaluated with respect to the criteria listed in Section 4.2. The application should be written so as to convince the technical reviewers that each of the criteria has been met to a high degree.

3.3.1 Introductory Pages

a. **Cover Page** – Complete the form identified as Appendix A in the solicitation. Detailed instructions are provided on the back of Appendix A. This is to be the first page on each of the required 6 copies and one original of your grant application. **No other cover page is permitted. Please do not use plastic or other heavy material covers or bindings as they slow processing of your application.**

Both the topic number and subtopic letter must be entered in the appropriate spaces on the cover page. Failure to identify both the topic and subtopic on the cover page will cause the grant application to be declined without further review.

Be sure to answer the yes/no question about collaboration with a research institution. For those that check "yes," you must indicate whether the grant application should be considered for SBIR, STTR, or both programs. For grant applications that are to be considered for both SBIR and STTR, refer to Section 1.5.3. for guidance with respect to conforming to the separate requirements for the two programs.

Applicants must provide answers to all nine Certifications and Questions. An answer of "Yes" to Certifications 1 through 3 is required to participate in the SBIR/STTR programs. If the DOE learns from any source that any of these certifications were completed fraudulently, appropriate authorities will be notified for possible criminal investigations.

Signatures of the Principal Investigator and the Corporate/Business Authorized Representative are mandatory. Also, for those grant applications that have significant collaboration with a research institution (*including all grant applications to be considered for STTR*), a signature is required from a person authorized to commit the research institution to participate in the project described in the grant application.

b. **Project Summary** – Complete the project summary form identified as Appendix B, and include it as Page 2 of your application. Make sure the topic and subtopic match those listed on the cover page. Since this summary may be made public by DOE (regardless of whether the proposal is selected for award), **do not include proprietary information on this page.** This form should be neat, clean, and typewritten.

The purpose of the technical abstract is to communicate the overall sense of the project, not every step of the work plan. Statements of future applications or public benefits belong in the section on Commercial Applications and Other Public Benefits. **Do not use acronyms, abbreviations, first-person references, or any proper names (including the name of the small business), any subcontractors or institutions, or any trade or product name.**

The Department notifies members of Congress of awards in their districts. Therefore, please provide, in clear and concise **layman's** terms, a very brief summary of the project (maximum 2 sentences, 50 words), suitable for a possible press release from a Congressional office. **Suggested Format:** First Sentence--State the problem being addressed so that the DOE interest is clear. Second Sentence--State what is being done to address the problem.

3.3.2 Significance and Background Information, and Technical Approach

a. **Identification and Significance of the Problem or Opportunity, and Technical Approach** – (Begin on page 3 of your grant application.) Define the specific technical problem or opportunity addressed by your application. Provide enough background information so that the importance of the problem/opportunity is clear. Indicate the overall technical approach to the problem/opportunity and the part that the proposed research plays in providing needed results.

b. **Anticipated Public Benefits** – Discuss the technical, economic, social, and other benefits to the public as a whole anticipated if the project is successful and is carried over into Phases II and III. Identify specific groups in the commercial sector as well as the Federal government that would benefit from the projected results. Describe the resultant product or process, the likelihood that it could lead to a marketable product, and the significance of the market.

3.3.3 The Phase I Project

a. **Technical Objectives** – State the **specific** technical objectives of the Phase I effort, including the questions it will try to answer to determine the feasibility of the proposed approach.

b. **Phase I Work Plan** – **Provide an explicit, detailed description of the Phase I research approach and work to be performed.** Indicate what will be done, by whom (small business, subcontractors, research institution, or consultants), where it will be done, and how the work will be carried out. **If applicant is making a commercial or in-kind contribution to the project, please describe in detail here.** The Phase I effort should attempt to determine the technical feasibility of the proposed concept which, if successful, would provide a firm basis for the Phase II grant application.

Link the work plan to the objectives of the proposed project. Discuss the methods planned to achieve each objective or task explicitly and in detail. **This section should be a substantial portion of the total grant application.**

Phase I Performance Schedule – Briefly describe the important milestones and the estimated percentage of time for completing each task described in the work plan.

c. **Related Research or R&D** – Demonstrate knowledge of key recent work conducted by others in the specific area of the proposed project. If not already addressed in Sections 3.3.2.a, or 3.3.3.b, describe significant research that is directly related to the grant application, including any conducted by the Principal Investigator or by the applicant organization. Describe how it relates to the proposed effort and any planned coordination with outside sources. Applicants should be or become familiar with the references provided following each topic in this solicitation.

d. **Principal Investigator and other Key Personnel** – The Principal Investigator (PI) must be knowledgeable in all technical aspects of the grant application and be capable of leading the research effort. A resume of the PI, including a list of publications (if any), must be included. It is important that the requirements described in Section 1.5.2 concerning the PI be met explicitly. Also identify other key senior personnel involved in the Phase I effort including information on directly related education and experience. List relevant publications by key personnel.

e. **Facilities/Equipment** – Describe available equipment and physical facilities necessary to carry out the Phase I effort. Items of equipment to be leased or purchased must be described and justified in this section. Equipment is defined as an article of tangible, nonexpendable, personal property, including exempt property, charged directly to the award, having a useful life of more than one year, and an acquisition cost of \$5000 or more per unit. Title to equipment purchased under this award lies with the government. It may be transferred to the grantee where such transfer would be more cost effective than recovery of the property by the government. Awardees wishing to obtain title should contact their Contract Specialist prior to project completion for the procedure to follow.

If the equipment, instrumentation, and facilities are not the property of the applicant and are not to be purchased or leased, **the source must be identified and their**

availability and expected costs specifically confirmed in this section. A principal of the organization that owns or operates the facilities / equipment must certify regarding the availability and cost of facilities/equipment and any associated technician cost; a copy of this certification must be submitted as part of the grant application.

To the extent possible in keeping with the overall purposes of the program, only American-made equipment and products should be purchased with financial assistance provided under both Phase I and Phase II awards.

f. **Consultants and Subcontractors**

(i) **Research Institution** – If the grant application contains substantial collaboration with a research institution (*required for STTR*), (1) identify the research institution and (2) describe in detail the work to be done by this institution in the Work Plan section. The research institution will be a subcontractor to the small business applicant. A research institution official's signature on the cover page commits the institution to participate in the project as described in the grant application.

(ii) **Other Consultants and Subcontractors** – Involvement of consultants or subcontractors in the planning and research stages of the project is permitted **provided the work is performed in the United States** and subject to the limitations in Section 3.3.5.a. If consultants and/or subcontractors are to be used, this section must identify them by name, identify whether the party is being proposed as a consultant versus as a subcontractor, and should reference "Letters of Commitment" provided to the applicant by the consultants and/or subcontractors and submitted as part of the application. The letters must provide a detailed cost estimate for the consultant or subcontractor, as well as a specific statement certifying that they have agreed to serve in the manner and to the extent described in the Work Plan section of the grant application. Each letter must be signed by the consultant him/herself, or, for a subcontractor, by an individual authorized to represent the subcontractor. Note: Consultants are not employees of either the small business or any subcontractor.

3.3.4 Similar Grant Applications, Proposals, or Awards

While it is permissible, with notification in the proposal or grant application, to submit identical proposals or proposals containing a significant amount of essentially equivalent work to more than one federal agency, it is

unlawful to enter into contracts or grants in which essentially equivalent efforts are performed. If a grant application contains work that has been previously funded, or is either funded, pending, or about to be submitted to another Federal agency or to the DOE in a separate action, the applicant must provide the following information in the grant application:

- The name, address, and point of contact including telephone number of the agency(s) to which a proposal or grant application was submitted, or will be submitted, or from which an award is expected or has been received.
- The date of submission or the date of award.
- The title of the grant application.
- The name and title of the project manager or Principal Investigator for each proposal or grant application submitted or award received.
- The number and date of the solicitation under which the application or award was received.
- The title of the specific research topic to which the application or award was submitted.

In the event that a proposal or grant application is selected for award by more than one agency, a negotiation will be conducted among the parties to avoid duplication of effort.

3.3.5 Budget

Complete the Grant Application Budget form, Appendix C, for the Phase I effort only, including costs for all parties. Incorporate the copy of the budget form that bears the original signature into the copy of the grant application that bears the original signatures on the cover page. **The budget form should be the last page of the grant application.** No other budget form is permitted and no revisions shall be made by the applicant. A sample budget page is provided along with Appendix C.

a. **Under SBIR Phase I, a minimum of two-thirds of the funded research or analytical effort must be performed by the proposing firm. (In Phase II, the minimum is one-half.) For STTR, Phases I and II, a minimum of 40% of the funded research or analytical effort must be performed by the small business, and at least 30% of the work must be performed by one research institution. You may not use two research institutions to meet the 30% requirement.** The funded research or analytical effort is defined as the total requested funding minus the cost of any purchased or leased equipment, materials, and supplies (whether purchased by the applicant, the research institution, or any other subcontractor). For grant applications that are

to be considered for both SBIR and STTR, refer to Section 1.5.3 for guidance with respect to conforming to the separate requirements for the two programs. A worksheet is provided on the reverse side of the Checklist (Appendix D) to assist in calculating the percent of the funded research and analytical effort allocated to each participant. **This worksheet must be completed and submitted with the grant application.** A completed example is also provided, following Appendix D. Applicants are encouraged to contact the SBIR/STTR office if there are questions about this worksheet (301-903-0569).

b. Although there is no absolute cap on indirect costs, grant applications will be evaluated for overall economy and value to DOE.

c. All employee labor costs of the applicant must be included in Section A of the budget form and all key employees of the applicant participating in the Phase I project must be identified by name. **None of the small business personnel can also be consultants or employees of a subcontractor.**

d. The principal investigator must spend a minimum of 195 hours, or at least five hours per week, on the project (see Section 1.5.2).

e. Use Section B of the budget form to identify consultants. Consultants are not employees of either the small business or any subcontractor (*including the research institution for STTR*). Consultant costs are **not** considered part of the small business's research or analytical effort (Section 3.3.5a).

f. Equipment budgets may be included under Phase I (and Phase II). Equipment to be leased or purchased by the small business should be listed in sections C and D and will be carefully reviewed relative to need and appropriateness for the research or R&D proposed. Equipment is defined as an article of tangible, nonexpendable, personal property, including exempt property, charged directly to the award, having a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of \$5000 per unit or more.

g. Travel funds, Section E, must be explained, justified, and related **to the needs of the project**. Travel expenses for technical conferences are not permitted unless the purpose of attending the conference directly relates to the project (e.g., to present results of the project). **Foreign travel is not normally an appropriate expense.**

h. In Section F, include only items which are to be acquired from outside the small business. Identify the research institution, if any, on line F5 and any other subcontractors on line F6. On line F6, identify separately the amount of subcontract work to be performed by each subcontractor. A detailed budget for each subcontract should be provided to the applicant by the proposed subcontractor, supported by a "Budget Explanation Page", and included with the application. In particular, the amount of any equipment, materials, and supplies to be purchased or leased by each subcontractor must be identified on the Budget Explanation page and the Level of Effort Worksheet (Appendix D).

i. Phase I (and Phase II) grants may include a profit or fee for the small business, and this amount should appear on line J.

j. The total Federal cost of the project (line I plus line J) should equal the amount of Federal funds requested and cannot exceed \$100,000 in Phase I. Any commercial and/or in-kind contribution to the project should be described in the text of the proposal (see section 3.3.3b) but not reflected on the budget page.

k. Tuition costs are not allowable costs and must not be included in the budget.

l. The government will only pay allowable costs. These are available from the World Wide Web at site <http://www.arnet.gov/far/loadmain.html> or a copy may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 371954, Pittsburgh, PA 15250-7954. Telephone: 202-512-1800. Fax: 202-512-2250.

Note: If your application is accepted for award, the contracting office may need additional supporting information. That office will provide you with specific instructions regarding the information to be submitted.

3.3.6 Certifications

If selected for an award, applicants may be required to sign and submit one or more of the following certifications directly to the DOE Contract Specialist **during award negotiation**.

- a. Principal Investigator Certification
- b. Assurance of Compliance
- c. Lobbying, Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters and Drug Free Workplace Requirements
- d. *Property and Commercialization Rights Agreement Certification*

For your information, samples of these certifications are available on the Web <http://www.science.doe.gov/sbir> under FY 2004 Solicitation, certifications. **Do not include them with the application.**

3.3.7 Addendum: Documentation of Multiple SBIR Phase II Awards

Public Law 102-564 requires that a small business that submits an SBIR Phase I grant application and has already received more than 15 Phase II SBIR awards, as totaled from all Federal agencies with SBIR programs, during the preceding five fiscal years, must document the extent to which it was able to secure Phase III funding to develop concepts resulting from previous Phase II awards. Accordingly, such small business concerns shall submit, for each SBIR Phase II award, the name of the awarding agency, the date of the award, the funding agreement number, the funding amount, the topic or subtopic title, the amount of follow-on funding, the source and the date that the follow on funding was provided, and the current commercialization status. **This required information will not be counted toward the grant application limitation of 25 pages,** and

should be prepared on a separate page with the heading "Addendum--Phase II History." **Only one copy is necessary,** and it should be attached to the original application.

3.3.8 Checklist and Statistical Information

Complete **both sides** of the Checklist in Appendix D and submit one copy with the grant application. **The Checklist will not be counted in the 25-page limitation of the grant application.** Read this checklist carefully to assure that a submission is not declined for administrative or budgetary reasons which could have been prevented. The reverse side of the Checklist is to assist the applicant in complying with the level of effort requirements discussed in Section 3.3.5.a.

Be sure to complete the statistical information at the bottom of the Checklist form (Appendix D). This information is required by the Small Business Administration for statistical purposes, will not be revealed to the reviewers, **and will play no role in the grant application evaluation process.**

4. METHOD OF SELECTION AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

4.1 INTRODUCTION

Phase I grant applications will be judged on a competitive basis in several stages. All will be screened initially by DOE to ensure that they (1) meet stated solicitation requirements, (2) are responsive to the topic and subtopic entered on the cover page (see definition of responsiveness in Section 1.5.1), (3) contain sufficient information for a meaningful technical review, (4) are for research or for research and development, and (5) do not duplicate other previous or current work. Grant applications which fail to pass the initial screening will be declined without further review.

Grant applications found to be in compliance with those requirements will be evaluated technically by scientists or engineers to determine the most promising technical and scientific approaches. Each grant application will be judged competitively against the Phase I evaluation criteria (see Section 4.2) on its own merit. Final decisions will be made by DOE based on the evaluation criteria and consideration of other factors, such as program balance and needs.

4.2 EVALUATION AND SELECTION CRITERIA-PHASE I

DOE plans to make selections for Phase I awards from those grant applications judged to have the highest overall merit within their technical subject area, with approximately equal consideration given to each of the following criteria:

1. Strength of the Scientific/Technical Approach as evidenced by (1) the innovativeness of the idea and the approach, (2) the significance of the scientific or technical challenge, and (3) the thoroughness of the presentation.

2. Ability to Carry out the Project in a Cost Effective Manner as evidenced by (1) the qualifications of the Principal Investigator, other key staff, and consultants, if any, and the level of adequacy of equipment and facilities; (2) the soundness and level of adequacy of the work plan to show progress toward proving the feasibility of the concept; and (3) the degree to which the DOE investment in the project would be justified by the level of proposed research effort.

3. Impact as evidenced by (1) the significance of the technical and/or economic benefits of the proposed work, if successful, (2) the likelihood that the proposed work could lead to a marketable product or process, and (3) the likelihood that the project could attract further development funding after the SBIR or STTR project ends.

The DOE will not fund any grant application for which there is a reservation with respect to any of the three evaluation criteria, as determined by the review process. In addition, because the DOE supports only high quality research and development, grant applications will be considered candidates for funding only if they receive strong endorsements with respect to at least two of the three criteria. From those grant applications considered candidates for funding, each of the participating DOE program areas will select up to a pre-determined number for funding. (The pre-determined number is proportional to a program area's monetary contribution to the SBIR/STTR programs.) Therefore, grant applications are largely in competition with other grant applications submitted to technical topics from the same DOE technical program area.

4.3 EVALUATION CRITERIA-PHASE II

Detailed instructions regarding Phase II grant application submission will be provided by DOE to all Phase I awardees. A Phase II grant application can be submitted only by a DOE Phase I awardee. It must contain enough information on progress accomplished under Phase I by the time of Phase II grant application submission to evaluate the project's promise if continued into Phase II. DOE plans to make selections for Phase II awards from those grant applications judged to have the highest overall merit within their technical subject area, with equal consideration given to each of the following criteria:

1. Strength of the Scientific/Technical Approach as evidenced by (1) the strength and innovativeness of the overall idea and approach for the combined Phase I/Phase II project, (2) the significance of the scientific or technical challenge, and (3) the thoroughness of the presentation.

2. Ability to Carry Out the Project in a Cost Effective Manner as evidenced by (1) the qualifications of the Principal Investigator, other key staff, consultants, if any, and the level of adequacy of equipment and facilities; (2) the soundness and level of adequacy of the work plan to meet the problem or

opportunity; (3) with regard to the Phase I objectives, the degree to which Phase I has proven feasibility of the concepts; and (4) the degree to which the DOE investment in the project would be justified by the level of proposed research effort.

3. Impact as evidenced by (1) the significance of the technical and/or economic benefits of the proposed work, if successful, (2) the likelihood that the proposed work could lead to a marketable product or process, and (3) the likelihood that the project could attract further development funding after the SBIR or STTR project ends. The following evidence of commercial potential will also be considered: (a) the small business concern's record of commercializing SBIR, STTR, or other research, (b) Phase II funding commitments from private sector or non-SBIR/STTR Federal funding sources, and (c) Phase III follow-on funding commitments for the subject of the research.

Phase II grant applications will be subject to a technical review process similar to Phase I. Grant applications will be judged against Phase II criteria on a competitive basis.

Final decisions will be made by DOE based on the evaluation criteria and consideration of program balance and needs.

The Phase II funding commitment described above should be an additional 20 percent or more of the Phase II funding requested from the DOE in order to receive full credit. Smaller commitments will receive partial credit. The commitment must be provided either to or by the small business during the Phase II project period. Contributions from international companies are allowed for Phase II non-SBIR/STTR follow-on funding contributions. In-kind contributions are acceptable provided the commitment is in writing, signed by a responsible official, and includes a dollar estimate of its value.

The Phase III follow-on funding commitment must provide that a specific amount of funds (at least one-half of that amount requested from DOE for Phase II) will be made available to or by the small business. Smaller commitments will receive partial credit. The commitment must be **signed** by a person with the authority to make it, indicate **when** the funds will be made available, and contain specific **technical objectives** which, if achieved in Phase II, will make the commitment exercisable by the applicant. The terms cannot be contingent on obtaining a patent because of

the length of time that process requires. Commitments by private sector firms to purchase items developed under Phase II are acceptable provided the commitment

is in writing, signed by responsible official, and includes a dollar estimate of its value.

5. CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 AWARDS

SBIR and STTR awards are subject to the availability of funds and this solicitation does not obligate DOE to make any awards under either Phase I or Phase II. For those grant applications selected for negotiation of an award, recipients may incur pre-award costs up to ninety days prior to the effective date of the award, but any pre-award expenditures are made at the recipient's risk. Approval of pre-award costs for periods preceding ninety days prior to the effective date of award by the Contracting Officer or incurrence by the recipient does not impose any obligation on DOE if an award is not subsequently made, or if an award is made for a lesser amount than the recipient expected.

Phase 1 – From this solicitation, DOE expects to award approximately 240, fixed obligation Phase I research grants ranging up to \$100,000 each to small businesses in fiscal year 2004. Selections of awards will be completed approximately four months after the closing date of the solicitation. At that time, DOE will notify by mail all applicants of the results and publicly announce the names of those firms selected for negotiation of an award. Grants are expected to begin in July 2004. The duration of Phase I will be no more than nine months.

Phase II – It is anticipated that one-third to one-half of the Phase I awardees will receive Phase II awards, depending on the results of the Phase I effort and the availability of funds. Phase I awardees may request up to \$750,000 for Phase II. The period of performance under Phase II will depend on the scope of the effort, but normally will not exceed 24 months.

Successful Phase II applicants will be issued a grant amendment covering a four-month interim period of performance while the Phase II effort is being negotiated. Should the two parties fail to agree on terms covering the Phase II effort, allowable costs incurred during the four-month interim period will be paid in accordance with Federal and DOE commercial cost principles. (See FAR, Part 31, at <http://www.gsa.gov/far/90-46/html/31PART.HTM>.)

5.2 REPORTS AND PAYMENTS

All scientific/technical reports must be submitted electronically via the DOE Energy Link System (E-Link) which is accessible through the Internet at: <http://www.osti.gov/elink-2413>. If awarded, refer to the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist (included in award document) for more detailed instructions regarding the applicable reporting requirements under the award agreement.

Detailed guidance regarding the required contents and format of scientific/technical reports is provided in DOE Directive, DOE G 241.1-1A, entitled, "Guide to the Management of Scientific and Technical Information." This guide can be accessed by selecting the link identified by the directive's title on the E-Link website at: <http://www.osti.gov/elink-2413>. If you have any questions using E-Link, please contact Kathy Waldrop, Office of Scientific and Technical Information, at (865) 576-1223 or by e-mail at 241user@osti.gov.

Payment Procedures – Details of payment procedures will be provided by the DOE Contract Specialist if a grant is issued. Fixed-obligation grants will be issued for Phase I awards. Incremental funding over a 24-month period is expected to be used with Phase II grants. **Do not send invoices to the DOE Headquarters SBIR/STTR program;** use the process provided by the Contract Specialist.

5.3 RESEARCH INVOLVING SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

If the proposed research involves human subjects or vertebrate animals, the following regulations will apply:

- a. **Human Subjects** – Guidelines to be used in safeguarding the rights and welfare of human subjects used in research supported by the Department of Energy are contained in Ch. 10, Part 745 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) available on the internet at <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx/10cfr745.html>.

b. **Animal Welfare** – Research work funded by the Department of Energy must be in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act of 1966, as amended (7 U.S.C. 2131 et seq), (9 CFR Part 1, 2, and 3).

If the proposed scientific research involves human subjects or vertebrate animals, attach a note to that effect to the Checklist, (Appendix D). (The note will not count in the page limit.) If the grant application is selected for award, the SBIR/STTR office will provide information regarding additional approvals which must be obtained prior to award.

5.4 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INCLUDING INNOVATIONS, INVENTIONS, AND PATENTS

a. **Proprietary Information** -- Information contained in unsuccessful grant applications will remain the property of the applicant. The Government will retain for three years one file copy of each unsuccessful grant application and destroy the remainder. Public release of information in any grant application submitted will be subject to existing statutory and regulatory requirements, such as the Freedom of Information and Privacy Acts.

If proprietary information is provided in a grant application that constitutes proprietary technical data, confidential personnel information, or proprietary commercial or financial information, it will be treated in confidence, to the extent permitted by law, provided this information is clearly marked by the applicant with the term "Confidential Proprietary Information" and provided appropriate page numbers are inserted into the Proprietary Notice legend printed at the bottom of the cover page (Appendix A). The Government will only withhold appropriate confidential information if requested. Applications will not automatically be withheld in their entirety unless justified by the applicant. The Government will limit dissemination of such information to official channels. Any other legend may be unacceptable to the Government and may constitute grounds for removing the grant application from further consideration and without assuming any liability for inadvertent disclosure.

b. **Protection of Grant Application Information** -- DOE's policy is to use data included in grant applications for evaluation purposes only and to protect, to the extent allowed by law, such information from unauthorized use or disclosure.

In addition to government personnel, scientists and engineers from outside the Government may be used in the grant application evaluation process. The decision to obtain outside evaluation will take into consideration requirements for the avoidance of organizational conflicts of interest and the competitive relationship, if any, between the applicant and the prospective outside evaluator. The evaluation will be performed under an agreement with the evaluator that the information contained in the grant application will be used only for evaluation purposes and will not be further disclosed.

c. **Rights in Data Developed Under SBIR/STTR Funding Agreements** – Rights in technical data, including software developed under the terms of any funding agreement resulting from grant applications submitted in response to this solicitation, shall remain with the grantee, except that the Government shall have the limited right to use such data for Government purposes and shall not release such proprietary data outside the Government without permission of the grantee for a period of not less than four years from completion of the project from which the data were generated. However, effective at the conclusion of the four-year period, the Government shall retain a royalty-free license for Government use of any technical data delivered under an SBIR/STTR award whether patented or not and shall be relieved of all disclosure prohibitions.

d. **Copyrights** – With prior written permission of the cognizant DOE Contracting Officer, the awardee may copyright and publish (consistent with appropriate national security considerations, if any) material developed with DOE support. DOE receives a royalty-free license for the Federal Government and requires that each publication contain an appropriate acknowledgment and disclaimer statement.

e. **Patents** – Small businesses may retain the principal worldwide patent rights to any invention developed with Federal support. The Government receives a royalty-free license for Federal use, reserves the right to require the patent holder to license others in certain circumstances, and requires that anyone exclusively licensed to sell must normally manufacture it domestically. Information regarding patent rights in inventions supported by Federal funding can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, 37 CFR Part 401.

f. **Distribution of Intellectual Property and Commercialization Rights Between the Small Business and Subcontractor** – When using subcontractors, including research institutions, the small business is responsible for protecting its own interests

with regard to the retention of intellectual property and commercialization rights. The negotiation of written agreements for assigning these rights is recommended and discussed in Section 1.7.

5.5 NONDISCRIMINATION IN FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS

In accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, P.L. 88-352, the applicant organization responding to this solicitation must agree to ensure that no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, or handicap, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity in which the applicant receives Federal assistance from the Department of Energy.

5.6 GRANTEE COMMITMENTS

On award of a grant, the grantee will be required to make certain legal commitments through acceptance of numerous provisions in the Phase I grant. The outline that follows is illustrative of the provisions that will be included in the Phase I grant. This is not a complete list of provisions to be included nor does it contain specific wording of these clauses.

- a. **Standards of Work** – Work performed under the grant must conform to high professional standards.
- b. **Inspection** – Work performed under the grant is subject to Government inspection and evaluation at all reasonable times.
- c. **Examination of Records** – The U.S. Comptroller General (or a duly authorized representative) shall have the right to any directly pertinent records of the grantee involving transactions related to this grant.
- d. **Default** – The government may terminate the grant if the grantee materially fails to comply with the terms and conditions of award.
- e. **Termination** – The grant may be terminated in whole or in part at any time by the government, with consent of the grantee; or by the grantee, upon written notification to DOE setting forth the reasons.
- f. **Disputes** – Any dispute concerning the grant which cannot be resolved by agreement shall be decided

by the cognizant DOE Contracting Officer with right of appeal.

g. **Grant Work Hours** – The grantee may not require an employee to work more than eight hours a day or forty hours a week unless the employee is compensated accordingly (e.g., overtime pay).

h. **Equal Opportunity** – The grantee will not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.

i. **Affirmative Action for Veterans** – The grantee will not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because he or she is a disabled veteran.

j. **Affirmative Action for Handicapped** – The grantee will not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because he or she is physically or mentally handicapped.

k. **Officials Not to Benefit** – No government official shall benefit personally from the grant.

l. **Covenant Against Contingent Fees** – No person or agency has been employed to solicit or secure the grant upon an understanding for compensation except bona fide employees or commercial agencies maintained by the grantee for the purpose of securing business.

m. **Gratuities** – The Government may terminate the grant if any gratuity has been offered to any representative of the Government to secure the grant.

n. **Patent Infringement** – The grantee shall report each notice or claim of patent infringement based on the performance of the grant.

5.7 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

a. This solicitation is intended for informational purposes and reflects current planning. If there is any inconsistency between the information contained herein and the terms of any resulting SBIR or STTR award, the terms of the award shall control.

b. Before issuing an SBIR or STTR award, the Government may request the applicant to submit certain organizational, management, personnel, and financial information to assure responsibility of the applicant.

c. Unsolicited grant applications will not be accepted under SBIR/STTR programs in either Phase I or Phase II.

d. Reviewer comments will be provided to all awardees automatically with the award letter. Unsuccessful applicants may request a debriefing **within 30 days after the announcement of the final**

selections. The small business will be provided with written information pertinent to DOE's evaluation of the grant application. The identity of reviewers or their affiliation will not be disclosed.

e. Any submission incorporating data affecting the national security will not be accepted for evaluation.

6. SUBMISSION OF GRANT APPLICATIONS

6.1 NUMBER OF COPIES

The following must be submitted:

- 1 Original application, which includes:
 - a. Cover Sheet (Appendix A),
 - b. Project Summary (Appendix B),
 - c. Main Text as required in Section 3.3,
 - d. Budget Form (Appendix C),
 - e. Budget Explanation Page,

Note: Original Signatures are required on the Cover Page and the Budget Form.

- 6 copies of the application
- 4 additional copies of the Project Summary (Appendix B)
- 1 completed Checklist and Statistical Information form (Appendix D)
- 1 Level of Effort Worksheet (reverse side of Appendix D)
- 1 addendum, "Documentation of Multiple Phase II Awards" (if appropriate)
- Note about human and/or animal subjects (if appropriate)

6.2 DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF GRANT APPLICATIONS

a. **Any grant application received after 5:00 p.m. EST on Tuesday, January 6, 2004, will be considered late unless it was sent by the U.S. Postal Service post marked no later than December 26, 2003.** Since the postmark will be the evidence on which the decision is made, it is incumbent on applicants to

assure themselves that the postmark is clear and easily legible; hand cancellation is suggested. **Late grant applications will not be eligible for award and will be declined without review. The Department takes no responsibility for applications arriving past the deadline.**

b. Modifications to grant applications that are intended to be incorporated into the review/award process will be accepted **if received by the deadline**, and are clearly marked as modifications. Please call our office (301-903-0569) if you plan to send something separate from your application.

c. If a grant application acknowledgement letter, with the grant application number endorsed on it, is not received from DOE within three weeks following the closing date of this solicitation, the applicant should telephone the SBIR/STTR Program Office promptly at (301) 903-1414.

d. Grant applications may be withdrawn by a written notice received at any time prior to award. The DOE will retain one file copy.

6.3 PHYSICAL PACKAGING

Do not use special bindings or covers. This will delay processing of your application while they are removed. Staple the pages in the upper left hand corner of each grant application. Secure packaging is mandatory. The Department will not be responsible for the processing of grant applications damaged in transit.

6.4 DELIVERY

Experience has shown that Two-Day Priority Mail and overnight express couriers do not always meet the deadline. Please plan accordingly. If using **Federal Express or hand delivery** use the following address:

U.S. Department of Energy
SBIR/STTR Program Manager, SC-32
19901 Germantown Road
Germantown, MD 20874-1290

OR

If sending by **U.S. Postal Service**, it must be postmarked no later than December 26, 2003, to:

U.S. Department of Energy
SBIR/STTR Program Manager
SC-32, Germantown Bldg.
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, D.C. 20585-1290

APPLICATIONS HAND DELIVERED TO THE WASHINGTON, D.C. ADDRESS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

ALSO, APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED BY FAX OR EMAIL.

Building Security will not let you or any courier past the security checkpoint on the DOE premises. If you plan to deliver your application in person or through a courier, the individual must stop at the security checkpoint and identify yourself and have security call 301-903-1414 for package pickup.

7. SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION SOURCES

Applicants may want to obtain scientific and technical information related to their proposed effort as background or for other purposes. Sources of this information are listed in the references for each technical topic.

7.1 NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE

Reports resulting from Federal research and those received from exchange agreements with foreign countries and international agencies are available to the public in both paper copy and microfiche through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). They may be ordered electronically from <http://www.ntis.gov> or by telephone for dispatch through regular mail for a nominal fee from:

NTIS
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
1 (800) 553-6847

Rush service (dispatched within 24 hours by an overnight courier) is available for an additional cost.

Alternatively, microfiche of unclassified, unlimited DOE reports are available for use by the public free of charge in Government Printing Office depository collections. More than 1,400 public, college, and university libraries around the country are designated as U.S. Depository Libraries. Check with a local public library. Most libraries participate in an inter-library loan service whereby one may request copies of an unavailable publication from another library which has it.

7.2 DOE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI) coordinates the Department of Energy's Technical Information Management Program. OSTI collects, preserves, and disseminates scientific and technical information resulting from DOE's research and development activities. It makes worldwide scientific and technical information available to DOE's customers and the general public. Potential SBIR applicants can obtain information from the following OSTI sources:

(1) DOE Information Bridge, a searchable web-based tool with 30,000 full-text DOE R&D reports (see Web site <http://www.osti.gov/bridge>). Note: Current DOE

contractors and grantees wishing to obtain access should call OSTI at 423-576-8401 or 423-576-0487, or E-mail informationbridge@adonis.osti.gov.

(2) R&D Project Summaries, a web-based system describing each of 15,000 DOE R&D projects (see Web site <http://www.osti.gov/rdprojects>).

(3) EnergyFiles Virtual Library Environment, a digital library of over 400 energy-related databases

and other information resources (see Web site <http://www.osti.gov/EnergyFiles>).

7.3 OTHER SOURCES

Literature and database searches for abstracts, publications, patents, lists of Federal research in progress (the FEDRIP database), and names of potential consultants in the specific research area can be obtained at good technical libraries (especially those of universities), and from some State organizations.

PROGRAM AREA OVERVIEW

FUSION ENERGY SCIENCES

<http://www.ofes.science.doe.gov>

The Department of Energy sponsors fusion science and technology research as a valuable investment in the clean energy future of this country and the world, as well as to sustain a field of scientific research - plasma physics - that is important in its own right and has produced insights and techniques applicable in other fields of science and industry. The mission of the Fusion Energy Sciences (FES) program is to acquire the knowledge base needed for an economically and environmentally attractive fusion energy source. FES research efforts seek to: (1) understand the physics of plasmas, the fourth state of matter – plasmas constitute most of the visible universe, both stellar and interstellar, and progress in plasma physics has been the prime engine driving progress in fusion research; (2) identify and explore innovative and cost-effective development paths to fusion energy – the current fusion program encourages research on a wide range of approaches including the Tokamak (the leading power plant candidate), other magnetic configurations, and inertial fusion energy using particle beams or lasers; and (3) explore the science and technology of energy producing plasmas, the next frontier in fusion research, as a partner in an international effort – reducing costs, avoiding duplication of efforts, and bringing the best available scientific and engineering talent together to seek solutions to complex problems can best be done through the cooperative efforts of the world fusion community.

This is a time of important progress and discovery in fusion research. The FES program is making great progress in understanding turbulent losses of particles and energy across magnetic field lines used to confine fusion fuels, identifying and exploring innovative approaches to fusion power that may lead to more economical power plants, and encouraging private sector interests to apply concepts developed in the fusion research program. It is felt that small businesses, by performing research within the following technical topics, can make significant contributions to these efforts. This solicitation is restricted to science and technology relevant to magnetically confined plasmas and inertial fusion energy. Grant applications pertaining to fusion energy concepts not based specifically on the use of plasmas for producing energy/electricity for non-defense purposes will be declined.

1. FUSION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

The Fusion Energy Sciences program currently supports several fusion experiments with many common objectives. These include expanding the scientific understanding of plasma behavior and improving the performance of high temperature plasma for eventual energy production. The goals of this topic are to develop and demonstrate innovative techniques, instrumentation, and concepts for measuring magnetic plasma parameters; for plasma processing; and for magnetic plasma simulation, control, and data analysis; and for innovative approaches to fusion. It is also intended that concepts developed as part of the fusion research program will have application to industries in the private sector. A list of items under the heading “Goods and Services that are needed by the Fusion laboratories” can be found in the Office of Fusion Energy Sciences Website ([URL: WWW.OFES.SCIENCE.DOE.GOV](http://WWW.OFES.SCIENCE.DOE.GOV)). Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:

a. Diagnostics for Magnetic and Inertial Fusion Plasma Research—Grant applications are sought to

develop: (1) measurement techniques for parameters such as plasma density, electron and ion temperature, plasma current and current density, plasma position and shape, impurity density, magnetic field strength, ambipolar potentials, and radiation from the plasma; (2) new diagnostics for measurements in three-dimensional plasmas characteristic of stellarators, as well as diagnostics that are especially adapted to other innovative experiments; (3) diagnostic methods for examining the edge and divertor regions in Tokamak plasmas and for understanding electron thermal transport (e.g., high-k fluctuation diagnostics, core magnetic fluctuation diagnostics, and profile diagnostics on smaller devices); (4) diagnostics applicable to the management of particle and energy inventory, to profile control and thermal barrier formation, and to burning plasmas including ITER; and (5) diagnostics for the visualization of turbulence in two and three dimensions, and the imaging of non-thermal electrons in two dimensions. Both new techniques and methods to improve the accuracy and resolution of existing diagnostics (e.g., improving the signal-to-noise ratio or extending the range of measured parameters) are of interest. Measurements must be both spatially and

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

temporarily resolved for both the absolute values of parameters and for small relative differences. Real-time measurements of the pertinent parameters will be required for providing feedback and plasma control. Further information on experiments on innovative fusion concepts is available at the OFES website.

Grant applications are also sought to apply diagnostics technology, developed for fusion energy, to the use of plasmas in manufacturing. These grant applications should show how the application of these diagnostics would contribute to the understanding of plasmas used in manufacturing, as well as provide an improved basis for modelling these plasmas.

Grant applications are also sought to develop instrumentation and time-resolved measurement techniques of high-charge-density, heavy-ion beams of energy greater than 0.5 MeV and radius ~ 1 to 5 cm. Beam parameters of interest include current, density distribution, beam position, energy, energy distribution, emittance, and space potential, in the Injector, Transport, and Final Focus sections. Of particular interest are innovative non-intercepting position detectors and optical (including scintillator-based) beam diagnostics suitable for rapid characterization of beams in both the present (0.5 to 2 MeV) and higher energy ranges, and diagnostics for characterizing trapped secondary electron distributions. Further information may be obtained in the HIF Symposia series (see reference for 12th International Symposium).

b. Components for Heating and Fueling of Fusion Plasmas and Tokamak Facility Operations—Tools are needed to support fusion experimental research in such areas as plasma heating and the control of temperature profile, plasma density, and plasma density profile. Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) components related to the generation, transmission, and launching of high power electromagnetic waves in the frequency ranges of ion cyclotron resonance heating (50 to 300 MHz), lower hybrid resonance heating (2 to 20 GHz), and electron cyclotron resonance heating (100 to 300 GHz); (2) concepts that would generate energetic neutral beams; (3) computer codes for maintainability/reliability assurance technologies and plant operation simulation codes applicable to fusion experiments; and (4) artificial intelligence techniques to monitor Tokamak plant operation and real-time or impending fault conditions. Areas of interest include: components such as power supplies, fault protection devices, antenna and launching systems, tuning and matching systems, unidirectional couplers, circulators, mode convertors, windows, output couplers, and loads;

diagnostics to evaluate the performance of these components; and energy extraction systems for spent electron beams and particle accelerators.

c. Plasma Simulation and Data Analysis—The simulation of fusion plasmas is important to the development of plasma discharge feedback and control techniques. The simulations can be used to make reliable predictions of the performance of proposed feedback and control schemes and to identify those that should be tested experimentally. Unfortunately, accurate simulations of fusion plasmas are very difficult because of the enormous range of temporal and spatial scales involved in plasma behavior. Considerable progress has been made in recent years in understanding and simulating plasma turbulence along with associated transport, macroscopic equilibrium and stability, and the behavior of the edge plasma. However, there remains a need to integrate the various plasma models. Grant applications are sought to develop computer algorithms applicable to plasma simulations that account for an expanded number of plasma features and an integration of plasma models. Some examples of possible approaches include algorithms that incorporate mathematical techniques such as neural networks, sparse linear solvers, and adaptive meshes; algorithms for coupling disparate time and space scales; efficient methods for facilitating comparison of simulation results with experimental data; and visualization tools for local and remote analysis and presentation of multi-dimensional time dependent data.

Grant applications are also sought to develop software tools useful for the analysis and distribution of fusion data. Areas of interest include methods for coupling codes across architectures and through the Internet; techniques for making highly configurable scientific codes; data management and analysis techniques for large data sets; and remote collaboration tools that enhance the ability of a geographically distributed group of scientists to interact in real time.

The computer algorithms and programming tools should be developed using modern software techniques and should be based on the best available models of plasma behavior.

d. Components for Innovative Approaches to Fusion—Innovative confinement concepts is a broad-based, long-range, fusion research activity that specifically addresses parameters that could lead to attractive and practical use of fusion power. This research includes investigations in stellarators, spherical torus, reversed field pinches, field reversed

configurations (FRC), spheromaks, magnetized target fusion, levitated dipole, flow-stabilized (long-pulse) z-pinch, rotationally stabilized magnetic mirror, inertial electrostatic confinement, and magneto-Bernoulli confinement. Grant applications are sought for scientific and/or engineering developments in support of any aspect of these research activities. In particular, plasma accelerators, capable of launching 0.1 mg to 1 mg of plasma/plasmoid to velocities in excess of 200 km/s with a timing precision better than a microsecond down to nanoseconds and with a controllable density profile of high uniformity and purity are sought. Further information on experiments on innovative fusion concepts is available at the OFES website.

Lastly, grant applications are sought to develop innovative, high-economic-value, non-electric applications that could be considered as spin-offs from fusion research, including but not limited to the production of medical isotopes, industrial applications, nuclear instrumentation, explosives detection, processing of hazardous materials, and space applications.

References:

Subtopic a: Diagnostics for Magnetic and Inertial Fusion Plasma Research

1. Helstrom, C. W., *Statistical Theory of Signal Detection*, New York: Pergamon Press, January 1968. (ISBN: 0080132650)
2. Hutchinson, I. H., *Principles of Plasma Diagnostics*, Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press, 1987 (ISBN: 0-521-326222-0)
3. Kosko, B., *Neural Networks for Signal Processing*, New York: Prentice Hall, 1992. (ISBN: 0-13-617390-X)
4. Luhmann, N. C. and Peebles, W. A., "Instrumentation of Magnetically Confined Fusion Plasma Diagnostics," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 55(3):279-331, March 1984. (ISSN: 0034-6748)
5. "Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Heavy Ion Inertial Fusion, Heidelberg, Germany, September 24-27, 1997," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research*, Section A, 415(1, 2), 1998. (ISSN: 0168-9002) (Special Issue)

6. *Report on the Workshop on Measurement Needs in Magnetic Fusion Plasmas*, Germantown, MD, February 25, 1998. (Available on the Web at: http://www.foe.er.doe.gov/More_HTML/pdffiles/diag.pdf)
7. Simpson, P. K., *Artificial Neural Systems: Foundations, Paradigms, Applications and Implementations*, New York: Pergamon Press, February 1990. (Hardcover ISBN: 0080378951; Paperback ISBN: 0080378943)
8. Stott, P. E., ed., *Diagnostics for Experimental Thermonuclear Fusion Reactors: Proceedings of the International Workshop of Diagnostics for ITER, Varenna, Italy, Aug. 28-Sept. 1, 1995*, New York: Plenum Press, 1996. (ISBN: 0-306-45297-9)

Subtopic b: Components for Heating and Fueling of Fusion Plasmas and Tokamak Facility Operations

9. Mau, T. K. and deGrassie, J., eds., *14th Topical Conference on Radio Frequency Power in Plasmas*, Oxnard, CA, May 2001, New York: American Institute of Physics, December 2001. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 595)(ISBN: 0735400385)(Ordering information available at: <http://www.springer-ny.com>)
10. Cairns, R. A. and Phelps, A. D., "Generation and Application of High Power Microwaves," *Proceedings of the Forty-Eighth Scottish Universities Summer School in Physics (SUSSP)*, St. Andrews, Scotland, August 1996 Institute of Physics Publishing, January 1997. (ISBN: 075030474X) (Ordering information available at: <http://bookmark.iop.org/browse.htm>. Using "Advanced Search," search for ISBN.)
11. Temkin, R. J., ed., *Twenty-Seventh International Conference on Infrared and Millimeter Waves*, Conference Digest, Piscataway, NJ: IEEE Press, 2002. (IEEE Catalog Number 02EX561) (ISBN 0-7803-7423-1) (Ordering information available at: <http://shop.ieee.org/store/>)
12. Foster, I., et al., *The Physiology of the Grid*, Internet Draft, June 22, 2002. (Full text available at: http://www.gridforum.org/ogsi-wg/drafts/ogsa_draft2.9_2002-06-22.pdf)

Subtopic c: Plasma Simulation and Data Analysis

13. Chervenak, A., et al., "The Data Grid: Towards an Architecture for the Distributed Management and Analysis of Large Scientific Datasets," *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 23:187-200, 2001. (Based on conference publication from Proceedings of NetStore Conference 1999) (Full text available at: <http://www.globus.org/documentation/incoming/JNCApaper.pdf>)
14. Booth, D., et al., eds., *Web Services Architecture, W3C Working Draft 14 May 2003*. (Full text available at: <http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-arch/>)
15. Gropp, W., et al., *Using MPI*, MIT Press, November 1999. (ISBN: 0-262-57132-3)
16. Oran, E. S. and Boris, J. P., *Numerical Simulation of Reactive Flow*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, December 2000. (ISBN: 0521581753)
17. Blum, J., *Numerical Simulation and Optimal Control in Plasma Physics; with Applications to Tokamaks*, New York: Wiley, 1989. (Gauthier-Villars Series in Modern Applied Mathematics) (ISBN: 0471921874)
18. Dawson, J. M., et al., "High Performance Computing and Plasma Physics," *Physics Today*, 46(3):64-70, March 1993. (ISSN: 0031-9228)
19. Bolton, F., *Pure CORBA*, 1st ed., Sams Publishing, July 16, 2001. (ISBN: 0672318121) (For more information see *Pure CORBA* Web site at: <http://www.pure-corba.com/>)

Subtopic d: Components for Innovative Approaches to Fusion

20. *ICC2003: Innovative Confinement Concepts* [Workshop], Seattle, WA, May 28-30, 2003, sponsored by U.S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy Sciences. (Abstracts and posters available at: <https://wormhole.ucllnl.org/ICC2003/abstractlist.html>)

2. ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES AND MATERIALS FOR FUSION ENERGY SYSTEMS

An attractive fusion energy source will require the development of superconducting magnets and materials as well as technologies that can withstand the high levels of surface heat flux and neutron wall loads expected for

the in-vessel components of future fusion energy systems. These technologies and materials will need to be substantially advanced relative to today's capabilities in order to achieve safe, reliable, economic, and environmentally-benign operation of fusion energy systems. A list of items under the heading "Goods and Services that are needed by the Fusion laboratories" can be found in the Office of Fusion Energy Sciences Website (URL: <http://www.ofes.science.doe.gov>). **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Structural Materials and Coatings—Grant applications are sought for research that will enable the development of advanced reduced activation materials and electrically insulating coatings. Materials systems of interest are limited to the following: (1) vanadium alloys, (2) oxide dispersion strengthened (ODS) ferritic steels, (3) high-toughness tungsten alloys, (4) SiC/SiC composite or graphite-fiber/SiC-matrix structural composites, and (5) electrically insulating coatings on vanadium to reduce magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) effects in liquid lithium cooled systems. For vanadium alloys, areas of interest include the development of improved multiphase alloys, increased oxidation resistance, and decreased sensitivity to bulk ductility degradation associated with gaseous impurity pickup. For ODS ferritic steels, areas of interest include developing low cost production techniques, improved isotropy of mechanical properties, joining methods, and the development of improved steels with the capability of operating up to ~800°C while maintaining adequate fracture toughness at room temperature and above. For tungsten alloys, areas of interest include improvements in the grain boundary strength, fracture toughness, and joining techniques. For SiC/SiC composites, the primary areas of interest are the development of radiation resistant hermetic coatings and the development of advanced joining processes; techniques to improve thermal conductivity are of secondary interest. For electrically insulating coatings, the reduction of MHD effects are of primary interest; but grant applications also must account for compatibility with both the coated vanadium alloy and a liquid lithium coolant for long time operation at 400-700°C, the use of candidate coatings on actual system components, and the long term reliability and/or *in situ* repair of defects that could develop in the coating.

Grant applications are also sought to develop: (1) innovative new modeling tools ranging from atomistic and molecular dynamics simulations of atomic collision and defect migration events (including solute binding

effects) to improved finite element analysis (mechanical deformation and fracture) or thermodynamic stability (materials by design) tools; and (2) innovative methods or experimental apparatuses that would enhance the ability to obtain key mechanical or physical property data on miniaturized specimens – of particular interest is the micromechanics evaluation of deformation and fracture processes.

In this subtopic, the emphasis is on materials for structural applications; grant applications for issues related to plasma-surface interactions will not be considered. Also, grant applications related to general fabrication techniques and the economics of SiC composite component fabrication (e.g., low cost production methods) are not of interest.

b. Particle and Heat Removal with Liquid Surfaces—Innovative liquid surface concepts are desired for heat removal from surface heat fluxes at first walls and divertors of about 2 MW/m² and 50 MW/m², respectively, with good safety, reliability, and maintenance features. Current interests are focused on evaluating the use of flowing liquids with direct exposure to the plasma that can potentially remove particles as well as surface heat. Candidate liquid metals include lithium, tin-lithium, tin, gallium, and lead-lithium. Other candidate liquids are lithium bearing salts, such as BeF₂-LiF and BeF₂-LiF-NaF. Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) techniques for the removal of first wall and divertor heat loads by free surface flowing liquids (proposed techniques should address the effect of magnetohydrodynamics on heat transfer and should also consider heat removal enhancement techniques, such as turbulence promoters); (2) efficient nonlinear solution methods, as well as alternate object-oriented languages for computational tools, to model fusion-relevant issues of liquid wall flows, such as heat transfer at free surfaces and free flows with magnetohydrodynamic effects and turbulence; (3) techniques, such as the addition of alloying materials, to improve the compatibility of candidate liquids with either the plasma operation (e.g. lowering vapor pressure) or with structural/insulator materials (e.g. ceramic insulators that can be wetted by Li); (4) nozzles for liquid injection (e.g., streams, jets, films, and droplets) and collection/removal techniques that are drip and splash free, self-cooling, and efficient in head recovery at the outlet; (5) non-invasive diagnostics for experiments to study high temperature free surface liquid flows in magnetic fields (such diagnostics might include measurements of mean flow velocity, turbulence

intensity, velocity fluctuations, flow depth, and surface/depth temperature profiles); (6) efficient techniques for pumping liquid metals in the presence of a magnetic field, including the production of free surface flows; and (7) techniques for validation of fluid flow and heat transfer models.

c. Superconducting Magnets and Materials—New or advanced superconducting magnet concepts are needed for plasma fusion confinement systems; i.e., high field magnets (12 to 20 T) and low loss pulsed magnets. Grant applications are sought for: (1) innovative and advanced materials and manufacturing processes that have a high potential for improved conductor performance and low fabrication costs; (2) cryogenic superconductor materials with high critical current density, low sensitivity to strain degradation effects, and radiation resistance; (3) novel, low-cost cable designs and fabrication techniques, which minimize conductor strain; (4) superconducting joints for high field and pulsed applications; (5) novel, advanced sensors and instrumentation for non-invasively monitoring magnet and helium parameters (e.g., pressure, temperature, voltage, mass flow, quench, etc.); (6) thick (15-30 cm) weldable structural case materials with high strength and toughness at 4 K; (7) welding techniques for such thick cryogenic structural materials; and (8) radiation-resistant electrical insulators (e.g., wrapable inorganic insulators and low viscosity organic insulators, which exhibit low out gassing under irradiation).

References:

Subtopic a: Structural Materials and Coatings

1. Bloom, E. E., “The Challenge of Developing Structural Materials for Fusion Power Systems,” *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 258-263:7-17, 1998. (ISSN: 0022-3115)
2. Bloom, E. E., et al., “Advanced Materials Program,” (Appendix C to the Virtual Laboratory for Technology Roadmap document: Baker, C. C., *The U.S. Technology Program*), January 1999. (Full text available at: <http://www.ms.ornl.gov/programs/fusionmatls/planning.htm>. Select title.)
3. *U.S. Program Planning*, U.S. DOE Oak Ridge National Laboratory, <http://www.ms.ornl.gov/programs/fusionmatls/planning.htm>

4. Ehrlich, K., et al., "International Strategy for Fusion Materials Development," *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 283-287:79-88, 2000. (ISSN: 0022-3115)
5. *Fusion Materials Science Program* U.S. DOE Office of Fusion Energy Sciences <http://www.fusionmaterials.pnl.gov/>
6. "Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Fusion Reactor Materials (ICFRM-9), Colorado Springs, CO, October 1999," *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, Vols. 283-287, 2000. (ISSN: 0022-3115)
7. Stoller, R. E., et al., *A Whitepaper Proposing an Integrated Program of Theoretical, Experimental, and Database Research for the Development of Advanced Fusion Materials*, U.S. Department of Energy, November 1999. (Full text available at: <http://www.ms.ornl.gov/programs/fusionmatls/pdf/modeling-whitepaper-final.pdf>)
8. *Fusion Materials Sciences Semiannual Progress Reports*, U.S. DOE Oak Ridge National Laboratory, <http://www.ms.ornl.gov/programs/fusionmatls/pubs/semiannual.htm>
9. Zinkle, S. J. and Ghoniem, N. M., "Operating Temperature Windows for Fusion Reactor Structural Materials," *Fusion Engineering and Design*, 49-50:709-717, 2000. (ISSN: 0920-3796)
13. *Advanced Power EXtraction (APEX)* University of California, Los Angeles <http://www.fusion.ucla.edu/APEX/>
14. Bastasz, R. and Eckstein, W., "Plasma-Surface Interactions on Liquids," *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 290-293:19-24, 2001. (ISSN: 0022-3115)
15. Mattas, R. F., et al., "ALPS - Advanced Limiter-divertor-Plasma-facing Systems," *Fusion Engineering and Design*, 49:127-134, 2000 (ISSN: 0920-3796)

Subtopic c: Superconducting Magnets and Materials

16. Seeber, B., ed., *Handbook of Applied Superconductivity*, 2 Vols., Bristol, England: Institute of Physics Publishing, January 1998. (ISBN: 0750303778)
17. Lee, P., ed., *Engineering Superconductivity*, New York: Wiley Interscience, 2001. (ISBN: 0-471-41116-7)
18. Asner, F. M., *High Field Superconducting Magnets*, Oxford, England: Oxford Science Publications, 1999. (ISBN: 0-19-851764-5) (Product description, including TOC, plus ordering information available at: http://www.oup-usa.org/toc/tc_0198517645.html)
19. Poole, C. P., Jr., et al., eds., *Handbook of Superconductivity*, Academic Press, 2000. (ISBN: 0125614608) (Ordering information and full index available at: <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/detail/-/0125614608/104-6888958-8643120?vi=glance>)
20. Iwasa, Y., *Case Studies in Superconducting Magnets: Design and Operational Issues*, New York: Plenum Press, 1994. (ISBN: 0-306-44881-5)
21. Wilson, M. N., *Superconducting Magnets*, Chilton, England: Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1983. (Monographs on Cryogenics) (ISBN: 0-19-854805-2)

3. INERTIAL FUSION ENERGY

Inertial fusion energy is produced by ignition and burn of an energy-producing target. Conditions necessary for ignition and burn result from the external application of

energy to the fuel target by an external driver. Although several drivers such as lasers and ion beams have been considered, the emphasis in the fusion energy science program is on intense heavy ion beams as drivers. These beams are produced by induction linear accelerators with components to produce, accelerate, transport, and focus beams of required energy and intensity. The Fusion Energy Sciences program in inertial fusion energy supports research and technology in the generation, transport, and measurement of these heavy ion beams. There is also interest in selected technology topics with relevance to different inertial fusion energy driver concepts. A list of items under the heading “Goods and Services that are needed by the Fusion laboratories” can be found in the Office of Fusion Energy Sciences Website (URL: WWW.OFES.SCIENCE.DOE.GOV). **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Beam Generation and Transport—Grant applications are sought for the development of high current, high brightness ion sources for heavy ion induction linacs that can produce beam currents >0.5 A with $<1 \pi$ mm-mrad emittance and short pulse lengths ~ 1 μ sec, and that can be extended to compact arrays of multiple beams. Grant applications are also sought for prototypes of multiple beam arrays of superconducting quadrupoles for multiple beam transport, the array cryostat, and cryogenic leads in a compact design that is compatible with induction acceleration modules. The focusing unit of interest consists of a doublet of quadrupole arrays in a common cryostat, with typical parameters as follows: number of channels, 4-12; lattice length, 45 cm; clear bore diameter, 50-70 mm; central field gradient above 100 T/m; and magnetic length, ~ 10 cm. Careful consideration of the termination of the magnetic fields at the periphery of the array is required to ensure adequate field quality.

b. Models for Electron Production in Accelerators for Heavy-Ion Beam-Driven Fusion—Grant applications are sought for computational modules to calculate (1) cross-sections for the production of neutrals, ions, and electrons via wall bombardment by beam ions and other species, (2) source distribution functions for the resultant products, (3) cross sections for ionization and charge-exchange of the neutrals by the ion beam, and (4) the volumetric evolution of neutral gas. Grant applications are also sought for the development of a set of subroutines suitable for straightforward inclusion into existing intense-beam simulation codes (such as WARP, BEST, and/or LSP). Initial calculations using these models should be carried out in a regime relevant to the upcoming high current

experiments at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL). The models should be sufficiently general that they can be applied to a wide variety of ion accelerators for a broad range of applications.

c. Technology for Inertial Fusion Energy (IFE)—In an inertial fusion power plant, targets must be repetitively injected into a reactor chamber and driven by either a heavy ion beam, a high power laser, or a pulsed power machine (z-pinch or magnetized target fusion). The targets must be fabricated and injected with great precision. Moreover, the target releases a high intensity burst of neutrons, energetic particles, and x-rays that must be contained within the chamber. Grant applications are sought to develop:

(1) Damage resistant chamber materials. The x-rays, neutrons, and particle debris released in inertial fusion have energies up to several MJ/m² and are emitted on a time scale from 1 ns to 100 microseconds. Wall materials must survive this environment for periods of up to several years at repetition rates up to 10 Hz. The wall materials must provide low radioactivity under neutron exposure and high temperature operation consistent with efficient power production. Innovative materials, which can withstand this environment, are sought. Schemes that can protect or shield the first wall are also of interest. In addition, innovative low-cost approaches for testing pulsed damage resistance of chamber materials are needed.

(2) Numerical models for simulating the behavior of target debris in chambers following energy release. This includes interactions of high-energy (MeV) particles with the surrounding gas/plasma mixture, high-temperature plasma dynamics and radiation processes, and effects of external magnetic fields on the transport of target debris through the chamber.

(3) Damage resistant laser optics and optics protection methods for the last optical element before the reactor chamber in a laser fusion system. Both metal mirrors and fused silica windows have been proposed for this “final optic,” but other technologies may be appropriate. The final optic must operate at 1/4 to 1/3 micron wavelength and must be protected from exposure or capable of withstanding pulsed irradiation by neutrons, x-rays, and debris. In either approach, the optical elements must survive for several years.

(4) Low-cost fabrication methods for mass-produced inertial fusion energy targets, including targets filled with deuterium-tritium fuel and coated with a protective layer. In an IFE power plant, about 500,000 cryogenic

targets must be prepared and injected each day at a rate of 5-10 Hz into a target chamber operating at elevated temperatures. These targets must be precisely made and cost less than \$0.30 each.

(5) Methods for target injection and tracking. Targets driven by heavy ion or laser beams must be injected into the chamber at a rate of 5-10 Hz, at velocities from 200 to 400 m/s, and with an acceleration approaching 1000 g. The targets also must be tracked precisely inside the chamber. Gas guns, electrostatic accelerators, and electromagnetic accelerators are being evaluated as candidate target injectors. Techniques to accurately track the target (in order to steer them or the driver beams) are also needed.

(6) Design, construction, testing, and efficient procedures for the repetitive replacement of recyclable transmission line (RTL), target assembly, and close-packed coolant. For pulsed-power drivers (z-pinch and magnetized target fusion), the RTL, target assembly, and close-packed coolant (for shock mitigation) must be repetitively replaced on a relatively slow time scale (about 0.1 Hz).

(7) Crystal growth of Yb-doped Sr₅(PO₄)₃F, or Yb:S-FAP crystals. Laser-quality crystals of dimensions 4 x 6 x 0.8 cm³ are needed for installation into the candidate IFE driver based on diode-pumped solid state lasers. All crystal growth methods will be considered including Czochralski and the Heat Exchanger Method (HEM). Currently, each method entails synthesis of the melt from the following starting materials: SrHPO₄, SrCO₃, SrF₂ and Yb₂O₃. However, other synthesis methods also are of interest. Large, high-quality crystals should be obtained by starting with a seed, and, under appropriate conditions, pulling the crystal from the melt as in the Czochralski method or directionally solidifying the melt in the case of the HEM. Seven specific defects must be managed: cloudiness in as-grown crystals, an anomalous Yb-related absorption, low-angle grain boundaries, bubble core, cracking, second phase inclusions that form around the outside of Czochralski grown crystals, and color centers in HEM crystals. Colorless, high quality, crystals with an Yb-doping level greater than or equal to 1.5×10^{19} ions/cm³ in the resulting crystal are required for laser development. In addition, the residual stress in crystals must be low enough so that slabs can be fabricated without cracking.

References:

Subtopic a: Beam Generation and Transport and Subtopic b: Models for Electron Production in Accelerators for Heavy-Ion Beam-Driven Fusion

1. Caporaso, G. J. "Progress in Induction LINACs," *Proceedings of the XX International Linac Conference, (Linac 2000), Monterey, CA, August 21-25, 2000*, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, September 2000. (Full *Linac 2000* proceedings available at: <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/econf/C000821>. For Caparaso paper, select "Author List" on left menu, scroll down to Caparaso, and select "WE101.")
2. Cook, E. G. "Review of Solid State Modulators," *Proceedings of the XX International Linac Conference, (Linac 2000), Monterey, CA, August 21-25, 2000*, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, September 2000. (Full *Linac 2000* proceedings available at: <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/econf/C000821>. For Cook paper, select "Author List" on left menu, scroll down to Cook, and select "WE103.")
3. Grote, D. P., et al., "New Methods in WARP," *Proceedings of the International Computational Accelerator Physics Conference, Monterey, CA, September 14-18, 1998*, American Institute of Physics, 1998. (Full text of paper available at: <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/icap98/papers/C-Tu08.pdf>)
4. Molvik, A. W. and Faltens, A., "Induction Core Alloys for Heavy-Ion Fusion-Energy Accelerators," *Physical Review Special Topics - Accelerators and Beams*, Vol. 5, Article 080401, August 5, 2002. (Full text available at: <http://prst-ab.aps.org/>. Search by Vol. and Article no.)
5. "Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Heavy Ion Inertial Fusion, Heidelberg, Germany, September 24-27, 1997," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research, Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 415(1, 2), 1998. (ISSN: 0168-9002) (Special Issue) (Titles and abstracts of symposium documents available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/01689002>)

6. "Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Heavy Ion Inertial Fusion, San Diego, CA, March 13-17, 2000," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 464(1-3), 2001. (ISSN: 0168-9002) (Titles and abstracts of symposium documents available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/01689002>)
7. Sabbi, G. L., et al., "Development of Superconducting Quadrupoles for Heavy Ion Fusion," *Proceedings of the 2001 Particle Accelerator Conference, Chicago, IL, June 18-22, 2001*, New York: APS/IEEE, 2001. (ISBN: 0-7803-7193-3) (IEEE Order No.01CH37268C) (Full proceedings available at: <http://pac2001.aps.anl.gov/>. Select "Conference Proceedings," and search under "Author Index.")
8. Bodner, S. E., et al., "High-Gain Direct-Drive Target Design for Laser Fusion," *Physics of Plasmas*, 7(6):2298-2301, June 2000. (ISSN: 1070-664X)
9. Callahan-Miller, D. A. and Tabak, M., "A Distributed Radiator Heavy Ion Target Driven by Gaussian Beams in a Multibeam Illumination Geometry," *Nuclear Fusion*, 39(7):883-892, July 1999. (ISSN: 0029-5515)
10. Goodin, D. T., et al., "Developing the Basis for Target Injection and Tracking in Inertial Fusion Energy (IFE) Power Plants," *Fusion Engineering and Design*, 60:26-36, 2002. (ISSN: 0920-3796)
11. Goodin, D.T., et al., "Progress Towards Demonstrating IFE Target Fabrication and Injection," *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Inertial Fusion Sciences and Applications: IFSA 2001, Kyoto, Japan, September 9-14, 2001*, p. 746, Paris: Elsevier, 2002. (ISBN: 2-84299-407-8) (ISSN: 1622-9878)
12. IFE papers in "Proceedings of the American Nuclear Society 14th Topical Meeting on the Technology of Fusion Energy, October 15-19, 2000, Park City UT," *Fusion Technology*, Vol. 39, 2001. (ISSN: 0748-1896) (To view a selection of those papers see: <http://fti.neep.wisc.edu/publist?which=uwfdm&start=50>)
13. IFE papers in "Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Fusion Nuclear Technology (ISFNT-6)," *Fusion Engineering and Design*, Vols. 61-64, 2002 (ISSN: 0920-3796)
14. Latkowski, J. F., et al., "Preliminary Safety Assessment for an IFE Target Fabrication Facility," *Fusion Technology*, 39(2,2):960, March 2001. (ISSN: 0748-1896)
15. Meier, W. R., "An Integrated Research Plan for the IFE Element of the Virtual Laboratory for Technology," *Fusion Engineering and Design*, 60(N1):37-43, 2002. (ISSN: 0920-3796)
16. Meier, W. R., et al., "Progress Toward Heavy Ion IFE," *Fusion Engineering and Design*, 62-63:577, 2002. (ISSN: 0920-3796)
17. Najmabadi, F., et al., "Assessment of Chamber Concepts for Inertial Fusion Energy Fusion Power Plants—The ARIES-IFE Study," *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Inertial Fusion Sciences and Applications: IFSA 2001, Kyoto, Japan, September 9-14, 2001*, p. 701, Paris: Elsevier, 2002. (ISBN: 2-84299-407-8) (ISSN: 1622-9878)
18. Olson, C. L., et al., "Rep-Rated Z-Pinch Power Plant Concept," *ICC 2000: Innovative Confinement Concepts Workshop, Berkeley, CA, February 22-25, 2000*. (Available at: <http://icc2000.lbl.gov/>. Select "Proceedings" on Left menu. Scroll down to "Advanced Boundary Concepts" and select title.)
19. Papers in "Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Heavy Ion Fusion," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, Vol. 464, 2001. (ISSN: 0168-9002) (Titles and abstracts of symposium documents available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/01689002>)
20. Papers in "Proceedings of the IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency) Technical Committee Meeting on Physics and Technology of IFE Targets and Chambers," *Fusion Engineering and Design*, 60(1), 2002. (ISSN: 0920-3796)
21. Petzoldt, R. W., et al., "Design of an Inertial Fusion Energy Target Tracking and Position Prediction System," *Fusion Technology*, 39(2,2):678, March 2001. (ISSN: 0748-1896)
22. Schaffers, K. I., et al., "Growth of Yb:S-FAP [Yb³⁺:Sr₅(PO₄)₃F] crystals for the Mercury laser," *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 253(1-4):297-306, June 2003. (ISSN: 0022-0248)

PROGRAM AREA OVERVIEW HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS

<http://doe-hep.hep.net/home.html>

Through fundamental research, scientists have found that all physical matter is composed of apparently point-like particles, called leptons and quarks. These constituents of matter were created following the "big-bang" which originated our universe and they are components of every object that exists today. We also understand a great deal about the four basic forces of nature which we experience: electromagnetism, the strong nuclear force, the weak nuclear force, and gravity. For example, in the past we have learned that the electromagnetic and weak forces are two components of a single force, called the electro-weak force. This unification of forces is analogous to the unification in the mid-nineteenth century of electric and magnetic forces into electromagnetism. History shows that, over a period of many years, the understanding of electromagnetism has led to many practical applications that form the technical basis of modern society.

The goal of the Department's High Energy Physics (HEP) program is to provide mankind with new insights into the fundamental nature of energy and matter and the forces that control them. This program is a major component of the Department's fundamental research mission. Such fundamental research provides the necessary foundation that enables the Nation to advance its scientific knowledge and technological capabilities, to advance its industrial competitiveness, and possibly to discover new and innovative approaches to its energy future.

Experimental research in HEP is largely performed by university scientists using particle accelerators located at major laboratories in the U.S. and abroad. Under the HEP program, the Department operates the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (Fermilab) near Chicago, IL and the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC) near San Francisco, CA. Further, the Department has a significant role in the Large Hadron Collider project under construction at the CERN laboratory in Switzerland. The Tevatron at Fermilab is currently the world's highest energy accelerator. SLAC also provides unique experimental capabilities.

While much progress has been made during the past five decades in our understanding of particle physics, future progress depends to a great degree on the availability of new state-of-the-art technology for accelerators, colliders, and detectors operating at the high energy and/or high intensity frontiers.

Within High Energy Physics, the High Energy Technology subprogram supports the research and development required to extend relevant areas of technology in order to support the operations of highly specialized accelerators, colliding beam facilities, and detector facilities which are essential to the goals of the overall High Energy Physics program. The Department of Energy SBIR program provides a focused opportunity and mechanism for small businesses to contribute new ideas and new technologies to the pool of knowledge and technical capabilities required for continued progress in high energy physics research, and to turn these novel ideas and technologies into new business ventures.

4. HIGH-FIELD SUPERCONDUCTOR AND SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET TECHNOLOGIES FOR HIGH ENERGY PARTICLE COLLIDERS

The Department of Energy High Energy Physics program supports a broad research and development (R&D) effort in the science, engineering, and technology of charged particle accelerators, storage rings, and associated apparatus. Advanced R&D is needed in support of this research in high-field superconductor and superconducting magnet technologies. This topic addresses only those superconductor and superconducting magnet development technologies that

support dipoles, quadrupoles, and higher order multipole corrector magnets for use in accelerators, storage rings, and charged particle beam transport systems. Grant applications that propose the use of third party resources (such as a DOE laboratory) must include in the application a letter of certification from an authorized official of that organization. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. High-Field Superconductor Technology—Grant applications are sought for new or improved materials and related processing techniques for high critical-current, high critical-field conductors for the production of low alternating current (AC) loss conductors used in

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

very high-field magnets. Grant applications for the improvement of starting raw materials are of particular interest. While improvements are sought for magnets above 8 Tesla, the engineering goal for the near future (7 to 10 years) is at least 15 Tesla. Vacuum requirements in accelerators and storage rings favor operating temperatures below 20 K. Applications must demonstrate such property improvements as higher critical-current densities and higher critical fields, as well as the manageable degradation of these properties as a function of applied strain. Advanced conductor fabrication techniques of interest include methods to utilize high aspect ratio stranded conductors or tape geometries in particle accelerator applications. Any proposed process improvements must result in equivalent performance at reduced cost. Materials of interest include: niobium-titanium, ternary niobium-titanium alloys, the so-called "A-15" compounds (e.g., niobium-tin and niobium-aluminum), and oxide (high temperature) superconductors. Regarding oxide superconductors, a minimum current density of 1200 A/mm² (not cm²) in the superconductor itself and a minimum current density of 250 A/mm² over a total conductor cross section, at 12 Tesla minimum and 4.2 K, must be achieved. Grant applications that address the development of A-15 and oxide superconductors must physically deliver a sufficient amount of material for winding and testing in small dipole or quadrupole magnets.

Because high performance niobium-titanium (NbTi) alloys operating above 8 Tesla appear to be required for focusing quadrupole magnets or for "low field" graded windings in higher field dipoles, grant applications are sought for NbTi composite superconductors with properties optimized at the higher field portion of the short sample curve. These grant applications must focus on conductors that will be acceptable for accelerator magnets.

Lastly, grant applications are sought for innovative insulating materials which would enable employment of new superconductors, such as the A-15 or oxide types, in practical devices. Insulating materials must be compatible with high temperature reactions in the 750-900°C range and must be capable of supporting high mechanical loads at cryogenic temperatures.

b. Superconducting Magnet Technology—Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) improved instrumentation to measure properties (such as local strain, temperature, and magnetic field) which are directly applicable to the testing of superconducting magnets; (2) improved current leads based on high-

temperature superconductors for application to high-field accelerator magnets, which have requirements that include current level at 5 kA or greater, stability, low heat leak, and good quench performance; (3) alternative designs, to traditional "cosine theta" dipole and "cosine two-theta" quadrupole magnets, that may be more compatible with the more fragile A-15 and the oxide, high-field superconductors; (4) designs for bent (e.g., bending radius in the range 0.75 to 1.25m) solenoids (e.g., 2 T, 30 cm inside diameter) with superimposed dipole fields (e.g., 1 T) for dispersion generation in large emittance beams.; (5) improved industrial fabrication methods for magnets such as welding and forming; or (6) improved cryostat and cryogenic techniques.

References:

1. Breon, Susan, et al., eds., "Advances in Cryogenic Engineering Materials," *Proceedings of the Cryogenic Engineering Conference, Madison, WI, 2001*, Vol. 47 A & B, New York: American Institute of Physics (AIP), 2002. (ISBN: 0-7354-0059-8)
2. Cifarelli, L. and Maritato, L., eds., *Superconducting Materials for High Energy Colliders: Proceedings of the 38th Workshop of the INFN Eloisatron Project, Erice, Italy, October 19-25, 1999*, River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 2001. (ISBN: 981-02-4319-7)
3. Duggan, J. L. and Morgan, I. L., eds., *Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry: Proceedings of the Sixteenth International Conference on the Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry, Denton, TX, November 1-5, 2000*, New York: American Institute of Physics, 2001. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 576) (ISBN: 0-7354-0015-6) (Available from Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. Telephone: 800-809-2247 Web site: <http://www.springer-ny.com>)
4. Luccio, A. and MacKay, W., eds., *Proceedings of the 1999 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, Mar. 27-Apr. 2, 1999*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), 1999. (ISBN: 0-7803-5575-X)
5. Mess, K. H., et al., *Superconducting Accelerator Magnets*, River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 1996. (ISBN: 981-02-2790-6)
6. "The 1998 Applied Superconductivity Conference, Palm Desert, CA, September 13-18, 1998," *IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity*, 3 Parts, 9(2), June 1999. (ISSN: 1051-8223)

7. "The 2000 Applied Superconductivity Conference, Virginia Beach, VA, September 17-22, 2000," *IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity*, 3 Parts, 11(1), March 2001. (ISSN: 1051-8223)
8. "The 2002 Applied Superconductivity Conference, Houston, TX, August 4-9, 2002," *IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity*, 3 parts, 13(2), June 2003. (ISSN: 1051-8223)

5. ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND TECHNOLOGY FOR HIGH ENERGY ACCELERATORS

The Department of Energy (DOE) High Energy Physics program supports a broad research and development (R&D) effort in the science, engineering, and technology of charged particle accelerators, storage rings, and associated apparatus. Advanced R&D is needed in support of this program in the following areas: (1) new concepts for acceleration, (2) novel device and instrumentation development, (3) inexpensive electron sources, and (4) computer software that will contribute to overall advances in accelerator technology applicable to high energy physics research. Relevance to applications in high energy physics must be explicitly described in the submitted grant applications. Advanced accelerator R&D more appropriate to applications in nuclear physics is specifically excluded from this topic and should be submitted under Topic 15. Grant applications that propose using resources of a third party (such as a DOE Laboratory) must include, in the application, a letter of certification from an authorized official of that organization. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. New Concepts for Acceleration—Grant applications are sought to develop new or improved acceleration concepts. Designs should provide very high gradient (>100 MeV/m for electrons or >10 MeV/m for protons) acceleration of intense bunches of particles, or efficient acceleration of intense (>50 mA) low energy (of order <20 MeV) proton beams. One possible concept might include the fabrication of accelerator structures from materials such as Si or SiO₂, using integrated circuit technology; in this case, power sources might include lasers. For all proposed concepts, stageability, beam stability, manufacturability, and high wall plug-to-beam power efficiency should be considered. Grant applications must address the marketability of any systems, technologies, and devices to be developed.

b. Novel Device and Instrumentation Development—Grant applications are sought for the development of electromagnetic, permanent magnet, or silicon microcircuit-based charged particle optical elements for particle beam focusing. Examples include, but are not limited to, dipoles, quadrupoles, higher order multipole correctors for use in electron linear accelerators, and solenoids for use in electron-beam or ion-beam sources or for klystron or other radio frequency amplifier tubes operating at wavelengths from 0.7 to 10 cm. In these optical elements, permanent magnets or hybrid magnets incorporating magnetic materials that have very high residual magnetization, radiation resistance, and thermal stability (low variation of field strength with temperature) are of particular interest.

Grant applications are also sought for: (1) novel charged particle beam monitors to measure the transverse or longitudinal charge distribution or emittance, or phase-space distributions of small radius (0.1 micrometers to 5 millimeters diameter), short length (10 micrometers to 10 millimeters) relativistic electron or ion beams; (2) devices capable of measuring and recording the Schottky or transition radiation spectrum of these beams (proposed techniques should be nondestructive or minimally perturbative to the beams monitored and have computer-compatible readouts); and (3) lasers for laser-accelerator applications that provide substantial improvements over currently available lasers in one or more of the following parameters: longer wavelengths (2 to 2.5 micrometers for use with Si transmissive optics), very short wavelengths (<200 nanometers) with low mode numbers (M-squared <100) and high pulse energy (>0.1 J) for photo-ionized plasma sources, higher power, higher repetition rates, or shorter pulse widths.

Grant applications are sought to develop high density (range of 10^{18} - 10^{20} cm⁻³), high repetition rate (10 Hz) pulsed gas jets, capable of producing fan-shaped gas plumes with long lengths on the centimeter scale and narrow widths of a few hundred microns. These gas jets are to be used in laser wakefield accelerators. The gas plumes should have sharp edge gradients, on the order of 100 microns. The gas jet system should have the flexibility to offer longitudinal density profile control using, for example, multi-nozzle systems produced, potentially, with Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems technology. Ideally, the pulse duration of the jets should be less than 1 ms to minimize the amount of gas loading in vacuum chambers.

Grant applications are also sought for the development of novel devices and instrumentation for use in the cooling (transverse and longitudinal emittance reduction) of muon beams. Approaches of interest include the development of: concepts or devices for ionization cooling, including emittance exchange processes; instrumentation for muon cooling channels with muon intensities of 10^{12} muons/pulse; or fast (of order 10 picosecond) timing detectors for muon cooling experiments with low muon intensity (of order 10^5 muons/second).

c. Inexpensive High Quality Electron Sources—

Grant applications are sought for the design and prototype fabrication of small, inexpensive (<\$1 million) electron sources for use in advanced accelerator R&D laboratory experiments. The following parameters are target values for accelerator research experiments: (1) energy range of 5 to 35 MeV providing, at a minimum, on the order of 10^9 electrons in a bunch less than 5 picoseconds long; (2) normalized transverse beam emittance less than or equal to 5 pi mm-mrad; and (3) pulse repetition rate greater than 10 Hz. Grant applications are also sought for significantly lower bunch charges, energies, and emittances – yet with comparable or greater peak currents and significantly higher repetition rates – for bunches from a matrix cathode. In addition, grant applications are sought to develop a bright DC/RF photocathode electron source that combines a pulsed high electric field DC gun and a high field rf accelerator, operates at a repetition rate of several kHz, and has electron bunch specifications that are similar to those listed above.

Grant applications are also sought for the development of radio frequency photocathodes (robust, with quantum efficiencies >0.1 percent) or other novel rf gun technologies operating at output electron beam energies >3 MeV. Laser or electron driven systems for such guns are also sought.

Finally, grant applications are sought for research and development on electron sources to be used as polarized beam injectors for linear accelerators, including linear colliders. These sources should be gated with pulses or pulse trains larger than 0.1 microsecond at about 100-200 pulses per second, and on semiconductor photocathode sources of electrons with polarization greater than or on the order of 80 percent and energy in the range of a few volts to several hundred kilovolts. In addition, intensity stability <1 percent is required for polarized beams in pulsed linacs.

d. Computer Software and Systems—Grant applications are solicited for developing new or improved computational tools specifically for the design, study, or operation of charged particle beam optical systems, accelerator systems, or accelerator components. Such applications should incorporate the innovative development of user-friendly interfaces with emphasis on graphical user interfaces and windows. Grant applications are also solicited for the conversion of existing codes to incorporate such interfaces, provided that existing copyrights are protected and that applications include the authors' statements of permission where appropriate.

Grant applications are also sought for improved simulation packages for injectors or photoinjectors. Specific examples include: (1) improved space-charge algorithms; (2) improved algorithms for computing self-consistently the effects of wakefields and coherent synchrotron radiation on the detailed beam dynamics; (3) improved fully 3-D algorithms for the modeling of transversely asymmetric beams; and (4) explicit end-to-end simulations that provide for more accurate beam-quality calculations in full injector systems.

Lastly, grant applications are sought to improve (1) software systems for command and control functions, real time database management, real-time or off-line modeling of the accelerator system and beam, and status display systems encountered in state-of-the-art approaches to accelerator control and optimization; and (2) decision and database management tools, specifically for use in planning and controlling the integrated cost, schedule, and resources in large high energy physics R&D and construction projects.

References:

1. Bisognano, J. J. and Mondelli, A. A., eds., *Computational Accelerator Physics, Williamsburg, VA, September 24-27, 1996*, American Institute of Physics (AIP), May 1997. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 391) (ISBN: 1-56396-671-9)*
2. Chao, A. and Tigner, M., eds., *Handbook of Accelerator Physics and Engineering*, River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 1999. (ISBN: 981-02-3858-4)
3. Chattopadhyay, S., et al., eds., *Advanced Accelerator Concepts: Seventh Workshop, Lake Tahoe, CA, October 12-18, 1996*, American Institute of Physics, 1997. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 398) (ISBN: 1-56396-697-2)*

4. Chattopadhyay, S., et al., eds., *Nonlinear and Collective Phenomena in Beam Physics–1998 ICFA Workshop, Archidosso, Italy, September 1-5, 1998*, American Institute of Physics, 1999. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 468) (ISBN: 1-56396-862-2)*
5. Clayton, C. and Muggli, P., eds., *Advanced Accelerator Concepts Workshop: Tenth Workshop, Mandalay Beach, CA, June 22-28, 2002*, American Institute of Physics, 2002. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 647) (ISBN: 0-7354-0102-0)*
6. Colestock, P. and Kelley, S., eds., *Advanced Accelerator Concepts Workshop, Santa Fe, NM, June 10-16, 2000*, American Institute of Physics, 2001. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 569) (ISBN: 0-7354-0005-9)*
7. Duggan, J. L. and Morgan, I. L., eds., *Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry: [Proceedings of the] Sixteenth International Conference on the Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry, Denton, TX, November 1-5, 2000*, New York: American Institute of Physics, July 2001. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 576) (ISBN: 0-7354-0015-6)*
8. Duggan, J. L. and Morgan, I. L., eds., *Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry: [Proceedings of the] Seventeenth International Conference on the Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry, Denton, TX, November 12-13, 2002*, New York: American Institute of Physics, August 2003. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 680) (ISBN: 0-7354-0149-7)*
9. Hettel, R. O., et al., eds., *Beam Instrumentation Workshop, Stanford, CA, May 3-7, 1998*, American Institute of Physics, 1998. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 451) (ISBN: 1-56396-794-4)*
10. Jacobs, K. and Sibley R., III, eds., *Beam Instrumentation Workshop 2000: Ninth Workshop, Cambridge, MA, May 8-11, 2000*, American Institute of Physics, 2000. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 546) (ISBN: 1-56396-975-0)*
11. Ko, K. and Ryne, R., eds., *Proceedings of the International Computational Accelerator Physics Conference, Monterey, CA, September 14-18, 1998*, Stanford, CA: Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, November 2001. (Document No. SLAC-R-580) (Full proceedings available at: <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/econf/C980914.>)
12. Kurokawa, S. et al., eds., *Beam Measurement: Proceedings of the Joint US-CERN-Japan-Russia School on Particle Accelerators, Montreux and CERN, Switzerland, May 11-20, 1998*, River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 1999. (ISBN: 981-02-3881-9)
13. Kurokawa, S., et al., eds., *Frontiers of Accelerator Technology: Proceedings of the Joint US-CERN-Japan International School, Maui, HI, November 3-9, 1994*, River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 1996. (ISBN: 981-02-2537-7)
14. Lawson, W., et al., eds., *Advanced Accelerator Concepts: Eighth Workshop, Baltimore, MD, July 6-11, 1998*, American Institute of Physics, 1999. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 472) (ISBN: 1-56396-794-4)*
15. Lee, S. Y., *Accelerator Physics*, River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 1999. (ISBN: 981-02-3710-3)
16. Lee, S. Y., ed., *Space Charge Dominated Beams and Applications of High Brightness Beams, Bloomington, IN, October 10-13, 1995*, American Institute of Physics, 1996. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 377) (ISBN: 1-56396-625-5) *
17. Lucas, P. and Webber, S., eds., *Proceedings of the 2001 Particle Accelerator Conference, Chicago, IL, June 18-22, 2001*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., 2001. (ISBN: 0-7803-7191-7)
18. Parsa, Z., ed., *Future High Energy Colliders, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Santa Barbara, CA, October 21-25, 1996*, American Institute of Physics, 1997. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 397) (ISBN: 1-56396-729-4)*
19. Parsa, Z., ed., *New Modes of Particle Acceleration–Techniques and Sources, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Santa Barbara, CA, August 19-23, 1996*, American Institute of Physics, 1997. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 396) (ISBN: 1-56396-728-6)*
20. Rosenzweig, J. and Serafini, L., eds., *The Physics of High Brightness Beams: Proceedings of the 2nd ICFA Advanced Accelerator Workshop, Los Angeles, CA, November 9-12, 1999*, River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 2000. (ISBN: 981-02-4422-3)

21. Smith, G. and Russo, T., eds., *Beam Instrumentation Workshop 2002: Tenth Workshop, Upton, NY, May 6-9, 2002*, American Institute of Physics, 2002. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 648) (ISBN: 0-7354-0103-9)*

* Available from Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. Telephone: 800-809-2247. Website: <http://www.springer-ny.com>

6. RADIO FREQUENCY ACCELERATOR TECHNOLOGY FOR HIGH ENERGY ACCELERATORS AND COLLIDERS

The Department of Energy (DOE) High Energy Physics program supports a broad research and development (R&D) effort in the science, engineering, and technology of charged particle accelerators, storage rings, and associated apparatus. Advanced R&D is needed in support of this research in: (1) high gradient accelerator structures, (2) high peak power radio frequency (rf) technologies, and (3) new concepts for low-cost, very efficient, pulse power modulators. Relevance to applications in high energy physics must be explicitly described.

Advanced accelerator R&D more appropriate to applications in nuclear physics is specifically excluded from this topic and should be submitted under Topic 15. Grant applications that propose using resources of a third party (such as a DOE laboratory) must include, in the application, a letter of certification from an authorized official of that organization. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Radio Frequency Acceleration Structures—Grant applications are sought for research on very high gradient rf accelerating structures, normal or superconducting, for use in accelerators and storage rings. Gradients >100 MeV/m for electrons and >10 MeV/m for protons in normal cavities are of particular interest, as are means for suppressing unwanted higher-order modes and reducing costs. For use in muon accelerator R&D, achieving gradients of 5-10 MeV/m for cavities with frequencies between 20 and 200 MHz is also of interest. Means for achieving unloaded voltage gradients >40 MeV/m and reducing costs in superconducting cavities are also of interest, as are methods for reducing surface breakdown and multipactoring (such as surface coatings or special geometries) and for suppressing unwanted higher order modes. Grant applications should be applicable to

devices operating at frequencies from 1.2 to 40 GHz or between 20 and 300 MHz for muon accelerators.

b. Radio Frequency Power for Linear Accelerators—Grant applications are sought for new concepts, high-power rf components, and instrumentation for producing high peak power (>75 MW at 11 GHz, appropriately reduced when scaled to higher frequencies), narrow band, low duty-cycle, low pulse repetition frequency (approximately 0.1 to 1 kHz) pulsed rf amplifiers for application to upgrading future large electron/positron linear colliders. Potential electrical efficiencies greater than 45 percent are considered essential. Of particular interest are innovations related to cost saving, manufacturability, and electrical efficiency. For example:

- (1) One way of providing rf power is the cluster klystron, a device consisting of a "cluster" of separate magnetron gun driven klystrons that share a common focusing field and accelerating gap. Such a device could give high total pulsed power with relatively small individual beam currents, and thus be capable of high efficiency. The use of magnetron guns allows the many beams to be enclosed in a compact space, and have modulation anodes that allow the current to be switched, thus eliminating the need for a pulsed high-voltage modulator. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop cluster klystrons, as well as highly stable magnetron guns for cluster klystrons.
- (2) Another way is through the use of sheet beam klystrons. Accordingly, grant applications are sought for these rf sources or their components such as single or dual sheet beam gridded or diode guns, sheet beam klystron rf structures, or whole single channel or dual channel sheet beam klystrons. Engineers at SLAC's Klystron Department are available to assure that designs match various linear collider rf system concepts. In general, these designs must be directed toward the economical construction of a klystron capable of delivering 75-120 MW of X-band (11.424 GHz) power, in a pulse length of 600 nsec – 3.2 microseconds, to accelerator loads. Two classes of klystrons are envisioned for development: first, a cathode pulsed dual sheet beam klystron delivering 120 MW of peak power, 3.2 microseconds, 120 PPS into an rf pulse compression system that combines multiple klystron power, segmented in time to drive multiple accelerator sections; and second, a grid pulsed single or dual sheet beam klystron, 75-120 MW of peak

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

power, 600 nsec, 120 PPS that directly drives a single accelerating structure – such a gridded, short-pulse klystron may provide an alternative to a pulse compression system for a linear collider.

- (3) An advanced crossed-field amplifier or magnetron for X-band linacs may be capable of operation at lower voltage and higher peak current than klystrons, which require low perveance to be efficient. Although the long-range development goal is 50-100 MW, grant applications are sought for the initial development of an amplifier targeted at 5-10 MW, possibly with permanent magnet focusing. Additional information can be provided by Sami Tantawi at SLAC (e-mail: tantawi@SLAC.Stanford.edu; phone 650-926-4454; fax: 650-926-5368).

Upgrades to the next generation linear collider will require many rf power handling components which are not presently available, e.g., rf windows, couplers, mode transformers, rf loads, and high power rings capable of operating at high pulse powers (20 - 100 MW), high frequencies (11 - 40 GHz), and pulse lengths of several microseconds. Therefore, grant applications also are sought for passive and active rf components such as over-moded mode converters from rectangular to circular waveguide and vice versa, high-power rf windows, circulators, isolators, switches, quasi-optical components, and high-power rf pulse compression methods for use in future linear colliders.

Lastly, grant applications are sought for the initial design, modeling, and development of a compact multi beam klystron (MBK) at 201.25 MHz to support proton drift tube linacs. The source must produce 5 MW pulsed RF at 201.25 MHz for 500 microseconds at 15 Hz rate. For application at Fermilab, this power source, together with its low and high level systems, must fit into a footprint of 12 feet by 24 feet with a ceiling height of 12 feet. Output power must be supplied via a 9-inch coaxial hard line that can be pressurized to 12 psig. Also, because the MBK would be part of phase and amplitude feedback loops, it must operate linearly 15 % below saturation. Efficiency of about 50 percent and gain of 50 dB are required. Tube lifetime of about 30,000 hrs is very desirable.

c. New Concepts or Components for Pulsed Power Modulators and Energy Storage—Most rf power sources for future linear colliders require high peak-power pulse modulators of considerably higher efficiency than presently available. Grant applications are sought for new types of modulators in the 400 kV - 1

MV range for driving currents of 200 - 800 A, with pulse lengths of 0.2 – 4.0 microseconds, and rise- and fall-times of less than 0.5 microsecond. Innovation related to cost saving, manufacturability, and electrical efficiency in modulators is especially important. Modulators with improved voltage control for rf phase stability in some alternate rf power systems are also sought. Of particular interest is the development of cathode modulators for driving single or double sheet beam diode gun klystrons, based on the Marx multiplier principle. This design should produce 400-500 kV, 3.2 microsecond pulses; have rise and fall times less than 600 nsec; and be compact and cost competitive compared to present cathode pulse modulator schemes.

Grant applications are also sought to develop improved high power solid-state switches for pulse power switching. For some applications, requirements will include the ability to switch high current pulses (2-5 kAmps) at voltage levels of 2 to 6 kV with switching times of less than 300 nsec. These switches must handle very high di/dt (20 kAmps/microsecond) at low duty cycle (<0.1 percent).

Existing IGBT (Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor) packages for high voltage (> 3.3kV) and high pulsed current (> 3 kAmps peak, 59 Amps average) are not optimized for very high speed pulsed power applications (6.6 MW peak for 3.2 microseconds at 120 Hz) due to failure modes induced by very rapid fall time (di/dt >10 kAmps/microsecond) and/or rise time (dV/dt >15 kV/microsecond) upon device turn-off. Therefore, grant applications are sought to reduce these failure modes through improved packaging of commercial IGBT chips, by incorporating appropriate protective circuitry in a high voltage power package designed specifically for high-speed transients. Additional information can be provided by Richard Cassel or Saul Gold at SLAC (Cassel: e-mail: rlc@SLAC.Stanford.edu; phone: 650-926-2299; fax: 650-926-3588; Gold: e-mail: slg@SLAC.Stanford.edu; 650-926-4450; fax: 650-926-3654).

Lastly, grant applications are sought to develop and optimize high reliability, high energy density energy storage capacitors for future solid state pulse power systems. The capacitors must: (1) deliver high peak pulse current (5 - 8 kAmps) in the partial discharge region (less than 10 percent voltage droop during pulse), (2) be designed with very low inductance connections to allow fast rise and fall time discharge without ringing (di/dt ~ 20 kAmps/microsecond), and (3) be packaged to meet the requirements of high power solid state board layouts and have minimum production cost.

Further information regarding the last two paragraphs can be obtained from either Ron Koontz or Saul Gold at SLAC (Koontz: e-mail: rfkap@SLAC.Stanford.edu; phone: 650-926-2528; fax: 650-926-3654; Gold: e-mail: slg@SLAC.Stanford.edu; phone: 650-926-4450; fax: 650-926-3654).

Note: See Topic 7 regarding the solicitation of grant applications for components and systems that target the presently envisioned X-band Linear Collider.

d. Radio Frequency Power for Muon Colliders—

Grant applications are sought for new concepts, approaches, or designs for radio frequency amplifiers or pulse compression schemes for use in the acceleration and ionization cooling channels of a future muon collider. The amplifiers or compressors must have high peak power (>50 MW) and pulsed, low frequency (from 2 millisecond pulses at 20 MHz to 0.1 millisecond pulses at 200 MHz). Higher power (>100 MW) pulsed sources at higher frequencies (from 30 microseconds at 400 MHz to 10 microseconds at 800 MHz) are also of interest. All muon collider amplifiers must have moderate repetition rate capability (e.g., 15 Hz). Another important factor is the cost per unit of peak power, including the cost of required power supplies.

References:

1. Carlsten, B. E., ed., *High Energy Density and High Power RF: 5th Workshop on High Density and High Power RF, Snowbird, Utah, October 1-5, 2001*, New York: American Institute of Physics, 2002. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 625) (ISBN: 0-7354-0078-4)*
2. Chattopadhyay, S., et al., eds., *Advanced Accelerator Concepts: Seventh Workshop, Lake Tahoe, CA, October 12-18, 1996*, New York: American Institute of Physics, 1997. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 398) (ISBN: 1-56396-697-2)*
3. Cline, D. B., ed., “Muon Collider Studies,” *Physics Potential and Development of $\mu^+\mu^-$ Colliders, Fourth International Conference, San Francisco, CA, December 1997*, pp. 183-344, American Institute of Physics, 1998. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 441) (ISBN: 1-56396-723-5)*
4. Cline, D. B., ed., *Physics Potential and Development of Muon Colliders and Neutrino Factories: Fifth International Conference, San Francisco, CA, December 15-17, 1999*, New York: American Institute of Physics, 2000. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 542) (ISBN: 1-56396-970-X)

5. Colestock, P. and Kelley, S., eds., *Advanced Accelerator Concepts Workshop, Santa Fe, NM, June 10-16, 2000*, New York: American Institute of Physics, 2001. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 569) (ISBN: 0-7354-0005-9)*
6. Dolgashev, V. A. and Tantawi, S. G., “2-D Simulation of High-Efficiency Cross-Field RF Power Sources,” *XX International Linac Conference, (Linac 2000), Monterey, CA, August 21-25, 2000*, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, September 2000. (Report No. SLAC-PUB-8603) (Full text available at: http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/physics/pdf/0008/0008177.pdf)
7. Duggan, J. L. and Morgan, I. L., eds., *Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry: Proceedings of the Sixteenth International Conference on the Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry, Denton, TX, November 1-5, 2000*, New York: American Institute of Physics, July 2001. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 576) (ISBN: 0-7354-0015-6)*
8. Duggan, J. L. and Morgan, I. L., eds., *Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry: [Proceedings of the] Seventeenth International Conference on the Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry, Denton, TX, November 12-13, 2002*, New York: American Institute of Physics, August 2003. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 680) (ISBN: 0-7354-0149-7)*
9. Fernow, R. C., ed., *Pulsed RF Sources for Linear Colliders Workshop, Montauk, NY, October 2-7, 1994*, New York: American Institute of Physics Press, 1995. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 337) (ISBN: 1563964082)*
10. King, B., ed., *Colliders and Collider Physics at the Highest Energies: Muon Colliders at 10 TeV to 100 TeV: HEMC '99 Workshop, Montauk, NY, Sept. 27-Oct. 1, 1999*, New York: American Institute of Physics, 2000. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 530) (ISBN: 1-56396-953-X)
11. Lawson, W., et al., eds., *Advanced Accelerator Concepts Workshop, Baltimore, MD, July 6-11, 1998*, New York: American Institute of Physics, 1999. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 472) (ISBN: 1-56396-889-4)*

12. Luccio, A. and MacKay, W., eds., *Proceedings of the 1999 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, NY, March 27-April 2, 1999*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., 1999. (ISBN: 0-7803-5575-X) (IEEE Catalogue No. 99CH36366)
13. Phillips, R. M., ed., *High Energy Density Microwaves, Pajaro Dunes, CA, October 1998*, New York: American Institute of Physics, 1999. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 474) (ISBN: 1-56396-796-0)*

* Available from Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. Telephone: 800-777-4643. Website: <http://www.springer-ny.com>

7. TECHNOLOGIES FOR THE NEXT-GENERATION ELECTRON-POSITRON LINEAR COLLIDER

The DOE High Energy Physics program supports research and development (R&D) of technologies for a TeV-scale electron-positron linear collider that would use normal-conducting X-Band (11.4 GHz) microwave power. This collider will be five to ten times the energy of present-generation linear accelerators. This topic addresses near-to-medium term developments to enhance performance and reliability and/or to reduce costs of accelerator components and infrastructures. Applications should demonstrate relevance to these issues. Grant applications that propose the use of third party resources (such as a DOE Laboratory) must include, in the application, a letter of certification from an authorized official of that organization. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Direct Current (DC) and Pulsed Power Supplies, Modulators, and Components—Advances are needed in various aspects of pulse modulators and associated components to drive klystrons in both injector and main linac applications. Grant applications are sought for:

- (1) Ultra-Reliable Capacitors of ~10-25 microfarads at 2.5 to ~6 kV to provide stored energy for partial discharge, on-off switch modulator configurations. Requirements include low loss, low inductance, high power density to minimize volume, MTBF >100,000 hours, and low cost. Long lifetime is a priority because the large numbers of such units in the modulator designs will dominate modulator reliability.

- (2) High Voltage Pulse Transformers with low leakage inductance and minimized core loss, for use in solid-state-switch driven modulators. The modulators will drive a pair of X-band klystrons at 120 Hz with ~500 kV, 520 A peak and 3 microseconds pulse-length. A preferred design would use a segmented core, a fractional-turn primary with about 12 sections, and a multi-turn basket-type secondary of about 11 turns.

Additional information can be obtained from Ray Larsen at SLAC (e-mail: larsen@SLAC.Stanford.EDU; phone: 650-926-4907; fax: 650-926-5124).

b. Manufacturing Processes and Support Technology for Microwave Power—The transmission of high power, X-band microwaves to the high-energy, X-band linear accelerators may utilize oversized, multi-mode components and waveguides with non-standard cross sections, evacuated to 10 nTorr pressure. Components for such functions as manipulating microwave modes or introducing mechanical flexibility may be irregularly shaped. They also require demanding tolerances on internal dimensions (mils), surface finishes (microns), leak rates (10^{-12} Torr-liter/sec/cm²), rf voltage hold-off (40 MV per meter), and surface conductivity (at least as good as aluminum). For these components, conventional manufacturing processes such as investment casting or electroforming are not adequate. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop appropriate techniques or manufacturing processes to economically produce these microwave components in large batches of identical parts.

Grant applications are also sought to develop or advance “first cut” (net shape or near net shape) manufacturing processes for mass production of high-conductivity (100 percent dense), oxygen-free (ASTM F.68 Metallographic Class I) copper components used in ultra-high vacuum (UHV) (equilibrium vapor pressure <1 nTorr at 300 C), high-power microwave applications. For these applications, mechanical tolerances of 50-100 micrometers must be achieved. Of particular interest are grant applications that seek to develop or advance processes for precision machining subsequent to net shaping, with dimensional and flatness tolerances of one micrometer and surface finishes of 10 nanometer (rms). Other areas of interest include (but are not limited to): powdered metallurgy with copper plating; precision mechanical measurement device(s) for RF component fabrication; development of microwave Quality Control techniques for X-band cell manufacturing, able to resolve shifts of 0.5 MHz in cell resonant frequency due to multidimensional errors; and surface treatments for

RF components and assemblies. Lastly, manufacturing processes for the mass production of ultra-high vacuum, high-power parts made from stainless steel, aluminum, or copper alloys are also of interest, provided that tolerances and applicability are similar to those listed above. All grant applications must demonstrate significant cost reduction over conventional techniques (such as current numerically controlled machining methods). Additional information can be provided by Gregg Kobliska or Harry Carter at Fermilab (Kobliska: e-mail: gregg@fnal.gov; phone: 630-840-4893; fax: 630-840-nnnn; Carter: e-mail: hfcarter@fnal.gov phone: 630-840-2458; fax: 630-840-8022).

Finally, to support the generation and transmission of high power microwaves, grant applications are sought to develop: (1) a microwave circulator and/or active switch with high efficiency for multi-megawatt power levels at 11.4 GHz [see reference 7]; (2) robust, reliable techniques for distributed pumping and/or for suppression of surface field emission in components and waveguides; (3) robust, reliable techniques for the joining components and waveguide sections in the accelerator housing [see reference 8]; or (4) new permanent magnet focusing structures with reduced cost or improved reliability for X- or S band klystrons or for X-band crossed-field amplifiers. Further information can be obtained from Sami Tantawi at SLAC (e-mail: tantawi@SLAC.Stanford.edu; phone 650-926-4454; fax: 650-926-5368).

c. Positron Target Station Systems Analysis—The high radiation environment and power dissipation requirements of the positron production target in a linear collider will force system designers to understand the design choices and trade-offs involving the targeting configuration, redundancy, operational availability, maintainability, performance, and life cycle cost. For example, should targets be swapped annually or be run until failure? Should repairs be made on demand or preemptively, when the system is hot or after a protracted cool-down period? What level of sophistication in remote handling techniques is appropriate? How do such choices affect the system configuration? Grant applications are sought for a systems analysis study, which raises different options and evaluates tradeoffs, to guide system designers in making design choices. Engineers in the NLC Positron Source R&D group at SLAC are available to work with applicants in understanding the performance considerations and past studies of failure modes and effects. For more information, contact John Sheppard at SLAC (e-mail: jcs@slac.stanford.edu, phone: 650-926-3498, fax: 650-926-5124).

d. Focusing and Auxiliary Systems—As a potentially more economical and reliable alternative to DC electromagnets, permanent magnets are under consideration. Grant applications are sought to develop engineering design and evaluation techniques applicable to permanent magnets used in linear colliders, and for a highly reliable permanent magnet quadrupole that is remotely tunable over a range of ± 20 percent relative to its nominal integrated focusing gradient (taking about 10 seconds). The quadrupole must be magnetically stable, with less than 1.4 micrometers of magnetic center shift. These specifications require symmetry and stability not previously sought from permanent magnets and greatly influence the magnetic and mechanical design of the quadrupole. A typical quadrupole will have 13-mm-diameter aperture, 430-mm length, and 0.8-Tesla pole-tip field. The operating environment that is contemplated is 10,000 Rads per year, and stable temperature near 90°F. See reference 1 for more information on this subject. Further information can be obtained from John Cornuelle, SLAC (e-mail: johnc@SLAC.Stanford.EDU; phone: 650-926-2545; fax: 650-926-5124).

The low-level microwave reference signal for a linear collider will be distributed at a sub harmonic of the linac frequency. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop 6X frequency multipliers with an output frequency of 2856 MHz, unprecedented phase stability of order 100 fs, noise floor of -160 dBc (input referred), and long-term drift not to exceed 100 fs from 20 to 40 degrees C. Additional information, can be provided by Ron Akre at SLAC (e-mail: akre@SLAC.Stanford.EDU, phone: 650-926-4754; fax: 650-926-3654).

Grant applications also are sought to develop one or both of two types of precision translation actuators suitable for integration into hundreds of mover systems (each with several degrees of freedom) for the spatial adjustment of beam line components in the radiation environment of a high-energy linear accelerator that is several miles long. The continuous adjustment of linac components will require more than 10,000 actuators (of Type 1) with load capacity of 250 kg, resolution of 1 micron, range of plus or minus 1 mm, stability of 1 micron per day, maximum speed of 2 mm/min, power of 20 W at full speed, and average unit cost below \$200. The final focusing magnets will require tens of even more precise actuators (Type 2) with 1000 kg load capacity, 0.1 micron resolution, plus or minus 0.5 mm range, 0.5 micron per day stability, and 100 W power at full speed. The latter type should be functional at 4 Tesla and 3 degrees Kelvin, and may cost more than the Type 1 actuators. Both types should use less than 2 W when static and should fail safely when power is

removed. Localized position readout would be desirable as an independent supplement to the precision measurements by beam position monitors. Further information can be obtained from Gordon Bowden at SLAC, (e-mail: gbb@SLAC.Stanford.edu; phone: 650-926-2991; fax: 650-926-5368.)

In addition, grant applications are sought for an optical real-time network for pulsed-accelerator control. This development requires combining timing information with data-communication functions on a single optical fiber connected to pulsed device-controllers. These controllers provide interfaces to systems for such functions as low-level RF signal generation, modulator control, beam position monitors, and machine protection system sensing. A single fiber should provide each controller with an RF-synchronized clock that has an arrival time phase-locked to the temperature-stabilized RF reference phase, a similarly-locked machine pulse fiducial point, digital data for machine pulse-type selection and specific pulse identification, and real-time-streaming pulsed waveform data-acquisition capabilities. The network should provide real-time fast feedback loop closure and TCP/IP connectivity for slow control functions, such as database access, device configuration, and code downloading and debugging.

Finally, proposals are sought for real-time processors and software for pulsed accelerator control and monitoring, based on a multiprocessor architecture that can be deeply embedded within pulsed device-controllers employing system-on-a-chip, field-programmable gate-array or application-specific integrated circuit technologies. These architectures should feature distinct processors for pulse-to-pulse real-time functions and conventional slow control functions. Architectural provisions for supporting machine protection functions via an additional processor or dedicated hardware should also be included. These processor designs for pulsed device controllers and the timing/communications network mentioned in the previous paragraph should be engineered for both resistance to electromagnetic interference generated by nearby, large, pulsed-power systems and maximum availability in remote deployment locations.

Further information on the preceding two paragraphs can be obtained from Ray Larsen at SLAC (e-mail: larsen@SLAC.Stanford.EDU; phone: 650-926-4907; fax: 650-926-5124).

References:

1. *2001 Report on the Next Linear Collider: A Report submitted to Snowmass 2001.* By NLC Collaboration. SLAC-R-571, 157 pp. Prepared for APS / DPF / DPB *Summer Study on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2001)*, Snowmass, CO, June 30-July 21, 2001. (Full text available at: <http://www-project.slac.stanford.edu/lc/wkshp/snowmass2001/>)
2. Bellomo, P., et al., "A Novel Approach to Increasing the Reliability of Accelerator Magnets," *IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity*, 10(1): 284-287, March 2000. (ISSN: 1051-8223)
3. Dolgashev, V. A. and Tantawi, S. G., "2-D Simulation of High-Efficiency Cross-Field RF Power Sources," *XX International Linac Conference, (Linac 2000)*, Monterey, CA, August 21-25, 2000, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, September 2000. (Report No. SLAC-PUB-8603) (Full text available at: http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/physics/pdf/0008/0008177.pdf)
4. *Lehman DOE Review, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Menlo Park, CA, May 24-28, 1999.* (Full text available at: <http://www-project.slac.stanford.edu/lc/nlc-tech.html>. On left menu select "NLC Technical," and then "Technical Review Calendars." Select "1999 Chronological Listing," scroll down to "May 24-28," and open link labeled "Lehman DOE Review.")
5. Loew, G., ed., *International Linear Collider Technical Review Committee Report*, 1995. (Full text available at: <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/ilc-trc/toc.html>. Best viewed using Netscape 3.0, downloadable from site.)
6. *Next Linear Collider Modulator Workshops, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Menlo Park, CA, June 23-25, 1999.* (R&D Plan Overview and Summary of Presentations and Discussions available at: <http://www-project.slac.stanford.edu/lc/local/Reviews/modulators/workshop/mod-wrkshop.htm>)
7. *Proceedings of the 7th International Workshop on Linear Colliders (LC 97), Zvenigorod, Russia, Sept. 29-Oct. 3, 1997.* (Full text available at: <http://www.desy.de/conferences/LC97/proceed/html/proceed.htm>)

8. Tantawi, S. G., "New Development in RF Pulse Compression," *20th International Linac Conference, Monterey, CA, August 21-25, 2000*, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, 2000. (Report No. SLAC-PUB-8582) (Full text available at: <http://arXiv.org/pdf/physics/0008204/>)
9. Volk, J. T., et al., "Adjustable Permanent Quadrupoles for the Next Linear Collider," *Proceedings of the [IEEE] 2001 Particle Accelerator Conference, (PAC 2001), Chicago, IL, June 18-22, 2001*, 1:217-219, IEEE, 2001. (Full text available at: <http://fnalpubs.fnal.gov/archive/2001/conf/Conf-01-093.pdf>)
10. The NLC Design Group, *NLC ZDR: Zeroth-Order Design Report for the Next Linear Collider*, a report submitted to Snowmass 1996, Stanford, CA: Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, May 1996. (Report No. SLAC-R-474) (Full text available at: <http://www.slac.stanford.edu/pubs/slacreports/slac-r-474.html>)

* See Solicitation General Information and Guidelines, section 7.1.

8. HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS DETECTORS

The Department of Energy (DOE) supports research and development in a wide range of technologies essential to experiments in high energy physics and to the accelerators at DOE high energy accelerator laboratories. The development of advanced technologies for particle detection and identification for use in high energy physics experiments or particle accelerators is desired. Principal areas of interest include particle detectors based on new techniques and technological developments (e.g., superconductivity or solid-state devices) or detectors which can be used in novel ways as a consequence of associated technological developments in electronics (e.g., sensitivity or bandwidth), with particular interest in devices exhibiting insensitivity to very high radiation levels. Also of interest are novel experimental systems that use new detectors or use old ones in new ways that either extend basic high energy physics experimental research capabilities or result in less costly and less complex apparatus. Grant applications must clearly and specifically indicate their particular relevance to high energy physics programmatic activities.

Although particle physics detector development is often concentrated at major national particle accelerator

centers, there are many developmental endeavors, especially in collaborative efforts, where small businesses can make creative and innovative contributions that further develop the required advanced technologies. Nonetheless, applicants are encouraged to collaborate with active high energy elementary particle physicists at universities or national laboratories to establish mutually beneficial goals. On-line directories of appropriate researchers are available at <http://www.hep.net/sites/directories.html>. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Particle Detection and Identification Devices— Grant applications are sought for novel devices in the areas of charged and neutral particle detection and identification. Examples include, but are not limited to, semiconductor particle detectors (silicon, CVD diamond, or other semiconductors), light-emitting particle detectors (scintillating materials including fibers and crystals or Cherenkov radiators), photosensitive detectors that could be used with light-emitting detectors (photomultipliers, micro-channel plates, photosensitive semiconductors), gas or liquid-filled chambers (used for particle tracking or in electromagnetic or hadronic calorimeters, Cherenkov or transition radiation detectors).

The proposed devices must be explicitly related to future high-energy physics experiments, either accelerator or non-accelerator based, or to future uses in particle accelerators. Relevant potential improvements over existing devices and techniques must be discussed explicitly (e.g., radiation hardness, energy, position, and timing resolution, sensitivity, rate capability, stability, dynamic range, durability, cost). Electromagnetic calorimeters, also called shower counters or gamma ray detectors, must be optimized for photons with energies above 1 GeV. X-ray detectors are not relevant to this topic.

b. Detector Support and Integration Components— High energy physics experiments frequently require high performance detector support that will not compromise the precision of the detectors. Therefore, grant applications are sought for components used to support or integrate detectors into high-energy physics experiments. The support components must be well matched to the detectors and possess some or all of the following features: low mass, high strength or stiffness, low intrinsic radioactivity, exceptionally high or exceptionally low thermal conductivity, and low cost. Grant applications are also sought for alignment and cooling systems.

References:

1. Abe, F., et al., "The CDF Detector: An Overview," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research, Section A—Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 271(3):387-403, September 1988. (ISSN: 0168-9002)
2. Amidei, D., et al., "The Silicon Vertex Detector of the Collider Detector at Fermilab," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 350(1-2):73-130, October 15, 1994. (ISSN: 0168-9002)
3. Bock, R. K. and Regler, M., *Data Analysis Techniques in High Energy Physics Experiments*, Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press, 1990. (ISBN: 0-521-34195-7)
4. Bromley, D. A., "Evolution and Use of Nuclear Detectors and Systems," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research*, 162(1-3):1-8, June 15, 1979. (ISSN: 0029-554X)
5. Cline, D. B., "Low-Energy Ways to Observe High-Energy Phenomena," *Scientific American*, 271(3):40-47, September 1994. (ISSN: 0036-8733)
6. Duggan, J. L. and Morgan, I. L., eds., *Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry: Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on the Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry, Denton, TX, November 4-7, 1998*, New York: American Institute of Physics, 1999. (ISBN: 1-56396-825-8) (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 475) (Available from Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. Telephone: 800-777-4643. Web site: <http://www.springer-ny.com>)
7. Kleinknecht, K., *Detectors for Particle Radiation*, Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press, 1986. (ISBN: 0-521-30424-5)
8. Litke, A. M. and Schwarz, A. S., "The Silicon Microstrip Detector," *Scientific American*, 272(5):76-81, May 1995. (ISSN: 0036-8733)
9. Perkins, D. H., *An Introduction to High Energy Physics*, Addison-Wesley Longman, 1982. (ISBN: 0-201-05757-3)

9. HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING

The Department of Energy supports the development of advanced electronics and computational technologies for the recording, processing, storage, distribution, and analysis of experimental data that is essential to experiments and particle accelerators used for high energy physics research. Areas of present interest include event triggering, data acquisition, scalable clustered computer systems, distributed collaborative infrastructure, distributed data management and analysis frameworks, and distributed software development useful to high energy physics experiments and particle accelerators. Grant applications must clearly and specifically indicate their relevance to present or future high energy physics programmatic activities.

Although particle physics detector instrumentation, data processing and analysis, and software development typically occur in large collaborative efforts at national particle accelerator centers, there are efforts where small businesses can make innovative and creative contributions to the further development of the required advanced technologies. Applicants are encouraged to collaborate with active high energy elementary particle physicists at universities or national laboratories to establish mutually beneficial goals. On-line directories of appropriate researchers are available by institution at <http://www.hep.net/sites/directories.html>. Grant applications that propose using the resources of a third party (such as a DOE laboratory) must include, in the application, a letter of certification from an authorized official of that organization. Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:

a. High-Speed Electronic Instrumentation—Grant applications are sought to develop components, electronics, systems, and instrumentation modules as follows:

(1) Special purpose chips and devices are sought for use in the internal circuitry employed in large particle detectors. Desirable features include low noise, low power consumption, high packing density, radiation resistance, very high response speed, and/or high adaptability to situations requiring multiple parallel channels. Desirable functions include amplifiers, counters, analog pulse storage devices, decoders, encoders, analog-to-digital converters, controllers, and communications interface devices.

(2) Circuits and systems are sought for rapidly processing data from particle detectors such as proportional wire chambers, scintillation counters, silicon microstrip detectors, particle calorimeters, and Cerenkov counters. Representative processing functions and circuits include low noise pulse amplifiers and preamplifiers, high speed counters (>300 MHz), and time-to-amplitude converters. Compatibility with one of the widely used module interconnection standards (e.g., FASTBUS, or VMEbus) is highly desirable, as would be low power consumption, high component density, and/or adaptability to large numbers of multiple channels.

(3) Advanced, high speed logic arrays and microprocessor systems are sought for fast event identification, event trigger generation, and data processing with very high throughput capability. Such systems should be compatible with or implemented in one of the widely used module interconnection standards (e.g., FASTBUS, or VMEbus).

(4) Much of the electronics instrumentation in use in high energy physics is packaged in one of the international module inter-connection standards (e.g., FASTBUS, or VMEbus). Therefore, grant applications are sought for modules that will provide capabilities not previously available; for substantial performance enhancement to existing types of modules; and for components, devices, or systems that will enhance or significantly extend the capability or functionality of one of the standard systems. Examples include large and/or fast buffer memories, single module computer systems (either general purpose or special purpose), display modules, interconnection systems, communication modules and systems, and disk-drive interface modules.

b. Large Scale Analysis Computer Systems—Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) computer system components and supporting software enabling large scale and open use of storage networks, especially for magnetic disks, optical disks, and magnetic tapes; (2) computer system components and supporting software enabling the use of TCP/IP protocols in a more efficient manner over a local area network; (3) computer software or systems for monitoring and operating heterogeneous computer systems and networks for functionality, performance, and manageability criteria (also, ease of software installation on hundreds of computers would be desired); (4) methods for integrating distributed authority and access control into distributed data systems; and/or (5) improvements to the quality,

reliability and cost effectiveness of petabyte storage systems. Proposed efforts must address identified computing problems related to diverse, large scale computing systems that support particle physics analysis or accelerator control.

c. Distributed Collaborative Infrastructure and Distributed Data Management and Analysis Frameworks—Advanced computational tools and software are needed to strengthen the ability of dispersed particle physics researchers to collaborate and to address problems related to the acquisition, handling, storage, analysis, and visualization of large datasets by these distributed collaborations. Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) client-server frameworks and Web tools for creating collaborative environments, facilitating remote participation of detector experts at the data collection stage, and allowing collaborators to remotely monitor experiments; (2) software project management tools; (3) computer system components and supporting software incorporating the use of Quality of Service features generally available in wide area networks; (4) portable systems to hold very large collections of data of the type created in connection with the operation of very large detectors, along with data management tools; (5) visualization and software environments appropriate for physics analysis; (6) software to support data systems distributed over a wide area network; (7) framework, interconnects, and other peripherals which allow the use and orderly aggregation of commodity computers and computer peripherals at larger than normal scales, or at higher performance levels than usual; and/or (8) software development tools for the production of computer software to meet identified problems related to distributed, large scale software development, configuration management, and data analysis – approaches of interest include distributed portable testing and Computer Aided Software Engineering (CASE), including configuration management tools for a portable, distributed environment; (9) Web tools for remote data selection ("skimming"); and (10) neural nets for optimization of data cuts and pattern recognition.

References:

1. *1991 Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference, Santa Fe, New Mexico, November 2-9, 1991, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 39(4): 486-1179, August 1992. (ISSN: 0018-9499)

2. *ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Technical Proposal for a General-Purpose pp Experiment at the Large Hadron Collider at CERN*, Geneva, Switzerland: CERN - European Laboratory for Particle Physics, December 1994. (Document No. CERN LHCC 94 43) (Available at: http://weplib.cern.ch/Home/Library_Catalogue/Articles_and_Preprints/. Using "NEW SEARCH ENGINE," search for: "CERN/LHCC/94-43" within "report number.")
3. *ATLAS DAQ, EF, LVL2 and DCS Technical Progress Report and Workplan* CERN--European Laboratory for Particle Physics <http://atlasinfo.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/DAQTRIG/TPR/tpr.html>
4. Bromley, D. A., "Evolution and Use of Nuclear Detectors and Systems," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research*, 162(1-3, pt. I): 1-8, 1979. (ISSN: 0029-554X)
5. *Documents Relating to US-CMS Software and Computing*, CERN--European Laboratory for Particle Physics <http://cmsdoc.cern.ch/~cmscan/usecmssw/documents.html>
6. Duggan, J. L. and Morgan, I. L., eds., *Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference, Denton, TX, November 6-9, 1996*, 2 Vols., New York: American Institute of Physics, May 1997. (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 392) (ISBN: 1-563-96652-2) (Available from Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. Telephone: 800-777-4643. Web site: <http://www.springer-ny.com>)
7. Fifth Conference on Real-Time Computer Applications in Nuclear, Particle, and Plasma Physics, San Francisco, CA, May 12-14, 1987, *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, NS-34(4), August 1987. (ISSN: 0018-9499)
8. *IEEE Standard FASTBUS Modular High-Speed Data Acquisition and Control System: An American National Standard*, 1993. (ISBN: 1559373962)
9. *IEEE Standard for VMEbus Extensions for Instrumentation, VXI-Bus*, September 1992. (ISBN: 1559372605)
10. *IEEE Standard Modular Instrumentation and Digital Interface System (CAMAC)*, February 26, 1982. (ISBN: 1559376325)
11. *IEEE Standard for a Versatile Backplane Bus: VMEbus*, October 1985. (ISBN: 1559376740)
12. Kleinknecht, K., *Detectors for Particle Radiation*, Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press, 1986. (ISBN: 0521304245)
13. Perkins, D. H., *An Introduction to High Energy Physics*, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1982. (ISBN: 0-201-05757-3)
14. Regler, M., et al., *Data Analysis Techniques in High Energy Physics Experiments*, Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press, 1990. (ISBN: 0521341957)

PROGRAM AREA OVERVIEW ADVANCED SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING RESEARCH

<http://www.sc.doe.gov/ascr/mics/index.html>

The Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research (ASCR) supports research in computational technology and laboratory technology research, subprograms that underlie a variety of Department of Energy missions.

ASCR's primary mission, carried out by the Mathematical, Information, and Computational Sciences subprogram, is to discover, develop, and deploy the computational and networking tools that enable researchers in the scientific disciplines to analyze, model, simulate, and predict complex phenomena important to the Department of Energy. To accomplish this mission the program fosters and supports fundamental research in advanced scientific computing – applied mathematics, computer science, and networking – and operates supercomputer, networking, and related facilities. The applied mathematics research efforts provide the fundamental mathematical methods to model complex physical and biological systems. The computer science research efforts enable scientists to efficiently run these models on the highest performance computers available and to store, manage, analyze, and visualize the massive amounts of data that result. The networking research provides the techniques to link the data producers; e.g., supercomputers and large experimental facilities with scientists who need access to the data.

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

The Laboratory Technology Research subprogram funds high-risk, multidisciplinary research partnerships between the DOE's Office of Science multi-program national laboratories and private industry. Projects supported explore applications of basic research advances in the investigation of problems, over a full range of scientific disciplines, whose solutions have promising commercial potential.

10. HIGH PERFORMANCE NETWORKS

The Department of Energy (DOE) supports a wide range of research activities in mathematics, information, and computational sciences to accelerate scientific discoveries. This topic addresses research needs in high-performance networks to support distributed high-end computing, remote instrumentation, and data storage; and large-scale, secure, scientific collaboration. Emerging science experiments sponsored by the DOE are expected to generate several petabytes of data, which will be transferred to geographically distributed terascale computing facilities for analysis and visualization by thousands of scientists. This requirement calls for networks with unprecedented capabilities – networks that, unlike today's commercial networks, can securely deliver multi-Gigabits/sec throughput to high-end scientific applications. Therefore, grant applications must propose advanced network technologies that can operate at 10 Gbps and beyond. Additional information on the DOE networking requirement can be obtained in the network research sections of a DOE network planning workshop report available at: <http://doecollaboratory.pnl.gov/meetings/hnpnw/finalreport/>.

Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:

a. Ultra High-Speed Network Components—The new vision of grid-based scientific computing in the DOE environment calls for network infrastructures with unprecedented capabilities to support its science mission. These networks are envisioned to deliver multi-Gbps (10-100 Gbps) throughputs to distributed applications. The network infrastructures will require advanced network technologies that are radically different from those used in today commercial networks, including the Internet. Grant applications are sought to develop ultra high-speed network components (both hardware and software) that can deliver multi-gigabits/sec throughput to high-end scientific applications. Components of interest include, but are not limited to: (1) cost effective 10 Gbps interfaces for GigE (Gigabit Ethernet) or OC-192 (Optical Carrier level 192), (2) dedicated channel sharing and scheduling, (3) Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) extensions for

ultra high-speed data transfers, (4) scalable non-TCP transport protocols, OS-bypass for wide-area networks, and (5) ultra high-speed network security systems that include firewalls and intrusion detection systems.

b. Traffic Engineering for Ultra High-Speed Network—Grant applications are also sought to develop scalable efficient techniques for modeling and controlling complex traffic processes in ultra high-speed networks dominated by a few very large traffic flows. For this purpose, grant applications must focus on the development of scalable tools, techniques, and services for traffic engineering in ultra high-speed networks (10 – 40 Gbps end-to-end). Areas of interest include, but are not limited to: (1) scalable end-to-end network measurement and analysis tools and services, (2) innovative tools and services for predicting network performance and controlling large traffic flows, (3) advanced tools for modeling complex traffic patterns in packet-switched and agile lambda-switched networks, and (4) advanced simulation tools and techniques for very high-speed networks. Scalability issues associated with proposed approaches must be addressed by demonstrating how the resulting system will be operated at 10 GigE and OC-192.

References:

1. *DOE Science Networking Challenge: Roadmap to 2008*, Report of the DOE Science Networking Workshop, Reston, VA, June 3-5, 2003, U.S. DOE Office of Science, 2003. (Full text available at: <http://gate.hep.anl.gov/lprice/Roadmap/index.html>)
2. *ESnet* (The Energy Sciences Network), U.S. DOE Office of Science, <http://www.es.net>
3. *High Performance Networks for High Impact Science*, Final Report of the High Performance Network Planning Workshop, Reston, VA, August 13-15, 2002, U.S. DOE Office of Science, 2003. (Full text available at: <http://doecollaboratory.pnl.gov/meetings/hnpnw/finalreport/>)
4. The NET100 Project: Towards Network-Aware Operating Systems, <http://www.net100.org/>

5. Awduche, D., et al., *Multi-Protocol Lambda Switching: Combining MPLS Traffic Engineering Control with Optical Crossconnects*, Internet Engineering Task Force Internet Draft – a work in progress. (URL: <http://www.globecom.net/ietf/draft/draft-awduche-mpls-te-optical-01.html>)
6. *IEEE P802.3ae 10Gb/s Ethernet Task Force*, IEEE, Inc., March 2002, <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/ae/public/index.html>
7. *IETF RFC2823: PPP Over Simple Data Link (SDL) Using SONET/SDH with ATM-Like Framing* Internet Society, May 2000, <http://www.faqs.org/rfcs/rfc2823.html>
8. *NITRD: National Coordination Office for Information Technology Research and Development*, <http://www.itrd.gov>
9. *Networked Computing for the 21st Century*, FY 1999 Blue Book, Washington, DC: National Science and Technology Council, August 1998. (Available at: <http://www.itrd.gov>. On the left menu, select "Publications." Under "Current/Past Publications," click on "Supplement to the President's Budget," and then on "FY 1999 Blue Book.")
10. *About the NGI* (Next Generation Internet), <http://ngi.gov>
11. *QBONE* (Cooperative Advanced Quality of Service Testbed), <http://qbone.internet2.edu/>
12. Rajagopalan, B., et al., *IP Over Optical Networks: A Framework*, Internet Engineering Task Force Internet Draft, a work in progress. (URL: <http://www.cs.utk.edu/~moore/ID-PDF/draft-many-ip-optical-framework-03.pdf>)
13. *U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science*, <http://www.science.doe.gov>

11. SCALABLE MIDDLEWARE AND GRID TECHNOLOGIES

Advances in high performance network capabilities and collaboration technologies are making it easier for large geographically dispersed teams to collaborate effectively. This is especially important for research

teams that use major computational resources, data resources, and experimental facilities supported by DOE. The importance of collaboratories is expected to increase in the future. However, significant research questions must be addressed if collaboratories are to achieve their potential, namely, by providing: (1) remote access to facilities that produce petabytes/year; (2) remote users with an experience that approaches "being there;" (3) remote visualization of terabyte to petabyte data sets from computational simulation; and (4) effective remote access to advanced scientific computers. Research and software tool development are needed to support coordinated and dynamic resource sharing in areas such as resource discovery, resource access, authentication, authorization, accounting, etc., in the areas listed below. Any tools or services developed should be interoperable according to emerging standards from the Global Grid Forum. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Scalable Middleware Technologies—Grant applications are sought to develop scalable middleware technologies that will: (1) enable universal, ubiquitous, easy access to remote computing resources and scientific instruments; (2) facilitate collaboration among distributed science teams; and (3) enable a new generation of distributed high-end applications. Areas of interest include, but are not limited to, secure directory services, scalable authentication/authorization services, deployable LAN and WAN QoS services, wide-area distributed data management, efficient multicast capabilities, automatic resource discovery protocols, remote data access services, and network-attached memory and storage systems.

b. Scalable Grid Technologies—Grant applications are sought to develop scalable grid technologies to support the emerging distributed computing network that provides dependable, consistent, pervasive, scaleable, and efficient access to various resources integrated into a distributed infrastructure that can be accessed wherever and whenever by DOE scientists. These resources include visualization systems, computer systems, data storage and archive systems, and scientific instruments. Areas of interest include, but are not limited to, collaborative visualization systems, collaborative problem solving services, application level fast data transfer toolkits, real-time analysis, group collaboration, co-scheduling of distributed resources, grid accounting and billing mechanisms, data management tools, science portals, on-line instrumentation, and fast data transfer management services.

References:

1. *U.S. DOE Collaboratories*, U.S. DOE Office of Science, <http://doecollaboratory.pnl.gov>
2. Foster, I. and Kasselmann, C., eds., *The Grid: Blueprint for a New Computing Infrastructure*, San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 1999. (ISBN: 1-55860-475-8)
3. Berman, F., et al., eds., *Grid Computing: Making the Global Infrastructure a Reality*, John Wiley & Sons, 2003. (ISBN 0-470-85319-0) (Resource site at: <http://www.grid2002.org>)
4. *Global Grid Forum (GFF)*, <http://www.globalgridforum.com/>
5. *The Globus Project: Related Papers*, <http://www.globus.org/research/papers.html>
6. *Particle Physics Data Grid*, U.S. DOE Office of Science, <http://www.ppdg.net>
7. Earth System Grid Project, U.S. DOE Office of Science, <http://www.earthsystemgrid.org/>
8. National Fusion Grid Project, U.S. DOE Office of Science, <http://www.fusiongrid.org>
9. *SciDAC (Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing)*, U.S. DOE Office of Science <http://www.osti.gov/scidac>
10. U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, <http://www.science.doe.gov/>

12. TECHNOLOGY FOR SOFTWARE LIBRARIES

The Advanced Scientific Computing Research (ASCR) program has been fully or partially responsible for funding the research and development of a wide range of robust high-quality numerical algorithms for scientific computation. These include the development of libraries such as EISPACK, LINPACK, LAPACK, ScaLAPACK, ARPACK, CLAWPACK, PETSc, TAO, CHOMBO, ebCHOMBO, SALSA, MPSALSA, LOCA, HYPRE, SuperLU, FronTier, and many others. However, critical issues still require resolution to ensure that the value of such scientific software is maintained and that the large investment in the research and development of these

algorithms is maximized. These issues include enhancing user interfaces, providing distribution support, providing maintenance activities such as collecting and tracking bug reports, fixing bugs, and providing portability across platforms (including porting to new computational architectures). **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopic:**

a. Deployment and Maintenance of Robust Numerical Software Libraries—Grant applications are sought to: (1) develop new maintenance and distribution mechanisms to ensure that updated scientific libraries are subjected to validation and verification testing; (2) implement formal tracking mechanisms for bug reports, bug fixes, and update notification for a wide range of scientific algorithm libraries; (3) develop and maintain mechanisms for providing cost effective portability of scientific libraries across a wide range of computer architectures, from desktop systems to massively parallel leadership-class supercomputers; (4) develop and maintain high-quality user documentation for each component of scientific software, including advice on domains of applicability for each module; and (5) develop comprehensive email- or web-based user support services for scientific libraries. The ASCR program will assure that successful grant applicants will obtain access to relevant computational facilities, as needed for their research.

References:

1. Anderson, E., et al., *LAPACK Users' Guide*, 2nd ed., Philadelphia, PA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), 1995. (ISBN: 0-89871-345-5)
2. Dongarra J. and Walker, D., "Software Libraries for Linear Algebra Computations on High Performance Computers," *SIAM Review*, 37:151-180, 1995. (ISSN: 0036-1445)
3. Dongarra, J. J., et al., "Algorithm 679: A Set of Level 3 Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms," *ACM (Association for Computing) Transactions on Mathematical Software*, 16(1):18-28, March 1990. (ISSN: 0098-3500)
4. Dongarra, J. J., et al., "Algorithm 656: An Extended Set of FORTRAN Basic Linear Algebra Subroutines," *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, 14(1):18-32, March 1988. (ISSN: 0098-3500)

5. Geist, A., ed., et al., *PVM: Parallel Virtual Machine. A Users' Guide and Tutorial for Networked Parallel Computing*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1994. (ISBN: 0262571080)
6. Hwang, K., *Advanced Computer Architecture: Parallelism, Scalability, Programmability*, McGraw-Hill, 1993. (ISBN: 0-07-031622-8)
7. Koebel, C., et al., *The High Performance Fortran Handbook*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1994.
8. Pollicini, A. A., *Using Toolpack Software Tools*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989. (ISBN: 0-7923-0033-5)
9. Blackford, L. S., et al., *The ScaLAPACK Users Guide*, Philadelphia, PA: SIAM, 1997. (ISBN: 0-89871-397-8)
10. Smith, B. T., et al., "Matrix Eigensystem Routines," *EISPACK Guide Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2nd ed., Vol. 6, Springer-Verlag, 1976. (ISBN: 0-38707-546-1)
11. Lehoucq, R. B., et al., *ARPACK Users Guide: Solution of Large-Scale Eigenvalue Problems with Implicitly Restarted Arnoldi Methods*, Philadelphia, PA: SIAM, 1998. (ISBN: 0-89871-407-9)
12. Balay, S., et al., "Efficient Management of Parallelism in Object Oriented Numerical Software Libraries," in *Modern Software Tools in Scientific Computing*, pp. 163-202, Birkhauser Press, 1997. (ISBN: 0-8176-3974-8).
13. Balay, et al., *PETSc Users Manual*, Argonne National Laboratory, 2002. (Report No. ANL-95/11 - Rev. 2.1.6) (Full text available at: <http://www-unix.mcs.anl.gov/petsc/petsc-2/snapshots/petsc-current/docs/manual.pdf>)
14. LeVeque, R. J., *Finite Volume Methods for Hyperbolic Problems*, Cambridge University Press, 2002. (ISBN: 0521009243)
15. Benson, S., et al., *TAO Users Manual*, Technical Report, Argonne National Laboratory, 2003. (Report No. ANL/MCS-TM-242-Revision 1.5) (Full text available at: <http://www-unix.mcs.anl.gov/tao/docs/manual/manual.html>)
16. Shadid, J., et al., *MPSalsa Version 1.5: A Finite Element Computer Program for Reacting Flow Problems: Part I – Theoretical Development*, Technical Report, Sandia National Laboratories, 1998. (Report No. SAND98-2864) (NTIS Order No. DE00002641. See Solicitation General Information and Guidelines, section 7.1.)
17. Salinger, A. G., et al., *LOCA 1.1: Library Of Continuation Algorithms: Theory and Implementation Manual*, Technical Report, Sandia National Laboratories, October 2002. (Report No. SAND2002-0396) (Full text available at: http://www.cs.sandia.gov/loca/loca1.1_book.pdf)
18. Falgout, R. D., and Yang, U. M., "Hypre: a Library of High Performance Preconditioners," in *Computational Science - ICCS 2002 Part III*, Sloot, P. M., et al., eds., Springer-Verlag, 2002. (For ordering information and to view abstract, see *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2331:632-641 at: <http://www.springer.de/comp/lncs/>.) (Also available as Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory technical report UCRL-JC-146175.)

PROGRAM AREA OVERVIEW NUCLEAR PHYSICS

<http://www.er.doe.gov/production/henp/nucphys.html>

Nuclear physics research seeks to understand the structure and interactions of atomic nuclei and the fundamental forces and particles of nature as manifested in nuclear matter. Nuclear processes are responsible for the nature and abundance of all matter, which in turn determine the essential physical characteristics of the universe. The primary mission of the Nuclear Physics program is to develop and support the scientists, techniques, and facilities that are needed for basic

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

nuclear physics research. Attendant upon this core mission are responsibilities to enlarge and diversify the nation's pool of technically trained talent and to facilitate transfer of technology and knowledge to support the nation's economic base.

Nuclear physics research is carried out at National accelerator facilities and through university programs. The Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) at the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (TJNAF) and the Bates Linear Accelerator at MIT allow detailed studies of how quarks and gluons bind together to make protons and neutrons. CEBAF is planning a future upgrade in which the electron beam energy is doubled from 6 to 12 GeV. The Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), now in operation at Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL), will instantaneously form submicroscopic specimens of quark-gluon plasma by colliding gold nuclei, thus allowing a study of the primordial soup of quarks and gluons thought to make up the early universe. RHIC is planning a beam luminosity upgrade in the future; a new electron-ion collider is also being discussed. The nuclear physics program supports research and facility operations that are directed towards understanding the properties of nuclei at their limits of stability and of the fundamental properties of nucleons and neutrinos. This research is made possible with the Argonne Tandem Linac Accelerator System (ATLAS) at Argonne National Laboratory (ANL), the Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility (HRIBF) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) and the 88-Inch Cyclotron at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL), which provide complementary facilities for stable and radioactive beams as well as a variety of species and energies. In addition, the operations of accelerators for in-house research programs at four universities (Yale University, Washington University, Texas A&M University, and Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory (TUNL) at Duke University) provide unique instrumentation with a special emphasis on training of students. The nuclear physics program also supports non-accelerator experiments such as the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory (SNO) facility, constructed by a collaboration of Canadian, English, and U.S. supported scientists, now taking data on solar neutrino fluxes and providing the first results on the "appearance" of oscillations of electron neutrinos into another neutrino type. A proposed Rare Isotope Accelerator (RIA) facility is being designed that would provide a way to explore the limits of nuclear existence. By producing and studying highly unstable nuclei that are now formed only in the stars, scientists could better understand stellar evolution and the origin of the elements.

Our ability to continue making a scientific impact to the general community relies heavily on the availability of cutting edge technology and advances in detector instrumentation, electronics, software, and accelerator design. The technical topics which follow describe research and development opportunities in the equipment, techniques, and facilities that are needed to conduct and advance nuclear physics research at existing and future facilities.

13. NUCLEAR PHYSICS SOFTWARE AND DATA MANAGEMENT

Large scale data storage and processing systems are needed to store, access, retrieve, distribute, and process data from experiments conducted at large facilities, such as Brookhaven National Laboratory's Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider and the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility. The experiments at such facilities are extremely complex and expensive, involving thousands of detectors that produce raw experimental data at rates of up to several hundred MB/sec, resulting in the annual production of data sets on the order of several hundred Terabytes (TB), with Petabytes (PB) of data in the near future. Many 10s of Terabytes of data per year are distributed to many institutions around the U.S. and other countries for analysis by the scientific collaborators. Research on large scale data management systems is required to support these large nuclear physics experiments. All grant applications must explicitly show relevance to the nuclear physics

program. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Large Scale Data Storage—Projections of the cost of data storage media show that magnetic disk media will soon be competitive with magnetic tape for storing large volumes of data. Because current technology keeps all disk drives powered and spinning, the infrastructure costs of operating a petabyte disk storage system could be prohibitive. However, one characteristic of nuclear physics datasets is that most of the data is accessed infrequently. Therefore, grant applications are sought for new techniques leading to petabyte-scale magnetic disk systems that have low cost and low power usage, and that scale linearly with the amount of data accessed rather than the total storage capacity.

b. Large Scale Data Processing and Distribution—Some nuclear physics facilities produce 100s of TB of data per year, soon to be PB per year. Many 10s of TB of data per year are distributed world-wide for analysis

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

by the scientific collaborators. A recent trend in nuclear physics is to construct these data handling and distribution systems using data grid infrastructure software such as Globus and Condor. In the near future, these systems will use the Open Grid Services Architecture (OGSA), which is based upon Web Services. At that time, it will be necessary for any proposed infrastructure software solutions to integrate well with this new data grid technology. Grant applications are sought for: (1) hardware and/or software techniques to improve the effectiveness and reduce the costs of storing, retrieving, and moving such large data volumes, including, but not limited to, automated data replication coupled with application data catalogs, and distributed storage systems of commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) hardware; (2) hardware and/or software techniques to improve the effectiveness of computational and data grids for nuclear physics (see reference 3 for these uses) – examples include integrating the management of distributed open source Relational Database Management System (RDBMS) with OGSA and developing application level monitoring services for status and error diagnosis; and (3) effective new approaches to data mining, automatic structuring of data and information, and facilitated information retrieval. Applicants that propose data distribution projects are encouraged to contact the U.S. National Nuclear Data Center to determine relevance and possible future migration strategies into existing infrastructures.

c. Large Scale Data Archiving and Maintenance—

One of the legacies of experimental nuclear physics experiments is the data produced. Large projects like RHIC, Gammasphere, or the Jefferson Laboratory produce unique data, reflecting measurements that may never be repeated. Experience tells us that only a small portion of the data is subjected to detailed analysis and published. Typical large research projects focus on the experiment and data taking but not on long term data preservation. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop permanent archiving and user-friendly Internet dissemination procedures for the data from nuclear physics experiments along with associated detector description and calibration information.

d. Cluster Interconnects—Large scale (thousands of CPU's) computing platforms are needed to perform theoretical calculations of Lattice Quantum ChromoDynamics (LQCD), a method of extracting the predictions of the fundamental theory of the interactions of quarks. While these science applications can use virtually any supercomputer architecture efficiently, the computational demands are such that the cost effectiveness of the platform (measured in floating point

operations per second per dollar, as sustained by a large scale parallel application) is a significant consideration. Clusters would be an appropriate platform for these calculations because of their low cost per compute node, but only if the cluster interconnects were of high bandwidth, low latency, and low cost. Although current offerings fall short on at least one of these metrics, the science applications are such that nearest-neighbor communications predominate in a three or four dimensional torus; therefore, a fully interconnected switch fabric is not essential – a torus mesh with routing also would be a feasible design. Grant applications are sought to develop mesh-communication-optimized cluster interconnects scalable to thousands of nodes at modest cost. The interconnects must be well coupled to next generation commodity compute nodes (to achieve high bandwidth and low latency on future systems) and must have a cost well below the cost of the compute node.

References:

1. Firestone, R. B., "Nuclear Structure and Decay Data in the Electronic Age," *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 243(1):77-86, January 2000. (ISSN: 0236-5731).
2. Foster, I. and Kesselman, C., *The Grid: Blueprint for a New Computing Infrastructure*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 1998. (ISBN 1558604758)
3. Maurer, S. M., et al., "Science's Neglected Legacy," *Nature*, 405(6783):117-120, May 11, 2000. (ISSN: 0028-0836)
4. *Off-Line Computing for RHIC*, Brookhaven National Laboratory, July 20, 1997. (Full text available at: <http://www.rarf.riken.go.jp/rarf/rhic/rhic-cc-j/>. To view, select ps or pdf to right of title.)
5. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computing in High Energy Physics, Berlin, Germany, April 7-11, 1997*. (Proceedings available at: <http://www.ifh.de/CHEP97/chep97.htm>)
6. Watson, C., "High Performance Cluster Computing with an Advanced Mesh Network," Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility. <http://www.jlab.org/hpc/docs/Mesh-whitepaper.htm>
7. *National Computational Infrastructure for Lattice Gauge Theory*, <http://www.lqcd.org/scidac/>
8. *The Globus Project*, University of Chicago, <http://www.globus.org>

9. *Condor High Throughput Computing*, University of Wisconsin, <http://www.cs.wisc.edu/condor/>
10. *Towards Open Grid Services Architecture*, University of Chicago, <http://www.globus.org/ogsa>
11. *Web Services Description Language*, World Wide Web Consortium, <http://www.w3.org/TR/wsdl>

14. NUCLEAR PHYSICS ELECTRONICS DESIGN AND FABRICATION

The DOE seeks developments in detector instrumentation electronics with improved energy, position and timing resolution, sensitivity, rate capability, stability, dynamic range, durability, pulse shape discrimination capability, and background suppression. Of particular interest are innovative readout electronics for use with the nuclear physics detectors described in Topic 16. All grant applications must explicitly show relevance to the nuclear physics program. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Advances in Digital Electronics—Digital signal processing electronics are needed to replace analog signal processing in nuclear physics applications. Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) digital processors that simplify the analog design, using such features as pile-up rejection and ballistic deficit correction; (2) digital pulse processing electronics for commonly used nuclear physics detectors in general, and for position sensitive solid-state detectors in particular; and (3) fast digital processing electronics that improve the accuracy of the analog electronics, such as in determining the position of interaction points (of particles or photons) to an accuracy smaller than the size of the detector segments.

b. Integrated Circuits—Grant applications are sought for custom designed integrated circuits, and for circuits and systems, for rapidly processing data from highly segmented, position-sensitive germanium detectors (pixel sizes of approximately 1 cm²) and from particle detectors (e.g., gas detectors, scintillation counters, silicon drift chambers, silicon strip detectors, particle calorimeters, and Cherenkov counters) used in nuclear physics experiments. Areas of specific interest include: (1) representative circuits such as low noise preamplifiers, amplifiers, peak sensors, analog storage devices, analog-to-digital and time-to-digital converters, transient digitizers, and time-to-amplitude converters; (2) multiple sampling ASICs, to allow for pulse shape

analysis; (3) readout electronics for solid-state pixilated detectors, including interconnection technologies and amplifier/sample-and-hold integrated circuits; and (4) constant fraction discriminators with uniform response for low and high energy gamma-rays. These circuits should be fast; low-cost; high-density; configurable in software for thresholds, gains, etc.; easy to use with commercial auxiliary electronics; low power; compact; and efficiently packaged for multichannel devices.

In addition, planned luminosity upgrades at RHIC and experiments at the Large Hadron Collider will require fine-grained vertex and tracking detectors (both silicon and gas) for high particle multiplicity environments. Therefore, grant applications are sought for advances in microelectronics that are specifically designed for low noise amplification and processing of detector signals, and that are suitable for these next generation detectors. The microelectronics and associated interconnections must be lightweight and have low power dissipation. Designs that minimize higher gate leakage currents due to tunneling and maintain dynamic range would be of particular interest.

c. Advanced Devices and Systems—So called Active Pixel Sensors in CMOS (complementary metal-oxide semiconductor) technology are replacing Charge Coupled Devices as imaging devices and cameras for visible light. Several laboratories are exploring the possibility of using such devices as direct conversion particle detectors. The charge produced by an ionizing particle in the epitaxial layer is collected by diffusion on a sensing electrode in each pixel. The charge is amplified by a relatively simple low noise circuit in each pixel and read out in a matrix arrangement. If successful, this approach would make possible high resolution position sensitive particle detectors with very low mass (only about 100 microns of silicon in a single layer). This approach would be clearly superior to the present technology of hybrid vertex detectors consisting of a separate silicon detector layer bump-bonded to a CMOS readout circuit. Grant applications are sought to attempt this very significant advance in integrated detector-electronics technology, using CMOS monolithic circuits as particle detectors. The new active pixel detector with its integrated electronic readout should be based on a standard CMOS process. The challenge is to design the sensor and low noise readout circuits to have sufficiently high sensitivity and low power dissipation in order to detect the charge signal developed in a thin epitaxial layer (~10 microns), as available in some of the standard CMOS processes.

In addition, grant applications are sought for improved or advanced devices and systems used in conjunction

with the electronic circuits and systems described in subtopics a and b. Areas of interest regarding devices include radiation-hardened, wide-bandgap semiconductors (i.e., semiconductor materials with bandgaps greater than 2.0 electron volts, including Silicon Carbide (SiC), Gallium Nitride (GaN), and any III-Nitride alloys), inhomogeneous semiconductors such as SiGe, and device processes such as silicon-on-insulator (SOI) or silicon-on-sapphire (SOS). Areas of interest regarding systems include bus systems, data links, event handlers, multiple processors, trigger logics, and fast buffered time and analog digitizers. For detectors that generate extremely high data volumes (e.g., >500Gb/s), advanced high-bandwidth data links are of interest. Lastly, generalized software and hardware packages, with improved graphic and visualization capabilities, for the acquisition and analysis of nuclear physics research data are also of interest.

d. Manufacturing and Advanced Interconnection Techniques

—Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) manufacturing techniques for large, thin, multiple-layer printed circuit boards (PCBs) with plated-through holes with dimensions from 2m x 2m to 5m x 5m and 100-200 micron thick (these PCBs would have use in cathode pad chambers, cathode strip chambers, time projection chamber cathode boards, etc); (2) techniques to add plated-through holes in a reliable, robust way to large rolls of metallized mylar or kapton (this would have applications in detectors such as time expansion chambers or large cathode strip chambers); and (3) miniaturization techniques for connectors and cables with 5 times to 10 times the density of standard interdensity connectors.

Lastly, many next generation detectors will have highly segmented electrode geometries with 5-5000 channels per square centimeter, covering areas up to several square meters. Conventional packaging and assembly technology cannot be used at these high densities. Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) advanced interconnect technologies that address the issues of high density, area-array connections including modularity, reliability, repair/rework, and electrical parasites; (2) technology for aggregating and transporting the signals (analog and digital) generated by the front-end electronics, and for distributing and conditioning power and common signals (clock, reset, etc.); (3) low-cost methods for efficient cooling of on-detector electronics; and (4) standards for interconnecting ASICs into a single system for a given experiment, where individual circuits may have been developed by diverse groups in different organizations – this would include combining different

technologies with different voltage levels and signal types, with the goal of possibly reusing the developed circuits in future experiments.

References:

1. “1999 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference, Seattle, WA, October 24-30, 1999,” *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 47(3 pt.2):729-1257, June 2000. (ISSN: 0018-9499)
2. *Conceptual Design Report for the Solenoidal Tracker at RHIC*, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, June 15, 1992. (Report No. LBL-PUB-5347) (NTIS Order No. DE92041174)*
3. Kroeger, R. A., et al., “Charge Sensitive Preamplifier and Pulse Shaper Using CMOS Process for Germanium Spectroscopy,” *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 42(4 pt.1):921-924, August 1995. (ISSN: 0018-9499)
4. *PHENIX Conceptual Design Report: An Experiment to be Performed at the Brookhaven National Laboratory Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider*, Brookhaven National Laboratory, January 29, 1993. (Report No. BNL-48922) (NTIS Order No. DE93015759)*
5. “Proceedings of the International Symposium on Solid State Detectors for the 21st Century, Osaka, Japan, December 4-6, 1998,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A—Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 436(1-2), October 21, 1999. (ISSN: 0168-9002)**
6. Makdisi, Y. and Stevens, A. J., *Proceedings of the Symposium on Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider Detector R& D, Upton, NY, October 10-11, 1991*, Brookhaven National Laboratory, 1991. (Report No. BNL-52321) (NTIS Order No. DE93010855/HDM)*
7. Lee, I-Y., ed., *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Experimental Equipment for an Advanced ISOL Facility, Berkeley, CA, July 22-25, 1998*, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL), August 15, 1998. (Report No. LBNL-42138) (OSTI Document No. DE00760328) (Available via interlibrary loan only. Cannot be loaned to individuals. Contact LBNL Library at: Library@lbl.gov) (1999 summary of proceedings, including recommendations, available at: <http://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/760328-zVOiiK/webviewable/>)

8. Simpson, M. L., et al., "An Integrated, CMOS, Constant-Fraction Timing Discriminator for Multichannel Detector Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 42(4, pt. 1):762-766, August 1995. (ISSN: 0018-9499)
9. Thomas, S. L., et al., "A Modular Amplifier System for the Readout of Silicon Strip Detectors," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 288(1):212-218, March 1, 1990. (ISSN: 0168-9002)**
10. Deptuch, G., et al., "Development of Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors for Charged Particle Tracking," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, in press.**
11. Ionascut-Nedelcescu, A., et al., "Radiation Hardness of Gallium Nitride," *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 49(6):2733-2738, December 2002. (ISSN: 0018-9499)
12. Dodd, J. R., et al., "Charge Collection in SOI (Silicon-on-Insulator) capacitors and circuits and its effect on SEU (Single-Event Upset) hardness," *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 49(6):2937-2947, December 2002. (ISSN: 0018-9499)

* Available from National Technical Information Service (NTIS). Telephone: 1-800-553-6847. Web site: <http://www.ntis.gov/> (Please note: Items that appear to be unavailable via the Web site might be obtained by phoning NTIS. See Solicitation General Information and Guidelines, section 7.1.)

** For ordering information or to view abstract, see: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/publications/journal/physics>.

15. NUCLEAR PHYSICS ACCELERATOR TECHNOLOGY

The Nuclear Physics program of the Department of Energy (DOE) supports a broad range of activities aimed at research and development related to the science, engineering, and technology of heavy-ion, electron, and proton accelerators and associated systems. Research and development is desired that will advance fundamental accelerator technology and its applications to nuclear physics scientific research. Areas of interest include the basic technologies of the Brookhaven National Laboratory's superconducting Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) with heavy ion beam

energies up to 100 GeV/amu and polarized proton beam energies up to 250 GeV, technologies associated with RHIC luminosity upgrades and the development of an electron-ion collider, superconducting radio frequency (srf) linear accelerators such as the electron machine at the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (TJNAF), and development of devices and/or methods that would be useful in the generation of intense accelerated beams of radioactive isotopes related to the construction of a Rare Isotope Accelerator (RIA) facility. Relevance of applications to nuclear physics must be explicitly described. Grant applications that propose using the resources of a third party (such as a DOE laboratory) must include, in the application, a letter of certification from an authorized official of that organization. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Materials and Components for Radio Frequency Devices—Grant applications are sought to improve or advance superconducting and room temperature materials or components for radio frequency (rf) devices used in particle accelerators. Areas of interest include: (1) peripheral components, for both room temperature and superconducting structures, such as ultra high vacuum seals, terminations, cryogenic radio frequency windows, rf power couplers, and magnetostrictive or piezoelectric cavity tuning mechanisms; (2) materials that efficiently absorb microwaves from 2 to 90 GHz and are compatible with ultra-high vacuum, particulate-free environments at 2 to 4 K; (3) methods for manufacturing superconducting radio-frequency (>500 MHz) accelerating structures with $Q_0 < 10^{10}$ at 2.0 K; (4) improved superconducting materials that have lower RF losses, operate at higher temperatures, and/or have higher RF critical fields than sheet niobium; (5) innovative designs for hermetically sealed helium refrigerators and other cryogenic equipment that simplify procedures and reduce costs associated with repair and modification; (6) development of simple, low-cost mechanical techniques for damping length oscillations in accelerating structures, effective in the 10-300 Hz range at 2 Kelvin; and (7) development of techniques to create a layer of niobium on the interior of a copper elliptical cavity, such as by energetic ion deposition, so that the resulting 800-1500 MHz structures have $Q_0 > 8 \times 10^9$ at 2 K and so that the overall fabrication costs are reduced relative to using sheet niobium.

Grant applications are also sought for the design, computer-modeling, and hardware development of 5 kW and 13 kW cw power sources at 1497 MHz. Examples

of candidate technologies include (but are not limited to): solid-state devices, multi-cavity klystrons, Inductive-Output Tubes (IOT's) or hybrids of those technologies. The devices should: (1) be capable of operating efficiently over a range of output power levels; (2) include a method for power adjustment other than using the rf drive signal and the voltage of any primary dc source – for example, a klystron should include a gun-current modulating electrode; and (3) have an ac-to-rf conversion efficiency greater than 50%. Interested parties should contact Dr. Leigh Harwood at Jefferson Laboratory [harwood@jlab.org] for further specifications.

Lastly, grant applications are sought for a new generation of high-voltage (up to 200 k VDC) electronic switching devices with peak current capability on the order of 100 A. Such devices should also be capable of operating as very high power (tens of Megawatts), low-frequency (below 100 MHz) rf power amplifiers with suitable external rf circuits. A possible technology is the Hobatron. Interested parties should contact Abbi Zolfaghari (abbi@bates.mit.edu) at MIT-Bates Laboratory.

b. Design and Operation of Radio Frequency Beam Acceleration Systems—Grant applications are sought for the design, fabrication, and operation of radio frequency accelerating structures and systems for heavy-ion accelerators. Areas of interest include: (1) continuous wave (cw) structures, both superconducting and non-superconducting, for the acceleration of beams in the velocity regime between 0.001 and 0.01 times the velocity of light and with charge-to-mass ratios between 1/30 and 1/240; (2) superconducting rf accelerating structures appropriate for RIA drivers, for particles with speeds in the range of 0.02-0.8 times the speed of light; (3) innovative techniques for field control of ion acceleration structures (1° of phase and 0.1% amplitude) and electron acceleration structures (0.1° of phase and 0.01% amplitude) in the presence of 10-100 Hz variations of the structures' resonant frequencies (0.1-1.5 GHz); (4) multi-cell, superconducting, 0.5-1.5 GHz accelerating structures that have sufficient higher-order mode damping for use in energy-recovering linac-based devices with ~1 A of electron beam; (5) methods for preserving beam quality by damping beam-break-up effects in the presence of otherwise unacceptably-large higher-order cavity modes – one example of which would be a very high bandwidth feedback system; and (6) methods and/or devices for reducing the emittance of relativistic ion beams – such as electron or optical-stochastic cooling.

c. Particle Beam Sources and Techniques—Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) particle beam ion sources with improved intensity, emittance, and range of species (areas of interest include high-charge-state sources for heavy ions, sources for negative and light ions, and polarized sources for hydrogen ions and electrons); (2) ion sources for radioactive beams (emphasizing aspects such as high efficiency, high-charge-state ions, small emittance and energy spread, high temperature operation for coupling to high temperature production targets, and element selectivity – e.g., through the use of laser ionization); (3) techniques for secondary radioactive beam collection, charge equilibration, and cooling; (4) methods and devices to increase the charge state of ion beams (e.g., by the use of special electron-cyclotron-resonance ionizers or special stripping techniques); (5) high brightness electron beam sources utilizing continuous wave (cw) superconducting rf cavities with integral photocathodes operating at high acceleration gradients; (6) ~1 GHz cw polarized electron sources delivering beams of ~10 mA with longitudinal polarization of ~80%; (7) novel high quantum efficiency, long life photocathode materials, such as chalcopyrites, for brightness electron sources with polarizations >90%; (8) devices, systems, and sub-systems for producing high current (>200 μA), variable-helicity beams of electrons with polarizations >80%, and which have very small helicity-correlated changes in beam intensity, position, angle, and emittance; (9) methods to improve high voltage stand-off and reduce field emission from high voltage electrodes in the presence of work function lowering material (i.e., cesium), and which are compatible with ultra high vacuum environments; (10) wavelength tunable (700 to 850 nm) mode-locked lasers with pulse repetition rate between 0.5 and 3 GHz and average output power >10 W; and (11) a single wavelength 532 nm mode-locked laser with pulse repetition rate 0.5 to 3 GHz and average power ~ 100 W. Grant applications are also sought to develop software that adds significantly to the state-of-the-art in the simulation of such physical processes as intra-beam scattering, electron cooling, beam dynamics, transport and instabilities, electron or plasma discharge in vacuum under the influence of charged beams, etc.

d. Accelerator Control and Diagnostics—Grant applications are sought for: (1) “intelligent” software and hardware to facilitate the improved control and optimization of charged particle accelerators and associated components for nuclear physics research (developments that offer generic solutions to problems

in the initial choice of operation parameters and the optimization of selected beam parameters with automatic tuning are especially encouraged); (2) advanced beam diagnostics concepts and devices that provide high speed computer-compatible measurement and monitoring of particle beam intensity, position, emittance, polarization, luminosity, momentum profile, time of arrival, and energy (including such advanced methods as neural networks or expert systems and techniques that are nondestructive to the beams being monitored); (3) beam diagnostic devices that have increased sensitivities through the use of superconducting components, such as filters based on high T_c superconducting technology or Superconducting Quantum Interference Devices; (4) measurement devices/systems for cw beam currents in the range 0.1 to 100 μA with very high precision ($<10^{-4}$) and short integration times; (5) beam diagnostics for ion beams with intensities less than 10^7 nuclei/second; (6) non-destructive beam diagnostics for stored ion beams such as at the RHIC and/or for 100 mA class electron beams; and (7) devices that can perform direct 12-14 bit digitization of signals at 0.5-2 GHz and have bandwidths of 100+ kHz.

References:

1. Duggan, J. L. and Morgan, I. L., eds., *Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry, Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference Denton, TX, November 6-9, 1996*, New York: American Institute of Physics, 1997. (ISBN: 1-56396-652-2) (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 392)*
2. Duggan, J. L. and Morgan, I. L., eds., *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section B, Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 99(1-4), May 1995. (ISSN: 0168-583X)
3. Facco, A., et al., "Mechanical Stabilization of Superconducting Quarter Wave Resonators," *Proceedings of the 1997 17th Particle Accelerator Conference, PAC-97 Vancouver, BC, Canada, May 12-16, 1997*, 3:3084-3086, IEEE, 1998. (ISBN: 0-7803-4376-X)
4. Grunder, H. A., "CEBAF - Commissioning and Future Plans," *Proceedings of the 1995 Particle Accelerator Conference, Dallas, TX, May 1-5, 1995*, New York: IEEE, 1995. (ISBN: 0-7803-2934-1) (IEEE Catalog No. CH35843)
5. *Historical Evolution of the Plans for CEBAF @ 12 GeV*, U.S. DOE Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Laboratory, http://www.jlab.org/div_dept/physics_division/GeV.html
6. Harrison, M., "The RHIC Project—Status and Plans," *Proceedings of the 1995 Particle Accelerator Conference, Dallas, TX, May 1-5, 1995*, 1:401-405, New York: IEEE, 1995. (ISBN: 0780329341) (IEEE Catalogue No. 95CH35843) (Also available in book form: Grupen, C., ed., *Monographs on Particle Physics, Nuclear Physics & Cosmology*, No. 5, Cambridge University Press, July 1996. (ISBN: 0521552168)
7. *eRHIC: The Electron-Ion-Collider at BNL*, U.S. DOE Brookhaven National Laboratory http://www.phenix.bnl.gov/WWW/publish/abhay/Home_of_EIC/
8. Hill, C. and Vretenar, M., *Linac69: Proceedings of the 18th International Linac Conference, Geneva, Switzerland, August 26-30, 1996*, 2 Vols., Geneva, Switzerland: CERN, 1996. (ISBN: 92-9083-093-X) (CERN Publ. 96-07) (Full text of proceedings available at: <http://linac96.web.cern.ch/Linac96/Proceedings/>)
9. Kraimer, M., et al., "Experience with EPICS in a Wide Variety of Applications," *Proceedings of the 1997 Particle Accelerator Conference, Vancouver, BC, Canada, May 12-16, 1997*, 2:2403-2409, IEEE, 1998. (ISBN: 078034376X)
10. Ludlam, T. W. and Stevens, A. J., *A Brief Description of the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider Facility*, Brookhaven National Laboratory, June 1993. (Report No. BNL-49177) (NTIS Order No. DE93040311. See Solicitation Information and Guidelines, section 7.1.)
11. *Proceedings of the 1999 Particle Accelerator Conference, New York, New York, Mar. 29-Apr. 2, 1999*, IEEE, 1999. (ISBN: 0-7803-5573-3) (IEEE Catalog No. 99CH36366)
12. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 71(2):603-1239, February 2000. (ISSN: 0034-6748)
13. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 67(3, Part 2):878-1683, 1996. (ISSN: 0034-6748)
14. *Rare Isotope Accelerator (RIA)*, Oak Ridge Associated Universities, <http://www.orau.org/ria/>
15. Stephenson, E. J. and Vigdor, S. E., eds., *Polarization Phenomena in Nuclear Physics: Eighth International Symposium, Bloomington, IN, September 1994*, Woodbury, NY: American Institute of Physics, September 1995. (ISBN: 1563964821) (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 339) (ISSN: 0094-243X)*

16. True, R. B., et al., "The HOBETRON and HOBETRON-PLUS," *Proceedings of the International Vacuum Electronics Conference (IVEC) 2000, Monterey, CA, May 2-4, 2000*, IEEE, July 2000. (ISBN: 0780359879) (To browse for abstract, see: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/Xplore/DynWel.jsp>. On menu at left, select "Conference Proceedings" and then the letter "V." The link for IVEC 2000 abstracts will be first on the list.)
17. True, Richard. B., et al., "The HOBETRON- A High Power Vacuum Electronic Switch," *IEEE Transactions on Electronic Devices*, 48(1), January 2001. (ISSN: 0018-9383)

* Available from Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. Telephone: 800-777-4643. Website: <http://www.springer-ny.com/aip/>

16. NUCLEAR PHYSICS DETECTORS, INSTRUMENTATION AND TECHNIQUES

The Department of Energy (DOE) is interested in supporting projects that may lead to advances in detection systems, instrumentation, and techniques for nuclear physics experiments. Opportunities exist for developing equipment beyond the present state-of-the-art and outside the usual scope of research and development activities at the nuclear physics national laboratories and university programs. In addition, a new suite of next-generation detectors will be needed for the proposed Rare Isotope Accelerator (RIA), the energy upgrade at TJNAF, the proposed underground laboratory, the proposed luminosity upgrade at RHIC, and a possible future electron-ion accelerator. All grant applications must explicitly show relevance to the nuclear physics program. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Advances in Detector Technology—Nuclear physics research has a need for devices to detect, analyze, and track charged particles, and neutral particles such as neutrons, neutrinos, photons, and single atoms. These devices include: solid-state devices such as highly segmented coaxial and planar germanium detectors, and silicon strip, pixel, and silicon drift detectors; photosensitive devices such as avalanche photodiodes, hybrid photomultiplier devices, single and multiple anode photomultiplier tubes, high-intensity ($\sim 10^{20}$ γ/s) gamma-ray current-readout detectors (e.g. Compton Diodes), photodiodes for operation at liquid helium temperatures with a signal-to-noise ratio comparable to a photomultiplier tube, and other novel photon detectors;

detectors utilizing photocathodes for Cherenkov and UV light detection, and the development of new types of large area photo-emissive materials such as solid, liquid, or gas photocathodes; micro-channel plates; gas-filled detectors such as proportional, drift, streamer, Cherenkov, micro-strip, gas electron multiplier detectors, resistive plate chambers, drift electrodes with micromeshes, time projection chambers, and straw drift tube chambers; liquid argon and xenon ionization chambers and other cryogenic detectors; single-atom detectors using laser techniques and electromagnetic traps; particle polarization detectors; electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, including high energy neutron detectors; and detection systems for detecting the magnetization of polarized nuclei in a magnetic field (e.g., Superconducting QUantum Interference Device (SQUIDS) or cells with paramagnetic atoms that employ large pickup loops to surround the sample). Grant applications are sought to develop advancements in the technology of the above mentioned detectors.

With respect to solid state tracking devices, such as the segmented germanium detectors and the silicon drift, strip, and pixel detectors, grant applications are sought for: (1) manufacturing techniques, including interconnection technologies for high granularity, high resolution, light-weight, and radiation-hard solid state devices; (2) highly arrayed solid state detectors for neutron detection, with integrated electronics to read-out pulse height; (3) thicker (more than 1.5 mm) segmented silicon charged-particle and x-ray detectors and associated high density, high resolution electronics; and (4) cost-effective production of n-type and p-type silicon drift chambers with active areas greater than 16 cm².

With respect to position sensitive charged particle and photon tracking devices, grant applications are sought for the development of: (1) position sensitive, high resolution, germanium detectors capable of determining the position (to within a few millimeters utilizing pulse shape analysis) and energy of the individual interactions of gamma-rays (with energies up to several MeV), hence allowing for the reconstruction of the energy and path of individual gamma-rays using tracking techniques; (2) hardware and software needed for digital signal processing and gamma-ray tracking – of particular interest is the development of efficient and fast algorithms for signal decomposition and improved tracking programs; (3) alternative materials, with comparable resolution to germanium, but with significantly higher efficiency and relatively higher temperature operation (in order to overcome the costly and bulky requirement to cool germanium detectors to liquid nitrogen temperatures); (4) advances in more

conventional charged-particle tracking detector systems, such as drift chambers, pad chambers, time expansion chambers, and time proportional chambers (areas of interest include improved gases or gas additives that resist aging, improve detector resolution, decrease flammability, and offer larger/more uniform drift velocity); (5) high-resolution, gas-filled, time-projection chambers employing CCD cameras to perform an optical readout; (6) gamma-ray detectors capable of making accurate measurements of high intensities ($>10^{11}$ γ/s) with a precision of 1-2 %, as well as economical gamma-ray beam-profile monitors; and (7) for the RIA, next-generation high spatial resolution focal plane detectors for magnetic spectrographs and recoil separators, for use with heavy ions in the energy range from less than 1 MeV/u to over 100 MeV/u.

With respect to particle identification detectors, grant applications are sought for the development of: (1) inexpensive, large-area, high-quality Cherenkov materials; (2) inexpensive, position sensitive, large-sized photon detection devices for Cherenkov counters; (3) high resolution time-of-flight detectors; (4) affordable methods for the production of large volumes of xenon and krypton gas (which would contribute to the development of transition radiation detectors and would also have many applications in X-ray detectors); and (5) very high resolution particle detectors or bolometers based on semiconductor materials and cryogenic techniques. Of particular interest are detector technologies capable of measuring energies of alpha particles and protons with less than 5 keV resolution, allowing spectroscopy experiments using light charged particles to be performed in the same way as gamma spectroscopy.

b. Technology for Rare Particle Detection—Grant applications are sought for particle detectors and techniques that are capable of measuring very weak, rare event signals in the presence of significant backgrounds. Such detector technologies and analysis techniques are required in searches for rare events (such as double beta decay) and for applications in extending our knowledge of new nuclear isotopes produced at radioactive beam facilities. Rare decay and rare phenomenon detectors require large quantities of very clean materials, such as clean shielding materials and clean target materials. Neutrino detectors need very large quantities of ultra-clean water, for example. Therefore, grant applications are sought for new technologies to (1) fabricate or purify materials so they have ultra-low levels of radioactive contaminants and (2) measure the contaminant level of the ultra-clean materials. Lastly, grant applications are

sought for new technologies to produce large quantities of separated isotopes, such as kg quantities of Ge-76 and other materials, which are needed for rare particle and rare decay searches in nuclear physics research.

c. Large Band Gap Semiconductors, New Bright Scintillators, and Calorimeters—Grant applications are sought to develop new materials or advancements for photon detection. Of specific interest are: (1) large band gap semiconductors such as (CdZnTe); (2) bright, fast scintillator materials (LaBr₃:Ce, HgI₂, AlSb, etc.); (3) plastic scintillators, fibers, and wavelength shifters; (4) cryogenic liquid scintillation gamma ray detectors (LXe); (5) Cherenkov radiator materials with indices of refraction up to 1.10 or greater with good optical transparency; and (6) new and innovative calorimeter concepts, including new materials, higher packing densities, or innovative fiber and absorber packing schemes.

d. Nuclear Targets—Grant applications are sought for the development of special targets, which specifically and explicitly address nuclear physics research needs. These special targets include: polarized (with nuclear spins aligned) high-density gas or solid targets; frozen-spin targets; active (scintillating) targets; windowless gas targets and supersonic jet targets for use with very low energy charged particle beams; liquid, gaseous, and solid targets capable of high power dissipation when high intensity, low emittance charged particle beams are used; and high-power targets with fast release capabilities for the production of rare isotopes. Grant applications are also sought for the production of ultra-thin films for targets, strippers, and detector windows. In particular, for the RIA, there is a need for stripper foils or films in the thickness range from a few micrograms per cm² to over 10 milligrams per cm², for use in the driver linac with very high power densities from uranium beams. Lastly, grant applications are sought to develop techniques for preparing targets of radioisotopes, with half-lives in the hours range, to be used off-line in both neutron-induced and charged-particle-induced experiments.

References:

1. Almeida, J., "Review of the Development of Cesium Iodide Photocathodes for Application to Large RICH Detectors," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 367(1-3):332-336, December 11, 1995. (ISSN: 0168-9002)*

2. Bauer, C., et al., "Recent Results from Diamond Microstrip Detectors," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 367(1-3):202-206. December 11, 1995. (ISSN: 0167-0587)*
3. Bellwied, R., et al., "Development of Large Linear Silicon Drift Detectors for the STAR Experiment at RHIC," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 377 (2-3):387-392, August 1, 1996. (ISSN: 0167-0587)*
4. Bromley, D. A., "Evolution and Use of Nuclear Detectors and Systems," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 162(1-3, pt. 1):1-8, June 1-15, 1979. (ISSN: 0029-554X)*
5. *Conceptual Design Report for the Solenoidal Tracker at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC)*, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, June 15, 1992. (Report No. LBL-PUB-5347) (NTIS Order No. DE92041174)
6. Contin, A., et al., "New Results in Optical Fiber Cherenkov Calorimetry," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 367(1-3):271-275, December 11, 1995. (ISSN: 0168-9002)*
7. Deleplanque, M. A., et al., "GRETA [Gamma Ray Energy Tracking Array]: Utilizing New Concepts in Gamma Ray Detection," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 430(2-3):292-310, July 1999. (ISSN: 0167-0587) (Full text available at: <http://greta.lbl.gov/>. On bottom menu, select "Publications" and then title.)*
8. Eisen, Y., et al., "CdTe and CdZnTe Gamma Ray Detectors for Medical and Industrial Imaging Systems," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 428(1):158-176, June 1999. (ISSN: 0168-9002)*
9. Grupen, C., *Particle Detectors*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 1996. (ISBN: 0-521-55216-8)
10. Hershcovitch, A., "A Plasma Window for Vacuum-Atmosphere Interface and Focusing Lens of Sources for Nonvacuum Ion Material Modification," from paper presented at the 7th International Conference on Ion Sources, Taormina, Italy, September 7-13, 1997, *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 69(2):868-873, February 1998. (ISSN: 0034-6748)
11. Knowles, P. E., "A Windowless Frozen Hydrogen Target System," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 368(3):604-610, January 11, 1996. (ISSN: 0168-9002)*
12. *PHENIX Conceptual Design Report: An Experiment to be Performed at the Brookhaven National Laboratory Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider*, Brookhaven National Laboratory, January 29, 1993. (Report No. BNL-48922) (NTIS Order No. DE93015759. See Solicitation Information and Guidelines, section 7.1.)
13. "Proceedings of the International Symposium on Solid State Detectors for the 21st Century, Osaka, Japan, December 4-6, 1998," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 436(1-2) October 21, 1999. (ISSN: 0168-9002)*
14. Vetter, K., et al., "Three-Dimensional Position Sensitivity in Two-Dimensionally Segmented HP-Ge Detectors," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 452(1-2):223-238, September 21, 2000. (ISSN: 0167-0587)*
15. van Loef, E. V., et al., "Scintillation properties of LaBr₃:Ce³⁺ crystals: fast, efficient and high-energy-resolution scintillators," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A*, 486(1-2):254-258, June 21, 2002. (ISSN: 0167-0587)*
16. The SNO Collaboration, "The Sudbury Neutrino Observatory," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods, Section A*, 449(1-2):172-207, July 11, 2000. (ISSN: 0167-0587)*
17. Andersen, T. C., et al., "Measurement of Radium Concentration in Water with Mn-coated Beads at the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods, Section A*, 501(2-3):399-417, April 1, 2003. (ISSN: 0167-0587)*
18. Andersen, T. C., et al., "A Radium Assay Technique Using Hydrous Titanium Oxide Absorbant for the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods, Section A*, 501(2-3):386-398, April 1, 2003. (ISSN: 0167-0587)*
19. *Historical Evolution of the Plans for CEBAF @ 12 GeV*, U.S. DOE Thomas Jefferson Accelerator Facility, http://www.jlab.org/div_dept/physics_division/GeV.html

20. *eRHIC: The Electron-Ion-Collider at BNL*, U.S. DOE Brookhaven National Laboratory http://www.phenix.bnl.gov/WWW/publish/abhay/Home_of_EIC/

22. *RHIC: Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider*, U.S. DOE Brookhaven National Laboratory, <http://www.bnl.gov/RHIC/>

21. *Rare Isotope Accelerator (RIA)*, Oak Ridge Associated Universities, <http://www.orau.org/ria/>

* Full text available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/publications/journal/physics>

PROGRAM AREA OVERVIEW DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

<http://www.nnsa.doe.gov/na-20>

The Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation, a component of the Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), sponsors the development of many types of sensors, data collection systems, and data analysis systems to detect and deter the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction. The scope of this mission includes technologies for nuclear explosion monitoring, detection of the production of materials for nuclear weapons, and detection technologies to support the Nonproliferation of Nuclear Weapons Treaty (NPT).

17. TECHNOLOGY TO SUPPORT THE NUCLEAR AND RADIOLOGICAL NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAM

The DOE/NNSA Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation sponsors the development of many types of sensors, data collection systems, and data analysis systems to detect and deter the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction. The scope of this mission includes developing technologies to detect the production of materials for nuclear weapons, and to support the Nonproliferation of Nuclear Weapons Treaty (NPT). The Nuclear and Radiological National Security Program (NRNSP) develops technologies for detecting the radiation and chemical signatures associated with the production of nuclear weapons and nuclear weapons materials. This topic focuses on the development of detection systems and data analysis methods to address these NRNSP missions. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Radiation Detection Technologies—Improved technologies must be developed and demonstrated to support onsite monitoring and verification of the NPT and other international arms control agreements. In particular, research is needed to demonstrate practical methods for detecting the diversion of small quantities of nuclear materials from known production sites of highly enriched uranium. Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) new scintillator materials, other suitable materials, and enabling technologies to substantially increase the performance (in resolution, sensitivity, and range) of currently available radiation detectors; (2) new safeguard practices for the improved detection,

identification, and tracking of diverted fissile materials in transit, particularly when the materials are shielded; and (3) unattended sensor systems that integrate signature analysis and alarm functions into an expandable network based on state-of-the-art communication and internet protocol systems.

b. Detection and Monitoring of Nuclear Facilities—Detection networks and systems are needed to support the wide-area monitoring, detection, location, and characterization of non-declared nuclear activities. Grant applications are sought to develop an improved capability for the long-term monitoring of chemical and other signatures of nuclear materials production, e.g., effluents from uranium conversion and enrichment facilities, spent nuclear fuel reprocessing facilities, etc. Areas of interest include: (1) improved sample preparation, concentration, and ultra-sensitive (field or laboratory-based) analysis methods; (2) remote systems to exploit non-nuclear signatures such as optical, effluent, and process-specific signatures; (3) application of nanotechnologies to the detection of radiation and chemical signatures of nuclear proliferation; and (4) advanced, maintenance-free power sources that can independently power sensor equipment on site.

References:

Subtopic a: Radiation Detection Technologies

1. *The IAEA Safeguards System: Ready for the 21st Century*, International Atomic Energy Agency, http://www.iaea.org/worldatom/Press/Booklets/Safeguard_s2/part5.html

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

2. U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, *Nuclear Safeguards and the International Atomic Energy Agency*, OTA-ISS-615, Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, June 1995. (Full text available at: <http://www.wws.princeton.edu/cgi-bin/byteserv.prl/~ota/disk1/1995/9530/9530.PDF>)

Subtopic b: Detection and Monitoring of Nuclear Facilities

3. U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, *Technologies Underlying Weapons of Mass Destruction*, OTA-BP-ISC-115, Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, December 1993. (Full text available at: <http://www.wws.princeton.edu/~ota/disk1/1993/9344.html>)
4. U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, *Environmental Monitoring for Nuclear Safeguards*, OTA-BP-ISS-168, Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, September 1995. (Full text available at: <http://www.wws.princeton.edu/~ota/disk1/1995/9518/9518.PDF>)

18. TECHNOLOGY TO DETECT NUCLEAR PROLIFERATION AND SUPPORT NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION AGREEMENTS

The DOE/NNSA Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation sponsors the development of many types of sensors, data collection systems and data analysis systems to detect the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction. Within the office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation, the Proliferation Detection program develops and demonstrates innovative remote sensing and ground-based technologies for detection and analysis of foreign nuclear weapon programs, global nuclear materials production, the diversion of special nuclear materials, and the early stages of emerging proliferation of weapons of mass destruction. This topic focuses on the development of detection systems and data analysis methods to address these missions. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Components for Synthetic Aperture Radar Systems—Grant applications are sought for one or more of the following electronic components to support the development of synthetic aperture radar systems:

(1) An advanced high-performance 10-bit Analog-to-Digital Converter (ADC) to facilitate new high performance radar designs. ADC systems must have a sampling frequency equal to or greater than 1.2 GigaSamples per second, greater than 9 effective number of bits (ENOB) at one fourth the sampling frequency ($f_s/4$), a built-in 1:2 output demultiplexer, provisions for multiple ADC data clock synchronization (e.g., multi-channel sampling), low-voltage differential signaling (LVDS) compatible logic outputs, a ball grid array (BGA) package, and a built-in pseudo-random sequence generator for ADC interface integrity testing.

(2) An advanced high-performance 12-bit Digital-to-Analog Converter (DAC) with 1.2 GigaSamples/second, greater than 60 decibels (dB) spurious free dynamic range (SFDR) at one fourth the sampling frequency ($f_s/4$), a built-in 2:1 input multiplexer, provisions for multiple DAC clock synchronization (e.g., quadrature synthesis), low-voltage differential signaling (LVDS) compatible logic inputs, a ball grid array (BGA) package, and an input FIFO (First-In, First-out) buffer with a low-data-rate serial output port for DAC interface integrity testing. Also, it would be very desirable to have two DACs on a single chip.

(3) Ultra-fast track-and-hold amplifiers capable of directly sampling 10 GHz signals. The intent is to employ the track-and-hold amplifier in a sub-Nyquist sampling architecture for a radar receiver. Requirements include 0.2 psec aperture stability, 1.5 GHz aperture rate, 0.5 V_{pp} maximum output signal amplitude, -45 dBc maximum peak harmonic and spurious distortion at maximum signal amplitude, 0.25 dB maximum gain flatness, 0 to +40 C operating temperature, and surface mount packaging.

(4) High-performance miniaturized gyros with a bias of one degree per hour or less. Airborne, high-performance real-time synthetic aperture radar (SAR) systems use inertial measurement units (IMUs) that contain three gyros and three accelerometers, and the size of the IMU is typically dominated by the gyros. Tactical-grade IMUs with gyro biases of 1 degrees/hour have been used successfully for fine-resolution SAR but are too large for proposed miniaturized SAR systems. Therefore, a small, lightweight gyro is needed for these systems. Tactical performance levels are desired, but grant applications proposing gyros with biases of 10-100 degrees/hour would be considered if tactical performance levels cannot be obtained. (However, the latter may be less likely to be selected.)

(5) A solid-state wideband microwave power amplifier module to replace tube-based transmitters for short-range applications. Ideally, the module would have at least 100 Watts of peak power at a 35% duty factor and a 3 GigaHertz instantaneous bandwidth centered at Ku-band (16.7 GigaHertz). Grant applications proposing somewhat lower performance would be considered, but with lower probability of selection. The module should be 15 cubic inches or less and should include microthermal technology (such as micro-heat-pipes) to control junction temperatures without sacrificing size.

(6) Wideband array antennas with a minimum of 3 GigaHertz bandwidth centered at the Ku-band (16.7 GigaHertz). Dual band operation over both X-band and Ku-band is desirable.

(7) Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) implementation of SAR image formation algorithms. The promise of the newest FPGA technology (such as the Xilinx Virtex 2 family) is that many sophisticated software algorithms could be programmed directly into FPGA firmware for an increase in processing compactness and speed. In particular, it is desirable to have the well-known SAR image formation algorithm, Polar Format processing, be programmed into FPGA components. This implementation must retain parametric flexibility and allow an image formation greater than 1000 by 1000 pixels at programmable resolutions.

(8) Light-weight mechanical pointing structures for antenna stabilization and pointing for radar systems based on unmanned airborne vehicles (UAV). The range of motion should include at least 270 degrees in azimuth, and 0 to 90 degrees from horizontal in elevation. In the third axis, plus and minus 20 degrees of roll is desired. Slew rates of at least 60 degrees/sec are required with less than 0.1 second settling time, and pointing accuracy should be within 0.1 degrees. Inertial stabilization is desired to minimize power requirements. Total gimbal weight must be less than 10 pounds, and the system should be able to support up to a 10 pound payload.

For further information or clarification of these requirements please contact Armin Doerry ((505) 845-8165, awdoerr@sandia.gov) at the Sandia National Laboratory.

b. Components to Improve Active Imaging Systems—Grant applications are sought for high-throughput optical filters (with throughputs of 5 cm²-

steradians or higher for apertures of no more than 5-10 cm) operating in the visible and/or near-infrared (400 nm - 3.0 μm) regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. Filters of interest must have a single bandpass of no more than 0.1 nanometers or multiple (three or more) widely separated bandpasses of 1 nanometer or less. Filter tunability would be useful, but is not a requirement.

Grant applications are also sought for photocathodes for advanced sensors for single photon detection and imaging in the 1.5-3 μm spectral region. Transmissive devices are contemplated, but novel devices with other geometries could be considered. Important characteristics include room temperature operation, high quantum efficiency (>10% at 1.5 μm, the wavelength of most interest), low noise (<1 nA/cm² at 25C operating temperature), and fast (< 1 ns) response. Uniformity, linearity, and such processing factors as resistance to contamination are also important. Customizable spectral responsivity would also be of interest.

Grant applications are also sought for the development of a compact, portable seed laser with short (less than 1 nanosecond) pulses, a narrow (less than 1 nanometer) spectral bandwidth, and an intermediate pulse repetition rate that is adjustable between 1 KiloHertz and 1 MegaHertz or wider. Pulse energy should be 10 nanoJoules or higher. Because further amplification and wavelength conversion is likely, a wavelength in the 1.0 to 1.5 micrometer range is desired. Lightweight, low power consumption, and small size (0.5 cubic feet or less for the laser, and a similar size for the associated power supplies/electronics), and high pulse contrast ratio are also very important. Technologies offering a pathway to shorter pulses, higher pulse energy, and/or more flexible pulse formats are strongly preferred.

Finally, grant applications are sought for compact power amplifiers for use with the laser oscillators described above. Output pulse energies must be 10 microJoules or higher. These amplifier systems must be of small size (0.5 cubic feet or less for the laser, and a similar size for the associated power supplies/electronics).

For further information or clarification of these requirements please contact Cheng Ho (505-667-3904, ho@lanl.gov) or David C. Thompson (505-667-5168, dcthomp@lanl.gov) at the Los Alamos National Laboratory.

References:

Subtopic a: Components for Synthetic Aperture Radar Systems

1. *2001 IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium Digest, Phoenix, AZ, May 20-25, 2001*, Piscataway, NJ: IEEE, 2001. (ISBN: 0-7803-6538-0) (IEEE Catalogue No. 01CH37157)
2. Kim, T. J., et al., "An Integrated Navigation System Using GPS Carrier Phase for Real-Time Airborne/Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)," *Navigation*, 48(1):13-24, Spring 2001. (ISSN: 0028-8152)
3. *Synthetic Aperture Radar*, Sandia National Laboratories, <http://www.sandia.gov/radar/sar.html>

Subtopic b: Components to Improve Active Imaging Systems

4. Baron, M. H., and Priedhorsky, W. C., "Crossed Delay Line Detector for Ground- and Space-Based Applications," *EUV, X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Instrumentation for Astronomy IV: Proceedings of the SPIE* (International Society for Optical Engineering), 2006:188-197, November 1993. (Available from SPIE at: <http://spie.org/app/Publications/>. Select Advanced Search and search papers by title words, authors and publication date.)
5. Ho, C., et al., "Demonstration of Literal Three-Dimensional Imaging," *Applied Optics*, 38:1833-1840, 1999. (ISSN: 0003-6935)
6. Priedhorsky, W. C., et al., "Laser Ranging and Mapping with a Photon-Counting Detector," *Applied Optics*, 35:441-452, 1996. (ISSN: 0003-6935)
7. *Single Photon Detector and 3-D Imaging*, Los Alamos National Laboratory, <http://www.rulli.lanl.gov/>

19. RESEARCH TO SUPPORT GLOBAL NUCLEAR EXPLOSION MONITORING

The Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Research & Engineering (NEM R&E) program is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Office of Nonproliferation Research and Engineering. This program is responsible for the research and development

necessary to provide the U.S. Government with capabilities for monitoring nuclear explosions. The NEM R&E program provides research products to the Air Force Technical Applications Center (AFTAC), which collects and analyses data from a network of seismic, radionuclide, hydroacoustic, and infrasound data collection stations. Within the context of one or more of these technologies, research is sought to develop algorithms, hardware, and software for improved event detection, location, and identification at thresholds and confidence levels that meet U.S. requirements in a cost-effective manner. Grant applications responding to this topic must demonstrate how the proposed approaches would complement and be coordinated with ongoing or completed work (see list of ongoing contracts <https://www.nemre.nnsa.doe.gov/coordination>) while improving capability.

Grant applications are sought only in the area of technologies for nuclear explosion monitoring, as described below.

a. Ground-Based Systems for Seismic Monitoring of Nuclear Explosions—Grant applications are sought for systems that will greatly improve the data availability for existing seismic stations while reducing operation and maintenance costs. Sensor data must be collected continuously with very low noise and transmitted to a data center in near real time with high reliability (>99%). Designs should include robustness, low-power, and reliable wireless communication from each sensor site to the central location over rough terrain. Grant applications to develop schemes for direct communication between the sensor site and the data center via satellite; the goal is to reduce satellite communication costs and the size and power demand of field components.

b. Ground-Based Systems for Radionuclide Effluent Monitoring of Nuclear Explosions—Grant applications are sought to improve radionuclide effluent monitoring systems through diagnostic/predictive statistical tools, including state-of-health data transmitted from existing ground-based systems. These tools should include mathematical algorithms to exploit signatures in the state-of-health data to detect, diagnose, and predict subtle hardware faults, thereby improving availability, lowering cost, and increasing the confidence in network operations. The software tools must be of proven reliability and take into consideration the wide extremes in the environmental conditions of the ground-based sampler/analyzers.

Grant applications are also sought to explore the use of beta-gamma coincidence to detect radioactive xenon isotopes, which could improve data availability, cost of operations, and, potentially, sensitivity. Existing systems utilize a plastic scintillator for beta detection and NaI for gamma detection in a system with 12 photomultiplier tubes for 4 sample chambers. A system that is much easier to calibrate, i.e. having fewer photomultiplier tubes per sample chamber, would be desirable. The replacement system should use one phototube per sample chamber and digital signal processing to extract beta and gamma signals from a “Phoswich”-configured, dual-scintillator detector. The system must withstand thermal and mechanical shock and allow the introduction and subsequent evacuation of ~10cc of gas sample with at most 0.5% memory effect between samples.

References:

1. *Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Research and Engineering Program Strategic Plan*, National Nuclear Security Administration, September 2003. (Document No. DOE/NNSA/NA-22-NEMRE-2003) (Full text available at: <https://www.nemre.nnsa.doe.gov/coordination>)
2. *U.S. National Data Center*, Air Force Technical Applications Center, <http://www.tt.aftac.gov/toppage.html>

3. *Proceedings of the 25th Seismic Research Review—Nuclear Explosion Monitoring: Building the Knowledge Base*, Tucson, AZ, September 23-25, 2003, sponsored by National Nuclear Security Administration/Air Force Research Laboratory; Los Alamos National Laboratory, 2003. (Report No. LA-UR-03-6029) (Available at: <https://www.nemre.nnsa.doe.gov/coordination>. On top menu, select “Previous SRR Proceedings,” and then “2003.”)
4. *Proceedings of the 24th Seismic Research Review—Nuclear Explosion Monitoring: Innovation and Integration*, Ponte Vedra Beach, FL, September 17-19, 2002, sponsored by National Nuclear Security Administration/Defense Threat Reduction Agency; Los Alamos National Laboratory, 2002. (Report No. LA-UR-02-5048) (Available at: <https://www.nemre.nnsa.doe.gov/coordination>. On top menu, select “Previous SRR Proceedings,” and then “2002.”)
5. *Proceedings of the 23rd Seismic Research Review: Worldwide Monitoring of Nuclear Explosions*, Jackson Hole, WY, October 2-5, 2001, sponsored by National Nuclear Security Administration/Defense Threat Reduction Agency; Los Alamos National Laboratory, 2001. (Report No. LA-UR-01-4454) (Available at: <https://www.nemre.nnsa.doe.gov/coordination>. On top menu, select “Previous SRR Proceedings,” and then “2001.”)

PROGRAM AREA OVERVIEW BIOLOGICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH

http://www.er.doe.gov/production/ober/ober_top.html

The Biological and Environmental Research (BER) Program supports fundamental, peer-reviewed research in climate change, environmental remediation, genomics, systems biology, radiation biology, and medical sciences. BER funds research at public and private research institutions and at DOE laboratories. BER also supports leading edge research facilities used by public and private sector scientists across a range of disciplines: structural biology, DNA sequencing, functional genomics, climate science, the global carbon cycle, and environmental molecular science.

BER has a particular interest in the following areas:

- (1) Climate Change research aimed at the development of advanced climate models to describe and predict the roles of oceans, the atmosphere, ice and land masses on climate over time and research to understand how carbon dioxide moves through the environment, ways to increase its removal from the atmosphere, and its impacts on the Earth’s climate and ecosystems.
- (2) Environmental Remediation research aimed at the development of advanced treatment options for nuclear waste, thereby extending the frontiers of biological and chemical methods for remediation, including the use of Earth’s own

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

microbe-based clean-up strategies; this research will yield science-based strategies to reduce the costs, risks, and time for cleanup of DOE sites contaminated from years of weapons research.

(3) Medical Sciences research aimed at the development of advanced imaging and other medical technologies including highly sensitive radiotracer detectors, radiopharmaceuticals, and new technologies such as an artificial retina that will give vision to the blind.

(4) Life Sciences research aimed at the development of innovative solutions along unconventional paths to solve challenges in energy and the environment. Research is focused on understanding nature's remarkable array of multi-protein molecular machines and the intricate workings of complex microbial communities; and on enabling us to use and even redesign these microbial machines and communities to produce clean energy, remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, and cleanup the environment. This program also supports research to understand the biological effects of low doses of radiation.

20. ATMOSPHERIC MEASUREMENT TECHNOLOGY

World-wide energy production is modifying the chemical composition of the atmosphere and is linked with environmental degradation and human health problems. The radiative transfer properties of the atmosphere may be changing as well. Various technological developments are needed for high accuracy and/or long term monitoring of these changes to support a strategy of sustainable and pollution-free energy development for the future.

Grant applications must propose Phase I bench tests of critical technologies. Critical technologies are those components, materials, equipment, or processes that significantly limit current capabilities in one of the specific subtopics that follow. For example, grant applications proposing only computer modeling without physical testing will be considered non-responsive. Grant applications should also describe the purpose and benefits of any proposed teaming arrangements with government laboratories or universities in the technical approach or work plan. Applications submitted to any of the subtopics should support claims of commercial potential for proposed technologies, (e.g., endorsements from relevant industrial sectors, market analysis, or identification of potential spin-offs). **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Optical Methods for Ultra-Sensitive Trace Gas Measurements—Continued improvement and development of innovative instrumentation are required for carrying out studies of the chemical processes in the troposphere. The complexity of the gas mixtures requires specificity and high sensitivity for adequate characterization and monitoring of key species on short

time scales (seconds). Optical methods in the visible, near-infrared, and far-infrared allow this specificity but have suffered from lack of sensitivity for many key gases. Recent advances in light sources such as Quantum Cascade (QC) lasers and novel absorption techniques such as cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS) are expected to improve the optical methods. Grant applications are sought to develop advanced optical methods, based on these new technologies, to measure the concentration of tropospheric trace gases in field and aircraft applications. Of particular interest are small, lightweight instruments that are low in power consumption for use aboard aircraft platforms and at surface measurement sites. Target species of particular interest include CO, ethene, acetylene, NO, NO₂, NO₃, nitric acid, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, sulfur dioxide, nitrous acid, nitrous oxide, isoprene, methacrolein, methyl vinyl ketone, methyl nitrate, hydrogen peroxide, peroxyacetyl nitrate, methyl hydroperoxide, and peracetic acid.

Proposed systems must be capable of providing real-time measurements (i.e., the time for both sampling and response should be less than one minute) and be sufficiently sensitive to detect concentrations as low as 0.01-0.05 parts per billion. Rapid response instruments that are capable of flux measurements with response times of one second or less are of particular interest. Grant applications must include detailed descriptions of the instrumentation (including how it will connect to the atmosphere, for the purpose of sampling, without interference from intake losses or other confounding factors) and demonstrate how the proposed technique will result in improved aircraft and field measurement capabilities.

b. DIAL Water Vapor Profiling System—The accurate, continuous measurement of vertical profiles of water vapor content in the lower atmosphere remains

essential for atmospheric research and weather forecasting. Effective techniques currently range from rawinsondes to sophisticated microwave and optical techniques. The technology available for differential adsorption lidars (DIALs) to measure vertical profiles of water vapor has been improving. Grant applications are solicited to develop a highly portable, eye-safe, DIAL system for water vapor profiling that requires limited amounts of power and can operate unattended for long periods of time in the outdoor environment. Water vapor profiles up to at least two kilometers, during all times of the day, are required; even greater vertical probing distances are needed for some studies. Temporal resolution of one minute or less and vertical height resolution of 50 m or less are needed for routine observations; even better resolution is required for some special applications, e.g., the vertical profiling of the eddy fluxes of water vapor. Of particular interest are innovations that take full advantage of current laser and optical filter technology, utilize low-cost components and assembly, and maintain reliability of operation.

c. Measurement of the Size Distribution of Water Drops in Clouds—Existing *in situ* optical instruments, commonly used on research aircraft and at the surface, for measuring the drop size distribution of water clouds suffer inherent limitations. Because the measurement technology of these instruments (e.g., forward scattering and phase Doppler probes) is based on the light scattering properties of individual drops, the sample volume must be very small to prevent the coincidence of two or more drops from influencing the measurement. However, in clouds with low drop concentrations, these very small sample volumes make it difficult to obtain statistically significant drop samples when the drops are greater than approximately 50 μm in diameter. Yet, clouds with low drop concentrations and with drops greater than 50 μm are scientifically very important because this is the regime in which drizzle drops (i.e., drops with diameters from 50 to 200 μm) are formed. The formation of drizzle can lead to a rapid modification of the cloud drop size distribution, which in turn has a strong influence on a cloud's radiative properties, especially for marine and arctic stratus clouds. (For example, the formation of drizzle often leads to a rapid increase in effective drop radius and a corresponding decrease in number concentration, thereby decreasing the reflectance of a cloud.) Because stratus clouds cover a large portion of the earth, this process has a strong impact on the global radiative budget. Grant applications are sought to develop new instrument technology that is capable of providing statistically significant measurements of the size distribution of water drops with diameters from 3 to 200 μm in clouds

that have a total drop concentration on the order of 10 to 100 per cubic centimeter. The new instrumentation should be capable of operation on research aircraft, tethered balloons, and on the ground without degradation in performance. The Phase I project should demonstrate the feasibility of the technology in the laboratory. In Phase II, an operational sensor should be built and tested on a research aircraft, on a tethered balloon, and on the ground. Potential commercial applications of the new sensor could extend to measurements of industrial and agricultural sprays.

d. Instrumentation for Characterizing Organic Substances in Aerosol Particles—Important insights into atmospheric pollution can be gained by understanding the characteristics and temporal changes of organic substances in ambient atmospheric aerosol particles with diameters less than about 2.5 micrometers. Grant applications are sought to develop instrumentation for real-time measurements that will: (1) provide accurate estimates of both mass and speciation of organic matter as a function of particle size; (2) detect the changing degree of oxygenation of the organics in aerosols, in order to evaluate the photochemical evolution of the organic aerosol; or (3) identify isotopic and molecular-level tracers of primary and secondary organic carbon, in order to help understand the origins of the fine particulate matter. The instrumentation and associated systems must account for such factors as polarity and water solubility, and must be capable of extended operation in an outdoor, field environment. Methods are needed that will provide accurate measurements of the organic aerosols with minimal artifacts (for example, semivolatile organics are known to absorb and desorb from filter media used to collect the organic aerosol samples) for both field and aircraft operations and for both organic carbon and black carbon. Examples of past approaches include determining $^{14}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ isotopic ratios as a means of estimating fossil/biogenic hydrocarbon contributions to the aerosols, optical measurements of the "blackness" of the sample as a means of determining black carbon (soot) contributions, and thermal evolution techniques.

References:

1. Albrecht, B. A., et al., "Observations of Marine Stratocumulus During FIRE," *Bulletin of American Meteorological Society*, 69:618-626, 1988. (ISSN: 0003-0007)
2. Bachalo, W. D. and Houser, M. J., "Phase/Doppler Spray Analyzer for Simultaneous Measurements of Drop Size and Velocity Distributions," *Optical Engineering*, 23:583-590, 1984. (ISSN: 0091-3286)

3. Baumgardner, D., W., et al., "Optical and Electronic Limitations of the Forward-Scattering Spectrometer Probe," *Liquid Particle Size Measurements Techniques: 2nd Volume*, pp. 115-127, American Society for Testing and Materials Special Technical Publication, 1990. (ISBN: 0-8031-1459-1) (ASTM STP 1083) (For more information, see ASTM Web site at: www.astm.org)
4. Capasso, C., et al., "Quantum Cascade Lasers: Band-Structure Engineering Has Led to Fundamentally New Laser with Applications Ranging from Highly Sensitive Trace-Gas Analysis to Communications," *Physics Today*, 55:34-40, May 2002. (ISSN: 0031-9228)
5. Chou, M. D. and Peng, L., "A Parameterization of the Absorption in the 15 Micron CO₂ Spectral Region with Application to Climate Sensitivity Studies," *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 40:2183-2192, September 1983. (ISSN: 0022-4928)
6. Daum, P. H., et al., "Analysis of the Processing of Nashville Urban Emissions on July 3 and July 18, 1995," *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105(7):9155-9164, April 16, 2000. (ISSN: 0148-0227)
7. Eatough, D. J., et al., "A Multiple-System Multi-Channel Diffusion Denuder Sampler for the Determination of Fine-Particulate Organic Material in the Atmosphere," *Atmospheric Environment, Part A: General Topics*, 27A(8):1213-1219, June 1993. (ISSN: 0004-6981)
8. Ellingson, R. G., et al., "The Intercomparison of Radiation Codes Used in Climate Models--Long Wave Results," *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96:8929-8953, May 20, 1991. (ISSN: 0148-0227)
9. Fehsenfeld, F. C., et al., "Ground-Based Intercomparison of Nitric Acid Measurement Techniques," *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103(3):3343-3353, 1998. (ISSN: 0148-0227)
10. Gogou, A. I., et al., "Determination of Organic Molecular Markers in Marine Aerosols and Sediments: One Step Flash Chromatography Compound Class Fractionation and Capillary Gas Chromatographic Analysis," *Journal of Chromatography*, 799(1-2):215-231, March 13, 1998. (ISSN: 0021-9673)
11. Grosjean, D., et al., "Evolved Gas Analysis of Secondary Organic Aerosols," *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 21(4):306-324, 1994. (ISSN: 0278-6826)
12. Hansen, A. D., et al., "The Aethalometer--An Instrument for the Real-Time Measurement of Optical Absorption by Aerosol Particles," paper presented at the *International Conference on Carbonaceous Particles in the Atmosphere, Linz, Austria, September 11, 1983*, Berkeley, CA: Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, August 1983. (DOE Report No. LBL-16106) (NTIS Order No. DE84000400. Available from National Technology Information Service. See Solicitation General Information and Guidelines, section 7.1)
13. Lawson, R. P. and Blyth, A. M., "A Comparison of Optical Measurements of Liquid Water Content and Drop Size Distribution in Adiabatic Regions of Florida Cumuli," *Atmospheric Research*, 47-48:671-690, 1998. (ISSN: 0169-8095)
14. Miloshevich, L. M. and Heymsfield, A. H., "A Balloon-Borne Continuous Cloud Particle Replicator for Measuring Vertical Profiles of Cloud Microphysical Properties: Instrument Design, Performance, and Collection Efficiency Analysis," *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 14(4):753-768, August 1997. (ISSN: 0739-0572)
15. Schiff, H. I., et al., "A Tunable Diode Laser System for Aircraft Measurements of Trace Gases," *Journal of Geophysical Research C, Oceans and Atmospheres*, 95(7):10147-10153, June 20, 1990. (ISSN: 0196-2256)
16. Slingo, A., "Sensitivity of the Earth's Radiation Budget to Changes in Low Clouds," *Nature*, 343:49-51, 1990. (ISSN: 0028-0836)
17. Spicer, C. W., et al., "A Laboratory in the Sky: New Frontiers in Measurements Aloft," *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 28(9):412A-420A, September, 1994. (ISSN: 0013-936X)
18. Williams, E. J., et al., "An Intercomparison of Five Ammonia Measurement Techniques," *Journal of Geophysical Research C, Oceans and Atmospheres*, 97(11):11591-11611, 1992. (ISSN: 0196-2256)

19. Wulfmeyer, V., "Investigation of Turbulent Processes in the Lower Troposphere with Water Vapor DIAL and Radar-RASS," *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 56:1055-1076, April 1999. (ISSN: 0022-4928)

21. CARBON CYCLE MEASUREMENTS OF THE ATMOSPHERE AND THE BIOSPHERE

Eighty-five percent of our nation's energy results from the burning of fossil fuels from vast reservoirs of coal, oil, and natural gas. These processes add carbon to the atmosphere, principally in the form of carbon dioxide (CO₂). It is important to understand the fate of this excess CO₂ in the global carbon cycle in order to assess the terrestrial ecosystem response, the sensitivity of climate, and the potential for sequestration in natural carbon sinks of lands and oceans. Therefore, improved measurement approaches are needed to quantify carbon changes in components of the global carbon cycle, particularly the terrestrial biosphere, in order to improve understanding and assess the potential for future carbon sequestration.

A DOE working paper on carbon sequestration science and technology describes research needs and technology requirements for sequestering carbon by ocean and terrestrial systems (see Reference 2). This document calls for substantially improved technology for measuring carbon transformation of the atmosphere and biosphere. The document also describes advanced sensor technology and measurement approaches that are needed for detecting changes of carbon quantities of terrestrial (including biotic, microbial, and soil components) and oceanic systems, and for evaluating relationships between these carbon cycle components and the atmosphere.

Grant applications submitted to this topic should demonstrate performance characteristics of proposed measurement systems, and show a capability for deployment at field scales ranging from experimental plot size (meters to hectares of land -- with comparable dimensions for marine systems) to nominal dimensions of ecosystems (hectares to square kilometers). Research to develop miniaturized sensors to determine atmospheric CO₂ concentration is also encouraged. In addition, Phase I projects must perform feasibility and/or field tests of proposed measurement systems to assure high degree of reliability and robustness. Combinations of remote and *in situ* approaches will be considered,

although priority will be given to ideas/approaches for verifying biosphere carbon changes and for estimating carbon sequestration.

Lastly, applicants with an interest in collaboration should be aware of the DOE Consortium for Research on Carbon Sequestration in Terrestrial Ecosystems (CSITE) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), and Argonne National Laboratory (ANL). The co-directors are Gary Jacobs (ORNL/e-mail: jacobsgk@ornl.gov) and Blaine Metting (PNNL/e-mail: fb_metting@pnl.gov). Other possible collaborators include scientists from Texas A&M University, Colorado State University, the University of Washington, North Carolina State University, the Rodale Institute in Pennsylvania, and the Joanneum Research Institute in Austria. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Sensors and Techniques for Measuring Terrestrial Carbon Sinks and Sources—Measurement technology is required to quantify carbon sequestration by natural vegetation and ecosystems (i.e., carbon sinks) as well as CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere from natural or industrial sources. Grant applications are sought to develop remote, ground-based sensors and unique measurement techniques (and associated system technology, if appropriate) to detect and quantify annual net carbon changes of terrestrial vegetation for large areas, or to measure and verify the magnitude of CO₂ emissions from various sources. For the measurement of CO₂ sinks, the sensor systems or new technology must be applicable for forests, grasslands, shrub lands, agricultural lands, and/or wetlands, and have the capability of producing spatially resolved aggregate estimates of terrestrial carbon changes to an accuracy of 10 to 25 g/m²/yr (or approximately 0.25 tonnes of carbon per hectare per year), with less than 25 percent uncertainty. For measuring emissions, the apparatus must be located at a point remote from the actual site of CO₂ release and provide accuracy estimates for CO₂ concentrations of approximately 0.5 ppm or less. Grant applications are also sought to design and demonstrate a new CO₂ analyzer with the following characteristics: (1) ability to determine the mole fraction of CO₂ in dry ambient air to a relative precision of 1 part in 3000 or better in one minute or less; (2) low gas use (30 cc/min or less) to minimize problems due to water vapor and to minimize consumption of reference gases, if employed; (3) robust enough for unattended field deployment for periods of half a year or longer; (4) cost less than \$5000 when manufactured in quantity; and (5) not sensitive to motion.

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

Mechanical sensors must be durable in the full range of normal environmental conditions and exposures, including exposure to dust, rain, snow, heat, extreme cold, and fog. Operation in unattended, remote locations for weeks at a time, without degradation of the measurement, is also required; however, daily telecommunication with the system for monitoring performance and detecting potential operational problems would be desirable.

Proposed approaches, including both mechanical sensors and non-mechanical technology should consist of new, innovative methodologies that are significant advances over conventional scientific approaches used to measure CO₂, carbon, and related compounds. Specifically, the measurement systems should be different from, or substantially augment, existing methods for eddy flux (covariance), routine monitoring of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, or estimating carbon quantities of land and/or ocean constituents of the carbon cycle. Grant applications proposing *in situ* or in-stream measurement of flue gas emissions will be declined, as will applications that offer only incremental or marginal improvements over existing measurement systems.

b. Novel Measurements of Organic Substances and Carbon Isotopes in Terrestrial and Atmospheric Media—Improved measurement technology is needed to better characterize processes involving carbon transformations of soil, vegetation, and associated ecosystem components and exchanges with the atmosphere. This includes both carbon content and isotopic measurements of organic matter in soils and other solid substrates, as well as the carbon content of biological tissues in various components (e.g., phytomass, detritus) of terrestrial ecosystems.

Grant applications are sought for measurements of carbon content in the atmosphere, vegetation, soil, and associated environmental media. For measurements involving the carbon content of biota and soil, grant applications must demonstrate that these measurements can be used to predict changes in carbon quantities and/or fluxes involving major components of ecosystems, with an accuracy on the order of 10 grams per square meter or less. Quantification of spatially resolved aggregate estimates of terrestrial carbon changes should have an accuracy of 10 to 25 g/m²/yr (or approximately 0.25 tonnes of carbon per hectare per year), with less than 25 percent uncertainty.

For measurements of atmospheric CO₂, development of lightweight (approximately 100 gram) sensors capable of measuring fluctuations of CO₂ in air of the order of plus

or minus 1 ppm in a background of 370 ppm is solicited. The devices must be suitable for launch on balloonsondes or similar such platforms, and therefore must be insensitive to large changes in ambient temperature and pressure. They must be able to operate on low power (e.g., 9v battery), and have a response time of less than 30 seconds.

Grant applications are also sought for unique, rapid, and cost-effective methods for measuring the natural carbon isotopic composition of plant, soil, and atmospheric materials. The idea is to use isotope technology to identify sources and sinks of carbon materials, and to use carbon isotopes to distinguish relative carbon exchanges between terrestrial or aquatic media and the atmosphere. New isotope approaches and technology should demonstrate a quantitative capability for both estimating and distinguishing carbon flux among atmosphere, biosphere, and soil components of natural and manipulated carbon cycles.

Proposed new measurements of terrestrial biota and soil must be accomplished by *in situ* and/or non-invasive means and/or remote sensing of organic carbon forms across a range of temporal scales (from seconds to days) and spatial scales (from millimeters to kilometers), depending on the system properties being observed. Instruments must be portable and deployable in remote locations, and must not adversely impact the site of deployment. The term "remote sensing" means that the observation method is physically separated from the object of interest. Research that develops unique surface-based observations and uses them for calibration/interpretation of other remotely derived data is of interest; however, except for potential application of CO₂ sensor via balloonsonde, other methods of remote sensing data acquisition by airborne or satellite platforms will not be considered.

References:

1. Allen, L. H., Jr., et al., eds., "Advances in Carbon Dioxide Effects Research," *American Society of Agronomy, Special Publication No. 61*, Madison, WI: ASA, CSSA, and SSSA, 1997. (ISBN: 0-89118-133-4) (Abstract, table of contents, and ordering information available at: http://www.asa-cssa-sssa.org/cgi-bin/Web_store/web_store.cgi?page=special_publications_asa.html&cart_id=6208152_31794)
2. Daniels, D. J., *Surface Penetrating Radar*, London: The Institution of Electrical Engineers, 1996. (ISBN: 0-85296-862-0)

3. Hall, D. O., et al., eds., *Photosynthesis and Production in a Changing Environment: A Field and Laboratory Manual*, New York: Chapman & Hall, 1993. (ISBN: 0412429004)
4. Hashimoto, Y., et al., eds., *Measurement Techniques in Plant Science*, San Diego: Academic Press, Inc., 1990. (ISBN: 0-12-330585-3)
5. McMichael, B. L. and Persson, H., eds., *Plant Roots and Their Environment: Proceedings of an ISRR Symposium, Uppsala, Sweden, August 21-26, 1988*, New York: Elsevier, 1991. (ISBN: 0-444-89104-8)
6. Nelson, D. W. and Sommers, L. E., "Total Carbon, Organic Carbon, and Organic Matter," *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3: Chemical Methods*, pp. 961-1010, Madison, WI: Soil Science Society of America, 1996. (ISBN: 0-89118-825-8)
7. Rozema, J., et al., eds., *CO₂ and Biosphere*, Hingham, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993. (ISBN: 0792320441) (This publication is part of a monographic series, *Advances in Vegetation Science*, Vol. 14 - ISSN: 0168-8022) (Reprinted from *Vegetation*, 104/105, January 1993 - ISSN: 0042-3106. Now called *Plant Ecology* - ISSN: 1385-0237)
8. Swift, R., "Organic Matter Characterization," *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3: Chemical Methods*, pp. 1011-1070, Madison, WI: Soil Science Society of America, 1996. (ISBN: 0-89118-825-8)

22. BIOLOGICAL CARBON SEQUESTRATION RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY

The burning of fossil fuels adds carbon to the atmosphere, principally in the form of carbon dioxide, and the potential environmental impacts have made carbon management an international concern. There is increasing national and international interest in enhancing natural mechanisms to slow the rate of atmospheric CO₂ increase, or in developing new approaches to mitigate the current atmospheric rise in CO₂ levels. A DOE report on carbon sequestration science and technology (see reference 2) describes research needs and technology requirements for sequestering carbon by ocean and terrestrial systems, including a discussion of advanced biological processes

and chemical approaches. This topic focuses on biological mechanisms that offer the potential to slow the rate of atmospheric CO₂ increase, convert carbon into relatively stable organic or inorganic forms, and utilize biosystems to achieve the simultaneous production of fuel or chemicals while sequestering carbon. Research is needed to identify and quantify mechanisms for CO₂ transformation at rates that will lead to the long term fixation or sequestration of large quantities of carbon (i.e., where 10,000 to 100,000 tonnes or more of carbon per year transformed or fixed is considered significant) when applied to either natural (e.g., unmanaged terrestrial ecosystems) or managed biosystems.

Plants are known to fix CO₂ into biomass, and various terrestrial and aquatic microbial populations also fix greenhouse gases (CO₂, CH₄ and CO), either incorporating them into biomass or transforming them to potentially useful organic compounds. Biochemical pathways have been identified in unicellular microorganisms that carry out the following transformations: (1) CO₂ to CH₄ (methanogens); (2) CO₂ to organic material, i.e., biomass and/or other potentially useful byproducts (nonmethanogenic autotrophs); (3) CO to organic material (various, including carboxydrotrophs, and methylotrophs); and (4) CH₄ to organic material (methanotrophs). These desired activities are characteristic of bacteria, archaeae, unicellular algae, and yeasts. The useful microorganisms may be either photosynthetic (as are algae and blue-green bacteria) or nonphotosynthetic (most microorganisms).

In some cases, microbial carbon fixation activity leads to the direct production of long-chain hydrocarbons (up to C₃₆). Both CH₄ and hydrocarbons are useful fuels, as is H₂, which is also produced by various microorganisms such as autotrophs. This H₂-producing activity may occur directly via carbon fixation, or indirectly by the reductive biotransformation of organic carbon-sequestration products by other microbes. Alternatively, some micro-organisms that are capable of fixing CO₂, CH₄, or CO, may instead, when coupled to other fermentative microbial cultures (e.g., bacteria or yeast) in a two-stage process, transform the gaseous substrates to useful alcohols (e.g., ethanol or 2,3-butanediol). Other two-stage processes can produce oxychemicals that are themselves valuable commodity chemicals (acetate, lactate, acetaldehyde, acetoin, etc.).

Grant applications must provide for a systematic evaluation of proposed biological mechanisms and carbon sequestration systems. Estimates of the amount

of CO₂ transformed also must be provided, and any assumptions concerning quantities and conditions for carbon fixation and sequestration must be clearly defined. Feasibility tests (analytical, bench, or field) performed in Phase I must demonstrate that the proposed approach, when scaled up, could theoretically result in a significant rate reduction in atmospheric CO₂ concentration, significant sequestered amounts of carbon, or the production of significant amounts of value-added food, fiber, chemicals, construction materials, or fuel products. Phase I should provide preliminary data on prospective rates and quantities of enhanced carbon transformation and sequestration with more comprehensive and peer-reviewed data sets developed in Phase II. Grant applications proposing only computer modeling without improvements in physical mechanisms or field approaches will not be considered.

The facilities and expertise of the DOE Consortium for Research on Carbon Sequestration in Terrestrial Ecosystems (CSITE) can be made available to potential SBIR applicants to this topic. The CSITE is a consortium based at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), and Argonne National Laboratory (ANL). The co-directors are Gary Jacobs (ORNL/e-mail: jacobs@ornl.gov) and Blaine Metting (PNNL/e-mail: fb_metting@pnl.gov). Scientists at Texas A&M University, Colorado State University, the University of Washington, North Carolina State University, and the Joanneum Research Institute in Austria can also provide support to potential applicants. The DOE also supports carbon sequestration research at the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL). **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Plant and Soil Sequestration of Carbon—Terrestrial vascular plants effectively capture CO₂ from the atmosphere and produce organic compounds, which sustain productivity of the Earth's ecosystems. Some of the fixed carbon is sequestered in soils or sediments and in wood products of terrestrial ecosystems. Woody species, for example, sequester carbon as lignocellulose, which is a stored product for the lifetime of the tree. Also, above- and below-ground biomass carbon contributes to soil organic matter, which may store carbon for long periods of time. Grant applications are sought to identify and quantify the biological pathways and mechanisms leading to increased quantities of carbon sequestration by biotic and soil components of terrestrial ecosystems. Areas of particular interest include: (1) research on plant metabolic pathways or mechanisms that allow increased CO₂ fixation rates,

achieved through conventional molecular or traditional genetic means, and leading to overall productivity increases; (2) novel technologies for managing vegetation (such as cost-effective nutrient management, forest regeneration, and ecosystem modification) to enhance carbon uptake and retention, thereby significantly increasing CO₂ fixation and C storage; (3) techniques for increasing the fraction of recalcitrant organic compounds produced during natural microbial conversion of plant biomass in soils, resulting in increased long-term C-storage; and (4) measurement techniques that would allow for the validation of technologies developed to enhance net long-term C sequestration in man-made and natural environments.

Proposed approaches should exhibit a capability to increase, or to measure increases of, carbon fixation or sequestration by at least 1 tonne per hectare per year. Grant applications should provide information about rates and quantities of carbon fixation or sequestration enhancement by the proposed technologies. Phase I must demonstrate basic feasibility and efficacy of proposed sequestration mechanisms, with the larger field-scale applications designed and tested in Phase II.

b. Development of Enhanced Carbon-Sequestering Biosystems—Previously-identified, naturally-occurring cultures have been shown to fix carbon along with the production of fuels or commodity chemicals. Grant applications are sought to further optimize these processes via one or more biotechnological techniques (strain improvement including the use of genetic engineering, culture medium optimization, novel reactor design, or improved reactor operation). Desired improvements should increase carbon sequestration rates by at least 50%. Grant applications should focus on: (1) the development of microbial cultures with improved carbon-sequestering abilities, (2) the development of improved reactors or their operating protocols configurations that support improved growth, or (3) a combination of (1) and (2). Phase I must demonstrate the improved carbon sequestration biosystem(s) on a bench scale. Larger, pilot-scale demonstrations would be tested in Phase II.

c. Production of Commodity Chemicals—Grant applications are sought to identify and characterize new one- or two-stage biosystems capable of fixing carbon along with the production of nonfuel commodity chemicals – acids, alcohols, and/or aldehydes. (“Stage” refers to a discrete microbial culture containing either a single organism or a consortium – two-stage cultures are operated sequentially. “Biosystem” refers to a culture grown in a bioreactor.) Although a single biosystem

would not be expected to perform all of these tasks, a single stage biosystem that produced large amounts of biosolids would still be of interest – provided that the biosolids could be used as petrochemical-sparing feedstocks for chemical production (either via traditional methods or as agricultural soil amendments via composting). For biosolids produced as chemical feedstocks, no special attributes are required. However, biosolids produced for agricultural purposes must be more resistant to subsequent biodegradation than typical cellulosic materials. Areas of interest include (1) the identification of new, naturally-occurring microorganisms with acceptable carbon-sequestering abilities; (2) the identification of novel configurations for growth of useful microorganisms at the expense of greenhouse gases, or (3) a combination of (1) and (2).

Proposed approaches based on these new biosystems must show significant potential for rapidly fixing large quantities of carbon. An acceptable carbon sequestration rate would be the consumption of at least 5 grams of carbon (expressed on an atom basis) per gram cell dry weight per hour, at an ambient temperature of at least 15 degrees C. This rate corresponds to a generation time of no less than approximately 24 hours. In the case of chemical production, the overall process must demonstrate a net CO₂ consumption through the formation of biomass as a by-product. (It is understood that CO₂ production, through normal cell metabolism, is unavoidable, but significant net yield of fixed carbon should be the design objective and performance measure.) Phase I must demonstrate basic feasibility and efficacy of the proposed carbon sequestration mechanisms on a bench scale. Larger, pilot-scale demonstrations with emphasis on yield performance would be tested in Phase II.

d. Production of Fuel Chemicals—Grant applications are sought to identify and characterize new one- or two-culture biosystems capable of fixing carbon along with production of fuel chemicals – H₂, CH₄, fuel hydrocarbons including oils, or fuel alcohols such as ethanol. Areas of interest include: (1) the identification of new, naturally-occurring microorganisms with acceptable carbon-sequestering abilities, (2) the identification of novel configurations for growth of useful microorganisms at the expense of greenhouse gases, or (3) a combination of (1) and (2). It is understood that no single biosystem would be capable of performing all of these tasks.

Proposed approaches based on these new biosystems must show significant potential for rapidly fixing large quantities of carbon. An acceptable carbon sequestration

rate would be the consumption of at least 5 grams of carbon (expressed on an atom basis) per gram cell dry weight per hour, at an ambient temperature of at least 15 degrees C. This rate corresponds to a generation time of no less than approximately 24 hours. In the case of chemical production, the overall process must demonstrate a net CO₂ consumption through the formation of biomass as a by-product. (It is understood that CO₂ production, through normal cell metabolism, is unavoidable, but significant net yield of fixed carbon should be the design objective and performance measure.) Phase I must demonstrate basic feasibility and efficacy of the proposed carbon sequestration mechanisms on a bench scale. Larger, pilot-scale demonstrations with emphasis on yield performance would be tested in Phase II.

References:

1. Belaich, J. P., ed., *Microbiology and Biochemistry of Strict Anaerobes Involved in Interspecies Hydrogen Transfer*, New York: Plenum Press, 1990. (ISBN: 0-306-43517-9) (FEMS Symposium)
2. *Greenhouse Gases, Global Climate Change and Energy*, U.S. DOE National Energy Information Center, 2002.
<http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggcebro/chapter1.html>
3. Lal, R., ed., *Soil Processes and the Carbon Cycle*, Boca Raton: CRC Press, 1998. (ISBN: 0-8493-7441-3)
4. Ratledge, C., ed., *Biochemistry of Microbial Degradation*, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994. (ISBN: 0-7923-2273-8)
5. References from Technical Sessions 3C, 4C, 5C, *First National Conference on Carbon Sequestration, Washington, DC, May 14-17, 2001*. (Available on the Web at: <http://www.netl.doe.gov>)(Select “Publications” from menu on left. Scroll down and select “Conference Proceedings.” Scroll down and select “Previous Conference Proceedings.” A list of conferences in chronological order will appear, with the most recent loaded first. Scroll down and select conference title.)
6. Reichle, D., et al., *Carbon Sequestration Research and Development*, Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Energy Offices of Science and Fossil Energy, 1999. (Full text available at: <http://www.osti.gov/energycitations/>. Using “Basic

Search,” search “Bibliographic Info” for title, above.)

7. Rosenberg, N. J., et al., eds., “Carbon Sequestration in Soils: Science, Monitoring and Beyond,” *Proceedings of the St. Michaels Workshop, St. Michaels, MD, December 1998*, Columbus, OH: Battelle Press, 1999. (ISBN: 1-57477-084-5) (Available from Battelle Press. Telephone: 1-800-451-3543. Web site: <http://www.battelle.org/bookstore>. Search by author.)
8. Rozema, J., et al., eds., *CO₂ and the Biosphere*, Boston, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993. (ISBN: 0792320441) (Also in *Advances in Vegetation Science*, Vol. 14. ISSN: 0168-8022)
9. Various articles from “Natural Sinks of CO₂: Proceedings of the Palmas Del Mar Workshop, Palmas Del Mar, Puerto Rico, February 24-27, 1992,” *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, 64(1-2), 1992. (ISSN: 0049-6979)

23. MEDICAL SCIENCES

The Department of Energy (DOE) Medical Sciences program covers a broad range of energy-related technologies including nuclear medicine and advanced imaging instrumentation. DOE is interested in innovative research involving medical technologies to facilitate and advance the current state of diagnosis and treatment of human disorders.

Principles of physics, chemistry, and engineering are being employed to advance fundamental concepts dealing with human health, to utilize the study of molecular interactions for a better understanding of organ function, and to develop innovative biologics, materials, processes, implants, devices, and informatics systems for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of disease and for improving human health. The DOE Advanced Medical Instrumentation program seeks to capitalize on the unique physical sciences and engineering capabilities at the DOE's national laboratories to develop new technologies that will have a significant impact on human health.

With respect to nuclear medicine, current areas of research include the development of: (1) radiopharmaceuticals as radiotracers to study *in vivo* chemistry, metabolism, cell communication, and gene expression in normal and disease states, and as

therapeutic agents; and (2) new radionuclide imaging systems. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Development of Novel Probes for Biomedical Applications—Grant applications are sought to develop improved and new probes (fluorescent, electron dense, vibrational tags, etc.) with optimum physico-chemical properties for visualization, tracking, assembly, and disassembly of the multiprotein complexes that execute cellular functions and govern both cell form and components. These multifunctional probes would measure structure, including post-translational modification, and would function in real time. Novel probes are also needed to enable rapid visualization and quantification of intracellular processes with high spatial resolution. Probes should be selective, non-perturbative, resistant to degradation, and have unique spectroscopic signatures. Grant applications must present unambiguous experimental systems to validate probe performance and demonstrate that the research will ultimately result in new sensors for medical applications. Several DOE national laboratories have developed considerable expertise in this research area and are available for possible collaboration.

b. Radiopharmaceutical Development for Radiotracer Diagnosis and Targeted Molecular Therapy—Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) radiolabeled compounds that could have applications as radiotracers for radionuclide imaging technologies such as positron emission tomography and single photon emission computed tomography; (2) improved and simplified production of radiolabeled compounds through the use of mini-accelerator technology or automated radiochemical analysis/synthesis techniques; and (3) radiopharmaceuticals for targeted molecular therapy. Of particular interest are radiochemical, synthetic, and combinatorial molecular engineering approaches. All efforts should ultimately result in a product for nuclear medicine use.

c. Advanced Imaging Technologies—Grant applications are sought for new, sensitive, high resolution instrumentation for radionuclide imaging. The instrumentation should advance the application of radiotracer methodologies for imaging molecular biological functions including cell communication and gene expression *in vivo*. Areas of interest include the development of: (1) new detector materials and detector arrays for both positron emission and single photon emission computed tomography; (2) software for rapid image data processing and image reconstruction; and (3) methods of integrating *in vitro* and *in vivo* instrumentation technologies for real time molecular

imaging of biological function and for new drug development and utilization.

References:

1. Klaisner, L., *Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference: 1993 IEEE Conference Record*, IEEE Nuclear and Plasma Sciences Society, 2000. (ISBN: 0-7803-1488-3)
2. Reba, R. C., ed., "Introduction," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, Supplement, 36(6):1S, June 1995. (ISSN: 0161-5505)
3. Wagner, H. N., et al., eds., *Principles of Nuclear Medicine*, 2nd ed., Philadelphia, PA: W. B. Saunders, 1995. (ISBN: 0-7216-9091-2) (Each section contains list of references)
4. "Supplementary Information," at Web site for DOE Office of Science, Notice 03-14: Radiopharmaceutical and Molecular Nuclear Medicine Science Research - Medical Applications Program. (Available at: <http://www.sc.doe.gov/grants/Fr03-14.html>. Scroll down page to text under "Supplementary Information.")
5. Vera, D. R. and Eckelman, W. C., "Receptor 1980 and Receptor 2000: twenty years of progress in receptor-binding radiotracers," *Nuclear Medicine and Biology*, 28(5):475-476, July 2001. (ISSN: 0969-8051) (Abstract and ordering information available at ScienceDirect Web site: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/>. Using "Quick Search," search for article title within "All Full-text Sources.")
6. Cherry, S. R., et al., *Physics in Nuclear Medicine*, 3rd ed., Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders, June 2003. (ISBN: 072168341X)
7. Sandler, M. P., et al., eds., *Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine*, 4th ed., Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams, and Wilkins, October 2002. (ISBN: 0781732522)

24. GENOMES TO LIFE, AND RELATED BIOTECHNOLOGIES

The Department of Energy (DOE) supports research to acquire a fundamental understanding of biological and environmental processes. This includes the display of

genomes as DNA sequences, the functional characterization of gene products from humans and useful organisms, structural biology research using beam lines at synchrotron sources and other facilities, computational genomics, and the development of integrating information systems. This topic is focused on the goals of the Genomes To Life (GTL) program, namely, to develop a detailed understanding of the molecular machines of the cell and of their networking. Microbes with capabilities that can further several DOE programmatic missions are being used as the current subjects for these studies. The knowledge thus gained would enable both the public and private sectors to apply genome knowledge to the production of energy sources, promote environmental applications such as bioremediation and carbon sequestration, promote cleaner industrial processes using biotechnology, and enable increasingly effective computational models of the microbial cell. For some of the subtopics below, capabilities already exist in a few laboratories, but commercial involvement will be needed before the technology can be exported to the broader research community. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Genome Scale Reagent Sets—There is an increasing availability of genomes as sequenced chromosomes with their constituent genes. These genes number in the thousands for bacteria and in the 10-100 thousand range for higher organisms. Each gene may give rise to numerous distinct mRNAs and proteins, through processes of alternative RNA splicing and post-translational modifications. Micro-arraying methodologies are enabling highly parallelized interrogations of these huge macromolecule collections. However, production and management systems are required to assure the availability of the numerous analytical reagents that are needed in small quantities. Grant applications are sought for: (1) systems that will produce thousands of affinity reagents (oligonucleotides, synthetic genes, antibodies, and other affinity reagents) in pico-molar quantities; (2) miniaturized delivery systems for such reagent sets; (3) reagent sets for quantitation of RNA splicing; and (4) candidate interfering RNAs for testing as regulatory agents.

b. Proteomics—A number of proteomics tasks are being pursued to achieve the goals of the GTL program. These tasks include high throughput production and purification of proteins, correlation of proteins with the genes encoding their primary structure, identification of protein isoforms encoded by the same gene, identification of memberships in functional complexes

of proteins, and identification of the variations of proteome constituents under change to useful physiological states. However, a number of obstacles are preventing the accomplishment of these tasks. For example, several host-vector systems are available for the production of proteins encoded in a hyper-expressed source gene; yet, for some source genes, the proteins fail to fold into physiologically effective three-dimensional conformations (entrapment in insoluble inclusion bodies is one cause of such failures). Another difficulty is that proteins targeted to membranes are problematic. Lastly, the lack of affinity reagents that bind to proteins in their native conformations adversely impacts structure, protein association, and function analyses. Therefore, grant applications are sought for the improved recovery and analysis of effective proteins. Areas of interest include: (1) the production of solubilized proteins in active conformations with or without post-translational modifications; (2) the development of synthetic membranes or nano-structures enabling analyses of membrane proteins; (3) the development of improved affinity reagents; and (4) the development of reporting labels to enable the multiplexing of assays.

c. Instrumentation for Single Macromolecule Analysis and Control—Over the last decade, research laboratories have made substantial progress in developing instrumentation for the interrogation and manipulation of single macromolecules. Techniques include the use of optical-laser tweezers, atomic force microscopy, and single molecule fluorescence microscopy. Although the effectiveness of these techniques has improved steadily and the instrumentation is now robust, most of these single-molecule, biophysics instruments are locally built. The lack of commercial support has severely hindered the export of these technologies to the broader user community. Grant applications are sought to expand the commercialization of techniques, instrumentation, and software systems so as to enable the broader usage of single macromolecule analysis methods.

d. Informatics—The development of an effective computational model of the cell not only would contribute to the GTL program but also would have numerous applications, including the preliminary processing of genome scale data sets being generated by experimental groups. Grant applications are sought to improve one or more of the component software packages that have already been developed by laboratory groups, in order to enhance user friendliness and thereby support their broad export to the biologist community. Grant applications are also sought to develop novel

software in support of cellular modeling tasks. Of particular interest are approaches related to: (1) systems biology, (2) the processing of proteomics and metabolomics data sets, (3) improved integration and or querying of heterogenous data sets, and (4) the automated development of cellular metabolic models from data sets on newly studied microbes.

References:

1. "Bioscience: A Most Singular Study [single molecule methods]," *Berkeley Lab Highlights, Berkeley Lab Research Review*, Special Issue, 23(3), Fall 2000. (Available at: <http://www.lbl.gov/Science-Articles/Research-Review/Highlights/2000/stories/bioscience/singular2.html>)
2. Parvin, B., et al., "BioSig: An Imaging Bioinformatic System for Studying Phenomics," *Computer*, 35(7):65-71, July 2002. (ISSN: 0018-9162) (To order, see IEEE Computer Society Web site at: <http://www.computer.org/computer/co2002/r7toc.htm>)
3. "Post Sequencing Research Challenges," *Human Genome News*, 11(1-2), Washington, DC: U.S. DOE Human Genome Program, November 2000. (Available at: <http://www.ornl.gov/hgmis/publicat/hgn/v11n1/07post.html>)
4. *DOE Joint Genome Institute*, U.S. DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research (OBER) <http://www.jgi.doe.gov>, http://www.ornl.gov/TechResources/Human_Genome/publicat/2003jgi/index.htm
5. *Genomes To Life: Biological Solutions for Energy Challenge*, U.S. DOE OBER/Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research, <http://doegenomestolife.org/>
6. *Research Abstracts from the DOE Genome Contractor-Grantee Workshop IX, Oakland, CA, January 27-31, 2002*, U.S. DOE OBER, <http://www.ornl.gov/hgmis/publicat/02santa/index.html>
7. *Research Topics*, U.S. DOE OBER, <http://www.sc.doe.gov/production/ober/restopic.html>
8. *U.S. DOE Microbial Genome Program*, U.S. DOE OBER, <http://www.ornl.gov/microbialgenomes/>

25. MEASUREMENT/MONITORING AND CHARACTERIZATION TECHNOLOGIES FOR THE SUBSURFACE ENVIRONMENT

The characterization and monitoring of soils, subsurface sediments, and ground water are important elements of Department of Energy (DOE) research efforts. Objectives include determining the fate and transport of contaminants generated from past weapons production activities and from current energy production activities, evaluating the risks of energy-related contaminants to human health and ecosystems, and assessing and controlling processes to remediate contaminants. Grant applications submitted to this topic must detail why and how proposed *in situ* field technologies will substantially improve the state-of-the-art and must include bench tests to demonstrate the technology. Projected dates for likely operational field deployment must be clearly stated. New or advanced field technologies that operate under field conditions with mixed/multiple contaminants and that can be deployed in 2-3 years will receive selection priority. Claims of commercial potential for proposed technologies must be supported by information such as endorsements from relevant industrial sectors, market analysis, or identification of commercial spin-offs. Grant applications that propose incremental improvements or enhancements to existing technologies are not of interest and will be declined, as will enhancements to predictive models.

For some of the following subtopics, collaboration with government laboratories or universities may speed the development of the measurement or monitoring technology. For example, the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (EMSL), a DOE scientific user facility located at the Hanford Site in Richland, WA, can provide analytical instrumentation and capabilities with direct application to sensor development and testing. Potential applicants for subtopics a, c and d are invited to review the web site for the Interfacial Chemistry and Engineering group (<http://www.emsl.pnl.gov:2080/homes/ice/>) and the Interfacial and Nanoscale Science Facility (<http://www.emsl.pnl.gov/capabs/insf.shtml>) at the EMSL. For subtopic b, potential applicants are invited to review the web site for the Savannah River Ecology Laboratory (SREL), located at the Savannah River Site in Aiken, SC. In addition to the potential sources for collaboration, scientists at SREL are involved in several on-going phytoremediation research projects (see references). Grant applications must describe, in the technical approach or work plan, the purpose and specific benefits of any proposed teaming arrangements. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Real-Time, *In Situ* Measurements in Soils, Subsurface Sediments, or Groundwater—There is a need for sensitive, accurate, and real-time monitoring of geochemical and hydrogeologic processes and their interactions with microorganisms in contaminated soils, sediments, or ground water environments (hereafter referred to as the subsurface). The use of highly sensitive monitoring devices in the subsurface (*in situ*) would allow for low-cost field deployment in remote locations and an enhanced ability to monitor processes at finer levels of resolution. For this subtopic, the following radionuclides and metals are of interest: americium, arsenic, cesium, chromium, cobalt, mercury, plutonium, strontium, technetium, and uranium. In addition, chelators such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA), and catechol derivatives (*e.g.*, disodium-1,2-dihydroxybenzene-3,5-disulfonate) will be considered. Grant applications that address other contaminants will be declined.

Grant applications are sought to develop sensors and systems to: (1) detect hydrogeologic and biogeochemical processes that control the transport, dispersion, or transformation of contaminants (particularly metals and radionuclides) in the subsurface; (2) determine characteristics such as concentration, movement, or speciation of contaminants in the subsurface; and/or (3) measure mass-transfer processes and rates within and among individual pores in the subsurface. Grant applications must provide convincing documentation (experimental data, calculations, etc.) that the sensing method is both highly sensitive (*i.e.*, low detection limit) and highly selective to the target analyte (*i.e.*, immune to anticipated physical/chemical/biological interferences.) Approaches that leave significant doubt regarding sensor functionality in realistic multi-component samples will be excluded from consideration.

Grant applications are also sought for integrated sensing and controller/signal processing systems for autonomous or unattended applications of the above measurement needs. Innovative integration of components (such as micro-machined pumps, valves, and micro-sensors) into a complete sensor package with field applications in the subsurface will be considered responsive to this subtopic.

Approaches of interest could include fiber optic, solid-state, chemical, silicon micro-machined sensors, or biosensors (devices employing biological molecules or systems in the sensing elements) that can be used in the field. Biosensing systems may incorporate, but are not limited to, whole cell biosensors (*i.e.*, chemoluminescent or bioluminescent systems), enzyme or immunology-

linked detection systems (e.g., enzyme-linked immunosensors incorporating colorimetric or fluorescent portable detectors), lipid characterization systems or DNA/RNA probe technology with amplification and hybridization. As substantial progress has been made in fiber optics and chemical sensing technology in the last decade, grant applications that propose minor adaptations of readily available materials/hardware, and/or can not demonstrate substantial improvements over the current state-of-the-art, are not of interest and will be declined.

b. Phytoremediation and Mycoremediation Monitoring of Soils and Sediments—New approaches to the restoration of contaminated areas – phytoremediation and mycoremediation – are being considered for use at DOE sites. Phytoremediation involves the use of living plants to extract and remove metals, radionuclides, and organic contaminants from soils, subsurface sediments, or ground water. Mycoremediation exploits the natural ability of fungi to extract contaminants from soils and concentrate them in fungal tissues above ground. Innovative methods are needed to monitor the performance or effectiveness of these and other bioremediation processes, particularly at the field scale. Performance or effectiveness monitoring will be needed to determine whether cleanup levels have been met. For this subtopic, the contaminants of interest include a number of metals and radionuclides (americium, arsenic, cesium, chromium, cobalt, mercury, plutonium, strontium, technetium and uranium), chelators, chlorinated organics, and ketones.

Grant applications are sought to develop technology for monitoring the following parameters of plants and fungi used in phytoremediation and mycoremediation, respectively: (1) the concentration and partitioning of contaminants in plant roots (sorbed or bound and internal), shoots, stems, and leaves; (2) the concentration and partitioning of contaminants in fungal vegetative vs. aerial mycelium; (3) root or mycelial depth, distribution, density, and diameter; (4) mortality, health, and vigor of plants or fungi (stress indicator); (5) photosynthetic rates in plants; or carbon assimilation rates in fungi; (6) leaf area and evapotranspiration, in plants; or fruiting body dimensions in fungi; and/or (7) plant or fungal tolerance or sensitivity to contaminants of interest.

Potential monitoring technologies could include any of the following techniques: (1) spectral reflectance and thermal infrared measurement techniques, (2) laser-induced fluorescence spectroscopy and laser-induced fluorescence imaging, (3) laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy, (4) x-ray fluorescence, (5) ground-

penetrating radar measurement, (6) chlorophyll fluorescence measurement, (7) Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)-based, respirometric, or other biochemical measurement of metabolite production, and (8) molecular monitoring of soil and rhizosphere microbiology. Both remote monitoring and *in situ* monitoring approaches are of interest. Proposed technologies should significantly improve the speed, efficiency, and cost of current monitoring methods. While initial proof of principle experiments may focus on one single contaminant, the technology ultimately must be able to operate under mixed contaminant conditions such as those commonly found at DOE sites.

c. Sensor Technology for Monitoring Tank Waste—Grant applications are sought for the long-term monitoring of gases or liquids released from, or contained within, tanks containing mixtures of contaminants. Sensors would be used to detect and/or quantify contaminants, or their degradation products, in off-gases, effluents, or other samples. Sensors could also be used *in situ* to monitor changes in waste chemistry during storage. Contaminants of interest include a number of metals and radionuclides (americium, cesium, chromium, cobalt, mercury, plutonium, strontium, technetium, and uranium); anions such as nitrate; chelators; extractants such as tributyl phosphate; chlorinated organics; and ketones. Relevant wastes are expected to contain more than one type of contaminant; therefore, the sensor technology must be both sensitive and specific for targeted contaminant(s). Development of robust sensors, capable of use with high-level waste, is encouraged. However, sensors suitable for use with other waste types (such as low-level, mixed or hazardous) are equally desirable.

d. In Situ Monitoring Systems to Facilitate the Use of Reactive Barriers—Several DOE sites have plumes in the subsurface that are contaminated with metals, organics, and/or radionuclides. The current approach to remediating these plumes involves pump-and-treat operations, a process that manages, but may not completely eliminate, the risks associated with the plumes. Another approach, which could be used along with pump-and-treat operations, involves the construction of barriers that react with the contaminant to prevent further migration of the plume contaminants. The reactions are intended to convert the contaminant(s) into non-mobile form(s) or to degrade the contaminant(s) into non-toxic material(s). (Additional information on reactive barriers can be found at <http://www.gjo.doe.gov/perm-barr/> and <http://www.rtdf.org/public/permbarri/default.htm>.)

Systems are needed to monitor the performance and integrity of reactive barriers. The development and deployment of such systems involves numerous challenges. Technical challenges include determining appropriate indicator parameters for both the barrier and the contaminant plume of interest, ensuring the longevity and continued integrity of the monitoring system itself, identifying appropriate ways of communicating monitoring data and other information to and from the system, and determining reliable maintenance strategies and schedules for the systems. Additional challenges involve replacing conventional monitoring practices, often based on laboratory analysis of manually obtained samples, with strategies based on the use of automated, remote monitoring systems, and achieving acceptance of these new systems and strategies by regulators and stakeholders.

Grant applications are sought to develop *in situ* remote monitoring systems for reactive barriers. Proposed systems should include: (1) autonomous reporting via secure wireless communications to a central information processing facility; (2) low power requirements, preferably using on-site solar panels; (3) no need, or at most minimal need, to replenish reagents or other consumables; (4) zero, or at most minimal, production of secondary wastes; and (5) a capacity for self-testing and autocalibration. Methods for detecting the condition and/or efficacy of the barrier itself, rather than just the targeted contaminants are of particular interest; an ideal system would provide sufficient advance notice of impending barrier failure so that actions could be taken to prevent the failure. Of particular interest are monitoring systems for barriers intended to deal with any of the halogenated organic constituents, hazardous inorganic species (*e.g.*, RCRA metals) and radionuclides. Grant applications should clearly identify the types of barriers and contaminants being addressed, provide an explanation of the fundamental scientific principles underlying the proposed method(s), and identify the detection/sensitivity limits for the monitoring systems. Communications support for monitoring systems is available and, therefore, is not sought in this topic.

References:

1. Dandridge, A. and Cogdell, G. B., "Fiber Optic Sensors - Performance, Reliability, Smallness," *Sea Technology*, 35(5):31, May 1994. (ISSN: 0093-3651)
2. Egorov, O. B., et al., "Radionuclide Sensors Based on Chemically Selective Scintillating Microspheres: Renewable Column Sensor for Analysis of ⁹⁹Tc in Water," *Analytical Chemistry*, 71(23):5420-5429, December 1, 1999. (ISSN: 0003-2700)
3. *Natural and Accelerated Bioremediation Research Program Plan*, Washington, DC: U.S. DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research, September 1995. (Report No. DOE/ER -0659T) (NTIS Order No. DE96000157) (Full text available at: <http://www.osti.gov/bridge>)
4. Raskin, I., et al., *Phytoremediation of Toxic Metals: Using Plants to Clean Up the Environment*, New York: John Wiley & Sons, November 1999. (ISBN: 0471192546)
5. *Research Needs in Subsurface Science: U.S. Department of Energy's Environmental Management Science Program*, Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2000. (ISBN: 0309066468) (Full text available at: <http://www.nap.edu>. Search under "Search all Text" for the words "Subsurface Science.")
6. Riley, R. G., et al., *Chemical Contaminants on DOE Lands and Selection of Contaminant Mixtures for Subsurface Science Research*, Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Energy, April 1992. (Report No. DOE/ER- 0547T) (NTIS Order No. DE92014826) (Available from NTIS. Telephone: 1-800-553-6847. Web site: <http://www.ntis.gov/support/orderingpage.htm>)
7. Rivera H., et al., "A Microsensor to Measure Nanomolar Concentrations of Nitric Oxide," *Sensors*, 11(2):72-73, February 1994. (ISSN: 0746-9462)
8. Publications by EMSL Scientists and External Users of the William R. Wiley Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, 2003: <http://www.emsl.pnl.gov:2080/docs/>
9. Oak Ridge Operations (ORO) Technology Needs Database Web site, U.S. Department of Energy, 2001: <http://www.em.doe.gov/techneed/>
10. Nevada Test Site (NTS) Technology Needs Web site, U.S. Department of Energy, 2001: <http://www.nv.doe.gov/programs/envmgmt/blackmtn/TD-STCGTechnologyNeeds.htm>

11. Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) Science and Technology Needs Web site, U.S. Department of Energy, 2001: <http://www.inel.gov/st-needs/>
12. Fact Sheet on Phytoremediation Research at the Savannah River Ecology Laboratory (SREL), 2002: http://www.uga.edu/srel/Fact_Sheets/phytoremediation.htm. (The primary point of contact is currently Dr. Lee Newman. See: <http://www.uga.edu/srel/faculty.htm>)
13. *A National Roadmap for Vadose Zone Science and Technology*, U.S. DOE Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, August 2001: <http://www.inel.gov/vadosezone/>
14. *U.S. DOE Grand Junction Office, Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Ground Water Project* Web site, 2003: <http://www.doegjpo.com/>
15. Natural and Accelerated Bioremediation Research (NABIR) Program activities at UMTRA sites Web site, 2003: <http://www.pnl.gov/nabir-umtra/index.stm/>
16. CLU-IN: Hazardous Waste Clean-Up Information Web site, Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Innovation Office, 2003: <http://www.clu-in.org/>

PROGRAM AREA OVERVIEW ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

<http://www.em.doe.gov>

With the end of the Cold War, the Department of Energy (DOE) is focusing on understanding and eliminating the enormous environmental problems created by the Department's historical mission of nuclear weapons production. The DOE's Office of Environmental Management (EM) seeks to eliminate these threats to human health and the environment, as well as to prevent pollution from on-going activities. The goals for waste management and environmental remediation include meeting regulatory compliance agreements, reducing the cost and risk associated with waste treatment and disposal, and expediently deploying technologies to accomplish these activities. While radioactive contaminants are the prime concern, hazardous metals and organics, as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), are also important.

The responsibilities of DOE's Office of EM include the remediation of radioactive and toxic wastes to their original background levels and the deactivation and decommissioning (D&D) of thousands of contaminated facilities. With regard to site remediation, DOE needs to locate and remediate contaminated plumes to prevent groundwater contamination and potential off-site migration of the plume. New or improved technologies are sought to address issues related to materials for reactive barriers and for *in situ* monitoring systems to facilitate the use of reactive barriers. DOE also needs to reduce the volume of contaminated concrete and associated waste streams, therefore, new or improved technologies are needed to separate contaminants from concrete. Lastly, DOE needs to reduce risks to workers from potential exposures associated with decontamination and decommissioning activities; therefore, new or improved technologies are sought for remote cutting or sizing technologies and for multi-purpose remote platforms.

DOE's inventory of transuranic and mixed wastes include about 155,000 cubic meters of waste stored on some 30 DOE sites and another 450,000 cubic meters of buried waste – at least some of which is likely to require retrieval in the course of DOE's site cleanup program. Most of the stored inventory is contained in 55-gallon drums or other containers. Although some of the buried waste is similarly packaged, knowledge of the condition of these containers and their contents is limited. In addition, some of the waste was buried in containers with limited or no durability container (e.g., in plastic bags or cardboard boxes) or was buried without containment.

The following topic solicits grant applications for technologies to facilitate the management of buried transuranic and mixed waste. The subtopics provide more detailed descriptions of specific needs.

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

26. TECHNOLOGIES TO FACILITATE MANAGEMENT OF BURIED TRANSURANIC AND MIXED WASTE

Land disposal of untreated, chemically contaminated wastes was prohibited in the mid-1970s when the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) was enacted. However, prior to 1970, the Department of Energy disposed of substantial quantities of transuranic (TRU) waste in near-surface excavations (shallow land disposal). Some of these wastes were buried in containers that may be retrievable; some were buried in bulk. In addition, a quantity of pond and lagoon sludges and associated soil remains buried.

There are several options for dealing with this waste, depending on the degree to which it endangers the environment. Some of the waste buried at individual DOE sites may be left in place and monitored during long-term site stewardship programs. Other buried waste may be retrieved for treatment and disposition as TRU or mixed waste. Before decisions can be made, research is needed to address the challenges involved in locating and characterizing these materials. This topic address three problem areas related to the management of buried TRU and mixed wastes. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Improved, Noninvasive Assessment Methods to Locate and Identify Buried Waste and Determine Whether or Not it is Containerized—The retrieval of buried waste and contaminated media generally involves excavating the entire area where the material is known or expected to be, a very time-consuming and expensive process. Before the waste can be retrieved it must be located and a preliminary characterization (at least) must be made of its condition. Research is needed on methods to improve object identification. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop new technologies for locating and identifying specific objects (e.g., drums, gloveboxes) that are below ground and determining if they need to be retrieved. Areas of research interest include the improvement of object identification and image resolution (to determine if the contaminated objects are drums, boxes, rocks, etc), improvements in image analysis, and the development identification models and software.

b. Imaging Technology for Assessing the Condition of Buried Waste—After the buried waste is located, and prior to retrieval, it will be necessary to determine the condition of the waste or waste container. If the drums or other containers are intact, they can be retrieved and

handled using processes developed for stored waste. The retrieval of breached containers or non-containerized waste would be more difficult. To minimize the number of processing steps and to ensure worker safety, a more detailed characterization of the waste containers and their content must be performed at the retrieval site. Grant applications are sought to develop imaging technology to identify and characterize objects below ground. Of particular interest is the characterization of buried waste containers and the determination of potential leakage. Approaches could be nonintrusive (preferred) or intrusive and could be coupled with chemical analysis. Nonintrusive approaches may include ground penetrating radar, magnetometry, acoustics, chemical sensing of near-surface air samples, neutron activation, radiological surveys, etc. An example of a minimally intrusive approach would be the utilization of small-diameter bore-holes to emplace equipment or sensors or collect samples.

Grant applications are also sought to develop methods to improve image resolution and object identification. Sophisticated image analysis and identification models and software should determine what waste is buried, the condition of the buried waste, the potential contamination of the soil surrounding the buried waste, and whether or not the buried waste is containerized or stabilized.

c. Long-Life, Reliable Sensors that can be Remotely Interrogated for Improved Monitoring of Buried Waste Disposal Sites—Smart sensors can improve the monitoring of buried waste sites. Grant applications are sought to develop smart sensors for monitoring buried waste sites in order to determine changes in the conditions (physical, chemical, radiological, or biological) of either the buried waste or the soil surrounding the buried waste. State-of-the-art improvements could make it possible to interrogate sensors from remote locations and provide remote, standoff detection of both chemical and radiological hazards. The sensors should be able to detect the physical changes in either the containers or the soil surrounding the buried wastes; or chemical, radiological, or biological changes in the surrounding area, which could indicate that the buried waste is migrating through the surrounding environment.

References:

1. *Cleanup Tools: Behind the Scenes at a Superfund Site*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (<http://www.epa.gov/oerrpage/superfund/accomp/400/tools.htm>)

2. National Research Council, *Characterization of Remote-Handled Transuranic Wastes for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant*, Final Report, Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2002. (Available at: <http://www.nap.edu/books/0309084601/html/62.html>)
3. National Research Council, *Research Opportunities for Managing the Department of Energy's Transuranic and Mixed Wastes*, Final Report, Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press, 2002. (Available at: <http://search.nap.edu/nap/cgi/naptitle.cgi?Search=Research+Opportunities+for+Transuranic+and+Mixed+Wastes>)
4. EM: U.S. DOE Office of Environmental Management, <http://www.em.doe.gov>
5. EM Office of Science and Technology, U.S. DOE Office of Environmental Management's Office of Science and Technology, <http://apps.em.doe.gov/ost>
6. *Performance Management Plan for the Accelerated Cleanup of the Hanford Site*, U.S. DOE Richland Operations Office and Office of River Protection, <http://www.hanford.gov/docs/hpmp>
7. *Accelerating Cleanup of the INEEL*, U.S. DOE Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, <http://www.inel.gov/environment/>

PROGRAM AREA OVERVIEW NUCLEAR ENERGY, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

<http://www.nuclear.gov>

Continued use of nuclear power is an important part of the Department's strategy to provide for the Nation's energy security, as well as to be responsible stewards of the environment. Nuclear energy research currently provides over 20 percent of the U.S. electricity generation and will continue to provide a significant portion of U.S. electrical energy production for many years to come. Also, nuclear power in the U.S. makes a significant contribution to lowering the emission of gases associated with global climate change and air pollution.

The Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology (NE) enables the Department of Energy to provide the technical leadership necessary to address critical domestic and international nuclear issues by administering research and development and technical assistance in the following general areas: (1) the Generation IV Nuclear Energy Systems Initiative, <http://gen-iv.ne.doe.gov/>, seeks to develop and demonstrate of one or more Generation IV nuclear energy systems that offer advantages in the areas of economics, safety and reliability, sustainability, and could be deployed commercially by 2030; (2) the Nuclear Energy Research Initiative (NERI) Program addresses key issues affecting the future of nuclear energy in order to preserve U.S. nuclear science and technology leadership; (3) the Radioisotope Power Systems Program develops new state-of-the-art radioisotope power systems to support the NASA space missions and terrestrial applications for other agencies; (4) the Nuclear Energy Plant Optimization (NEPO) Program conducts research to assure the continued safe and reliable operations of over 100 of the Nation's nuclear power plants; (5) the University Reactor Fuel and Educational Assistance Program is designed to help retain the U.S. nuclear engineering capability for conducting nuclear research, addressing pressing nuclear environmental challenges, and preserving the nuclear energy option; and (6) the Isotope Production Program produces and sells hundreds of stable and radioactive isotopes that are widely used by domestic and international customers for medicine, industry and research applications.

27. ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES FOR NUCLEAR ENERGY

Nuclear power provides over 20 percent of the U.S. electricity supply without emitting harmful air pollutants, including those that may cause adverse global climate changes. New methods and technologies are needed to address key issues that affect the future

deployment of nuclear energy and to preserve the U.S. leadership in nuclear technology and engineering. This topic addresses several of these key technology areas: improvements in nuclear reactor technology for existing reactors, advanced instrumentation and control for very high temperature reactor applications, and advanced core/reactor physics computer simulations and modeling. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

a. New Technology for Improved Nuclear Energy Systems—Improvements and advances are needed for reactor systems and component technologies that ultimately would be used in the design, construction, or operation of existing and future nuclear power plants and Generation IV nuclear power systems [See References 1-5]. Grant applications are sought: (1) to improve and optimize nuclear power plant systems, and component instrumentation and control, by developing and improving the reliability of advanced instrumentation, sensors, controls, thereby providing more accurate measurement of key reactor and plant parameters; (2) to improve monitoring of plant equipment performance and aging, using improved diagnostic techniques for in-service and non-destructive examinations; (3) for advanced instrumentation, sensors, and controls that can withstand temperatures in excess of 800 C for the very high temperature Generation IV reactor designs; and (4) for advanced reactor/core computer simulation methods including advanced reactor design model code development; coupled/parallel thermal-hydraulic-reactor physics tools; safety and performance evaluation methods; and engineering calculations for new and existing nuclear reactors, major reactor components, and reactor core and fuel assemblies. Please note that the following areas of investigation are NOT of interest and will be declined: concepts for complete or partial reactor plant designs; generalized thermal-hydraulics analysis (e.g. CFD or two-fluid codes) and probabilistic risk assessment tools or methods; nuclear power plant security or building/containment enhancements; and NRC licensing and site permit issues. In addition, grant

applications that deal with nuclear materials, chemistry, and/or corrosion research are also not of interest for this topic and should be submitted instead under Topic 28.

References:

1. *What's News*, U.S. DOE Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology <http://www.nuclear.gov>
2. *Moving Forward on Generation IV Nuclear Energy Systems*, U.S. DOE Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology, May 2003. (Available at: <http://www.nuclear.gov/>. Scroll to bottom of page. Under "Quick Links," select "Generation IV Nuclear Energy Systems Initiative.")
3. Nuclear Energy Research Initiative (NERI), Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology, <http://neri.ne.doe.gov>.
4. Nuclear Energy Plant Optimization Program (NEPO), Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology, <http://nepo.ne.doe.gov/>.
5. [Recommendations from the] *U.S. Department of Energy Instrumentation, Controls and Human-Machine Interface (IC & HMI) Technology Workshop, Gaithersburg, MD, May 2002*, IC&HMI Report, U.S. Department of Energy, September 2002. (Full text available at: <http://www.ornl.gov/icandhmiworkshop/>)

PROGRAM AREA OVERVIEW BASIC ENERGY SCIENCES

<http://www.sc.doe.gov/bes/bes.html>

The Basic Energy Sciences (BES) program supports fundamental research in the natural sciences leading to new and improved energy technologies. The program's purpose is to create new scientific knowledge by supporting basic, peer-reviewed research in areas of materials sciences, chemical sciences, geosciences, plant and microbial biosciences, and engineering sciences that are relevant to energy resources, production, conversion, and efficiency. The results of BES-supported research are routinely published in the open literature.

A key function of the program is to plan, construct, and operate premier national user facilities to serve researchers at universities, national laboratories, and industrial laboratories, thus enabling the acquisition of new knowledge that cannot be obtained in any other way. The scientific facilities include synchrotron radiation light sources, high-flux neutron sources, electron-beam microcharacterization centers, and specialized facilities such as the Combustion Research Facility. These national resources are available free of charge to all researchers based on the quality and importance of proposed nonpropriety experiments.

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

A major objective of the BES program is to promote the transfer of the results of our basic research to advance and create technologies important to Department of Energy (DOE) missions in areas of energy efficiency, renewable energy resources, improved use of fossil fuels, mitigation of the adverse impacts of energy production and use, and future fusion energy sources. The following set of technical topics represents one important mechanism by which the BES program augments its system of university and laboratory research programs and integrates basic science, applied research, and development activities within the DOE.

28. MATERIALS FOR ADVANCED NUCLEAR ENERGY SYSTEMS

The Generation IV nuclear energy initiative is an international collaboration to identify, assess, and develop sustainable nuclear energy technologies that are competitive in most markets, while further enhancing nuclear safety, minimizing the nuclear waste burden, and further reducing the risk of proliferation (reference 1). Many nuclear energy systems have been proposed to advance the goals of the Generation IV program (see references 2-8), including designs that use liquid-metal coolants such as sodium and lead, gas coolants such as helium, water coolants such as supercritical water, and molten salt coolants. For these systems, operation at higher temperature has been identified as a means to improve economic performance and to support the thermochemical production of hydrogen. However, the move to higher operating temperatures will require the development and qualification of advanced materials to perform in the more challenging environment. As part of the process of developing advanced materials for these reactor concepts, a fundamental understanding of materials behavior must be established and a database that defines the critical performance limitations of these materials under irradiation must be developed. A recent workshop details many of the research challenges for higher temperature materials associated with proposed Generation IV systems (reference 9). **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Advanced Radiation Resistance Ferritic-Martensitic Alloys—Because of their resistance to void swelling, 9 Cr and 12 Cr ferritic-martensitic steels are considered prime candidates for intermediate temperature reactors such as the proposed liquid metal and supercritical water concepts operating in the temperature range of 400-750°C. However, many ferritic-martensitic steels are limited by poor higher temperature creep strength, typically degrading at temperatures greater than 550-600°C (reference 10). Grant applications are sought to improve the creep strength of 9 Cr and 12 Cr ferritic-martensitic steels through alloying, dispersion strengthening, or

precipitation hardening. Innovative alloys with protective coatings are also of interest. Proposed approaches must provide for (1) isotropic creep properties with strength greater than that of Sandvik HT9 steel, (2) a ductile to brittle transition temperature less than room temperature, and (3) a minimum plane-strain fracture toughness of $0.25\sigma_y$. Alloying elements that act as neutron poisons (e.g., boron) or that become highly activated in a neutron spectrum (e.g., cobalt) must be minimized or eliminated. Because the ferritic-martensitic steels likely would be used in conjunction with sodium-cooled, lead- or lead-bismuth-cooled, or supercritical water-cooled reactor concepts, approaches that optimize corrosion performance while achieving improved high temperature strength would be considered high priority. Lastly, approaches that also address irradiation performance are strongly encouraged.

b. Advanced Refractory, Ceramic, Ceramic Composite, or Coated Materials—Some Generation IV concepts aim for very high temperature (>900°C) operation. However, with the exception of limited data on SiC-based systems, the radiation resistance of construction materials subjected to very high temperatures has not been identified or proven. Grant applications are sought to develop advanced refractory, ceramic, ceramic composite, or coated materials that can meet the very demanding conditions required to operate at temperatures greater than 900°C in a fast spectrum nuclear energy system. For these conditions, the materials should have low thermal expansion coefficients, excellent high temperature strength, excellent high temperature creep resistance, and good thermal conductivity. For post-irradiation handling at lower temperatures, sufficient room temperature fracture toughness must be maintained. Additionally, the materials need to be easily fabricated and capable of being joined. Because the reactors operating in this temperature regime are expected to be helium cooled, the materials must have low erosion properties in flowing helium and be able to survive an air ingress condition. Because sustainable nuclear energy systems are likely to be based on fast spectrum systems, the materials must avoid low atomic mass components such as hydrogen and carbon. Because the high temperature strength and corrosion resistance may be difficult to

achieve with a single material, composite or coated systems may be required. Finally, because sustainable nuclear energy systems may be based on fast spectrum (i.e., fast flux) designs, materials intended for fast reactor concepts should minimize the use of low atomic mass components such as hydrogen and carbon.

References:

1. *Moving Forward: Generation IV Nuclear Energy Systems*, U.S. DOE Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology, <http://gen-iv.ne.doe.gov>
2. Sekimoto, H., et al., "Small Lead-Bismuth-Eutectic (LBE)-Cooled Fast Reactor for Expanding Market," *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Nuclear Engineering (ICONE 10)*, Arlington, VA, April 14-18, 2002, American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME), 2002. (Paper No. 10-22049)*
3. Wade, D. C., et al., "Status of the Encapsulated Nuclear Heat Source (ENHS) Reactor Concept," *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Nuclear Engineering (ICONE 10)*, Arlington, VA, April 14-18, 2002, ASME, 2002. (Paper No. 10-22202)*
4. Hejzlar, P., et al., "Design Strategies for a Lead-Bismuth-Cooled Reactor for Actinide Burning and Low-Cost Electricity," *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Nuclear Engineering (ICONE 10)*, Arlington, VA, April 14-18, 2002, ASME, 2002. (Paper No. 10-22377)*
5. Kiryushin, A. I. et al., "BN-800—Next Generation of Russian Sodium Fast Reactors," *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Nuclear Engineering (ICONE 10)*, Arlington, VA, April 14-18, 2002, ASME, 2002. (Paper No. ICONE 10-22405) (Available via FAX from DOE Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology. Contact Madeline Feltus at madeline.feltus@hq.doe.gov.)
6. Hittner, D., "The Programme and First Results of the European High Temperature Reactor (HTR) Technology Network (HTR-TN)," *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Nuclear Engineering (ICONE 10)*, Arlington, VA, April 14-18, 2002, ASME, 2002. (Paper No. 10-22423)*
7. King, R. L. and Porter, D. L., "Performance of Key Features of [the] Experimental Breeder Reactor (EBR)-II and the Implications for Next-Generation Reactor Systems," *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Nuclear Engineering (ICONE 10)*, Arlington, VA, April 14-18, 2002, ASME, 2002. (Paper No. 10-22524)*
8. Oka, Y. and Koshizuka, S., "Design Concept of Once-Through Cycle Supercritical-Pressure Light-Water-Cooled Reactors," *Proceedings of SCR-2000: International Symposium on Supercritical Water-Cooled Reactors, Design and Technology*, Tokyo, Japan, November 6-9, 2000, Tokyo: Tokyo University, July 1, 2000. (ISBN: 4-901332-00-4) (OSTI ID: 20218877) (Abstract available at: <http://www.osti.gov/doi/doi>. Using "Basic Search," search "Bibliographic Info for "20218877.")
9. Allen, T., et al., *Workshop on Higher Temperature Reactor Materials, La Jolla, CA, March 18-21, 2002*, Sponsored by U. S. DOE Office of Nuclear Energy, Science, and Technology and DOE Office of Basic Energy Sciences, August 12, 2002. (Report No. ANL-02/12) (Full text available at: <http://www.osti.gov/doi/doi>. Using "Basic Search," search "Identifier Numbers" for "ANL02/12.")
10. Klueh, R. L. and Harries, D. L., *High Chromium Ferritic and Martensitic Steels for Nuclear Applications*, West Conshohocken, PA: American Society for Testing and Materials, 2001. (ISBN: 0-8031-2090-7)

*Abstract available at:

<http://www.asmeconferences.org/icone10/SearchPaperSchedule.cfm>.

To order proceedings, see:

<http://www.asmeconferences.org/icone10/>.

29. ADVANCED FOSSIL FUELS RESEARCH

For the foreseeable future, the energy needed to sustain economic growth will continue to come largely from fossil fuels. In supplying this energy need, however, the Nation must address growing global and regional environmental concerns, supply issues, and energy prices. Maintaining low-cost energy in the face of growing demand, diminishing supply, and increasing

environmental pressure requires new technologies and diversified energy supplies. These technologies must allow the Nation to use all of its indigenous resources more wisely, cleanly, and efficiently. These resources include inherently clean natural gas and the Nation's most abundant and lowest cost resource, coal. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Hydrogen Fuels and Technologies—Clean forms of energy are needed to support sustainable global economic growth while mitigating greenhouse gas emissions and impacts on air quality. Hydrogen systems can provide viable, sustainable options for meeting the world's energy requirements in all energy sectors – transportation, buildings, utilities, and industry. However, hydrogen energy systems still face a number of technical and economic barriers that must be overcome for these systems to become competitive. For example, infrastructure barriers, particularly in the storage area, hinder the near-term application of hydrogen for transportation applications. Additionally, safety issues, both real and perceived, are concerns for acceptance of hydrogen by the general population. Because of these barriers, the use of hydrogen as an energy carrier is considered a mid- to long-term goal. Advances must be made in hydrogen production, storage, transport, and utilization technologies and in the integration of these components into complete energy systems.

This subtopic focuses on hydrogen production. Initially, hydrogen will be produced from fossil fuels because it will take some time before the production of hydrogen from renewables is cost-competitive. In particular, domestic coal can be a major source of hydrogen in the near- to mid-term. Research is needed to improve technologies that not only will lower the cost of producing hydrogen from coal but also will enable the sequestration of carbon. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop advanced separation and cleanup technologies for the efficient production of hydrogen from coal, particularly for fuel cell applications. Areas of interest include improved gas cleanup, sulfur removal, and hydrogen separation processes.

b. Small Scale Continuous Hydrogen Generator as a Fuel Source for Power Units—A safe means to provide a controlled stream of hydrogen as a fuel for power units would be desirable because, essentially, only steam and nitrogen would be emitted from the combustion air. Since combustion emissions are one of the worst sources of pollutants, this would be a significant improvement.

Therefore, grant applications are sought to design, evaluate, and test candidate hydrogen-fueled power unit systems.

The Steam-Iron Process, configured some years ago as a continuously operating two-reactor process, is one possible candidate. In its first reactor, granular Fe_2O_3 is reduced to the elemental state by reaction at an elevated temperature with a reducing material such as HC gas or liquid fuels. The elemental iron is transferred to the second reactor where it reacts with steam to yield Fe_2O_3 and hydrogen. The Fe_2O_3 is recycled. Although extensively studied in the 1990s through the pilot plant stage, the Steam-Iron Process was not scaled up to demonstration size because its projected economics as a commercial source of large quantities of hydrogen was unsatisfactory. However, it may be possible to reconfigure this process as a source of hydrogen for a power unit. For example, with suitable valving, one or more pairs of $\text{Fe}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ columns could be alternately reduced by a fuel and oxidized with steam to produce hydrogen. The engine driven by the hydrogen fuel could be a gas turbine, a fuel cell-electric engine combination, or an adapted multi-cylinder engine. Other novel means of generating a controllable stream of hydrogen fuel are also of interest. Whatever method is proposed, the suitability of the process and its economics must be compared with (1) state-of-the-art schemes for producing hydrogen by reforming hydro-carbonaceous material with steam/oxygen and (2) at least one candidate type of commercial power unit.

c. Biogeochemical Carbon Sequestration/Conversion—Carbon sequestration is a relatively new approach to the stabilization of greenhouse gas concentration (i.e., new compared to the other two pathways – improving the efficiency of energy use and reducing the carbon content of fuels). Current approaches include the conversion of carbon dioxide to benign, stable compounds for long-term storage or to value added products for reuse. Grant applications are sought to develop practical methods to: (1) grossly accelerate the natural bioconversion of carbon dioxide to methane in geologic reservoirs by employing methanogen microorganisms as catalysts, as well as other geochemical reactants, (2) apply similar processes to the capture of carbon dioxide at large point sources, and (3) efficiently employ microorganisms and/or biomimetic catalysts to convert carbon dioxide in flue gas to intermediates that can be subsequently reacted to calcium/magnesium carbonates for terminal sequestration.

d. Instrumentation and Sensors for Solid Oxide

Fuel Cell (SOFC) Materials Science—The use of fuel cells for power generation offers the opportunity for high efficiency and nearly pollution free operation. SOFCs consist of an ionically conducting solid oxide electrolyte layered between catalytically active porous electrodes. The electrochemically active cells are configured into a stack involving gas seals and electrical interconnections. The systems operate at high temperatures (600 to 1000°C) and suffer from chemical and mechanical stability limitations (see references 1 and 2). The search for suitable materials involves the synthesis of functional layers and interfacial regions with enhanced electrochemical properties. Unfortunately, a fundamental understanding of fabricated SOFC structures is limited by the ability to adequately characterize the functional materials in an SOFC cell and stack. Traditionally, the evaluation of SOFC materials has involved techniques such as x-ray diffraction and cross-sectional electron microscopy for structural properties (reference 3) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy for charge conduction measurements (reference 4). However, the ultimate development of economically viable SOFCs will require more advanced measurement techniques.

Grant applications are sought to develop innovative instrumentation and sensors to advance the scientific investigation of SOFC materials. Some of the important materials parameters that require measurement include: (1) depth and/or area resolved residual stress in a layered cell, (2) ionic vacancy distributions, (3) cracks and interfacial delaminations, (4) porosity distributions and gradients; (5) ionic and electronic conductivity profiles; (6) catalytic activity distributions, (7) electrical conductivity and structural integrity of thin oxide films on metal interconnects, and (8) small area defect characterization (such as images of gas pinhole or electrical shorts in electrolyte layers). Of particular interest are techniques and sensors that allow for *in situ* measurements; pre- and post-operation, non-destructive evaluation involving buried interfacial regions; and imaging techniques that can characterize spatial inhomogeneities with regard to charge transfer activity and transport, or its underlying functional materials properties. For the latter, a connection between image data sets and finite element modeling approaches should be made apparent, with the ultimate goal of validating SOFC performance models (reference 5). Grant applications also should demonstrate that the instrumentation and sensors, though focused on basic materials science, will have relevance to developers and manufacturers of optimized SOFCs.

References:

Subtopic a: Hydrogen Fuels and Technologies

1. *National Hydrogen Energy Roadmap: Production, Delivery, Storage, Conversion, Applications, Public Education and Outreach*, U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), November 2002. (Full text available at: www.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/pdfs/national_h2_roadmap.pdf)
2. *Hydrogen and Other Clean Fuels*, U.S. DOE, Office of Fossil Energy, 2003. (Available at: <http://www.fossil.energy.gov/programs/fuels/>)
3. Song, C., “Fuel Processing for Low-Temperature and High-Temperature Fuel Cells – Challenges, and Opportunities for Sustainable Development in the 21st Century,” *Catalysis Today*, 77:17-49, 2002.
4. Elam, C. C., et al., “Realizing the Hydrogen Future: The International Energy Agency’s Efforts to Advance Hydrogen Energy Technologies,” *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 28:601-607, 2003.
5. Gardner, T. H., et al., “Fuel Processor Integrated H₂S Catalytic Partial Oxidation Technology for Sulfur Removal in Fuel Cell Power Plants,” *Fuel*, 81:2157-2166, 2002.
6. Swartz, S. L, et al., “Fuel Processing Catalysts Based on Nanoscale Ceria,” *Fuel Cells Bulletin*, 4(30):7-10, March 2001. (For ordering information and to view abstract, see: www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/14642859)

Subtopic b: Small Scale Continuous Hydrogen Generator as a Fuel Source for Power Units

7. Werth, J., (Inventor), *Fuel cell using an aqueous hydrogen-generating process*. (U.S. Patent No. 6093501 of 7/25/2000) (For more information see USPTO *Patent Full-Text and Full-Page Image Databases*: www.uspto.gov/patft/index.html)
8. O’Brien, J. P., *Gas Turbines for Automotive Use*, Noyes Publications, June 1980. (Out of print. ASIN: 0815507860)
9. Institute of Gas Technology, *Development of the Steam-Iron System for Production of Hydrogen for the Hygas Process*, U.S. Energy R&D Administration, October 1977. (Report No. FE-1518-46) (Available from NTIS. See Solicitation Information and Guidelines, section 7.1)

10. Heffel, James W, "NO_x emission and performance data for a hydrogen fueled internal combustion engine at 1500 rpm using exhaust gas recirculation," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 28 (8):901-908, August 2003. (ISSN: 0360-3199)

Subtopic c: Biogeochemical Carbon Sequestration/Conversion

11. Beecy, D. J., et al., "Biogenic Methane: A Long-Term CO₂ Recycle Concept," presented at the *First National Conference on Carbon Sequestration*, Session 5A, May 14-17, 2001. (Available at www.netl.gov. On site menu at left, select "Publications." In listing under Conferences, select *First National Conference....* Under "Papers and Presentations" select "Session 5A," and then "Biogenic Methane....")
12. Koide, H., "Prospect of Geological Sequestration for Greenhouse Gas Mitigation and Natural Gas Recovery," *International Journal of the Society of Materials Engineering for Resources*, 7(1), 1999.
13. Schoell, M., "Multiple Origins of Methane in the Earth," *Chemical Geology*, 71:1-10, 1988.
14. Rice, D. D. and Claypool, G. E., "Generation, Accumulation, and Resource Potential of Biogenic Gas," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, 65:5-25, 1981.
15. Scott, A. R., "Improving Coal Gas Recovery with Microbially Enhanced Coalbed Methane," *Coalbed Methane: Scientific, Environmental, and Economic Evaluations*, pp. 89-111, July 1999. (ISBN: 0792356985)
16. Wolfe, R. S., "1776-1996: Alessandro Volta's Combustible Air," *ASM News* (American Society for Microbiology), 62(10):529-534, October 1996. (ISSN: 0044-7897)
17. *Putting Carbon Back in the Ground*, IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme Report, February 2001. (ISBN: 1-898373-28-0)
18. Stevens, S. and Gale, J., "Geologic CO₂ Sequestration," *Oil and Gas Journal*, 98(20):40-44, May 15, 2000. (ISSN: 0030-1388)
19. Bond, G. M., et al., "Enzymatic Catalysis and CO₂ Sequestration," *World Resource Review*, 11(4):603-619, 1999. (ISSN 1042-8011)

Subtopic d: Instrumentation and Sensors for SOFC Materials Science

20. Minh, N. Q. and Takahashi, T., *Science and Technology of Ceramic Fuel Cells*, Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1995. (ISBN 0-444-89568-X)
21. *Solid State Energy Conversion Alliance*, National Energy Technology Laboratory/Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, www.seca.doe.gov/
22. Bai, W., et al., "The Process, Structure and Performance of Pen Cells for the Intermediate Temperature SOFCs," *Solid State Ionics*, 113-115(1-4):259-263, December 1, 1998. (ISSN: 0167-2738) (For ordering information or to view abstract, see: www.elsevier.com/gej-ng//10/40/37/46/21/56/abstract.html)
23. Hu, H., et al., "Interfacial Studies of Solid-State Cells, Based on Electrolytes of Mixed Ionic-Electronic Conductors," *Solid State Ionics*, 109(3-4):259-272, 1998. (ISSN: 0167-2738) (For ordering information or to view abstract, see: www.elsevier.com/gej-ng//10/40/37/41/22/30/abstract.html)
24. Herbstritt, D., et al., "Modelling and DC-polarisation of a three dimensional electrode/electrolyte interface," *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 21(10-11):1813-1816, 2001. (ISSN: 0955-2219) (For ordering information or to view abstract see: www.elsevier.com/gej-ng//10/25/37/42/39/144/abstract.html)

30. NEUTRON AND ELECTRON BEAM INSTRUMENTATION

The Department of Energy supports a number of large-scale, national user facilities that provide intense beams of neutrons and electrons for the characterization of materials. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Neutron Facilities—As a unique and increasingly utilized research tool, neutrons have made invaluable contributions to the physical, chemical, and biological sciences. The Department is committed to enhancing the operation and instrumentation of its present and future neutron science facilities so that their full potential is realized.

Grant applications are sought to develop improved neutron detectors and associated electronics needed for

DOE's existing and proposed steady-state and pulsed neutron scattering facilities (References 1-2, 5). New detectors must represent substantial improvements in one or more of the following parameters: efficiency at short wavelengths, high counting rate capability, high spatial resolution in one or two dimensions, cost per unit area, or adaptability to unique geometries. Detectors for pulsed neutron applications must be able to identify the time of arrival of each neutron. All detectors must have low intrinsic dark count rates and low sensitivity to gamma radiation.

Grant applications are also sought to develop novel or improved neutron optical components for use in neutron scattering instruments (References 2-3, 5). Such components include, but are not limited to, neutron choppers, neutron guides, neutron lenses and focusing mirrors, neutron monochromators, or neutron polarization devices including ^3He polarizing filters. Applications are also sought for novel use of such components in neutron scattering instruments.

b. Electron Beam Microcharacterization Facilities—The Department of Energy supports four collaborative research centers for electron beam microcharacterization of materials. These tools are important in the materials and biological sciences and are used in numerous research projects funded by the Department. Innovative instrumentation developments offer the promise of radically improving the capabilities of electron beam microcharacterization and thereby stimulate new innovations in materials science. Grant applications submitted to this subtopic must address improvements in electron beam instrumentation capabilities beyond the present state-of-the-art.

Grant applications are sought to develop stages, holders, and/or detectors with new capabilities for quantifying data and collection efficiency in electron beam instruments. Areas of interest include: (1) extremely stable holders and stages that allow long exposure/analysis times, with accurate tilting and alignment capability (to an angle accuracy ± 0.005 degrees on two axes while maintaining eucentricity to within 20 nm); (2) fast CCD camera systems that allow electron imaging exposure times in the millisecond range and kHz frame rates; (3) high sensitivity electron imaging systems based on CCD technology that provide 16 bit dynamic range or better over large areas; and (4) improved electron and x-ray detectors that are robust and not susceptible to electron beam damage. Proposed approaches for electron detectors must show suitability for either low- or high-energy electrons, and address one

or more of the following three aspects: high quantum efficiency, high spatial resolution, and high temporal resolution. Proposed approaches for x-ray detectors should show significant improvement in sensitivity or spectral resolution for elemental analysis in electron microscopes.

Grant applications are also sought to develop stages and holders with new capabilities for *in situ* experiments or sample manipulation in the transmission electron microscope. Stages and/or holders must provide for one or more of the following: (1) application of magnetic field up to 5000 Oe in the plane of the specimen, with capability to rotate field orientation in the specimen plane with respect to the sample; (2) manipulation or measurement of the sample using a 4-probe nanomanipulator, including capability to measure deflection or strain, or capability to apply electric fields or current; and (3) precision control of specimen temperature (to an accuracy of 10°C in the range 5-2000K), ambient gas pressure and flow rate (to within several percent for each), and alignment (to an angle accuracy ± 0.005 degrees on two axes).

Grant applications are also sought to develop electron sources for scanning transmission electron microscopy with brightness on the order 10^9 Amp/cm²/steradian or higher. Current sources are based on tungsten emitters, and it is hoped that higher brightness can be achieved with new materials and designs. Proposed electron sources must be suitably robust for practical applications, have long lifetimes (greater than 6 months), and offer a significant increase in brightness over existing sources.

Grant applications are also sought for systems for automated data collection, processing, and quantification. Systems should include hardware and platform-independent software for data collection and visualization, including automated measurement and mapping of crystallography, internal magnetic or electric field, or strain, and for multi-spectral analysis. Software and quantification routines for image reconstruction and for interpretation of interference patterns/holography are encouraged.

Finally, grant applications are sought for extremely stable power supplies to improve lens stability in electron beam instruments. Power supplies should be capable of producing 15 amperes with current stability exceeding 0.1 ppm, or 5 amperes with current stability exceeding 0.05 ppm, and should exhibit voltage stability of 0.1 ppm in the range of 1 kV to 200kV.

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

References:

Subtopic a: Neutron Facilities

1. Anderson, I. S. and Guerard, B., eds., *Advances in Neutron Scattering Instrumentation, San Diego, CA, July 7-8, 2002, Proceedings of the SPIE (International Society for Optical Engineering)*, Vol. 4785, Bellingham, WA: SPIE, 2002. (ISBN: 0819445525)
2. Carpenter, J. M., et al., eds., *Neutrons, X-Rays, and Gamma Rays: Imaging Detectors, Material Characterization Techniques, and Applications, San Diego, CA, July 21-22, 1992, Proceedings of the SPIE*, Vol. 1737, Bellingham, WA: SPIE, 1993. (ISBN: 0819409103)
3. Majkrzak C. F. and Wood, J. L., eds., *Neutron Optical Devices and Applications, San Diego, CA, July 22-24, 1992, Proceedings of the SPIE*, Vol. 1738, Bellingham, WA: SPIE, 1992. (ISBN: 0819409111)
4. Majkrzak, C., ed., *Thin-Film Neutron Optical Devices: Mirrors, Supermirrors, Multilayer Monochromators, Polarizers, and Beam Guides, San Diego, CA, August 16-17, 1988, Proceedings of the SPIE*, Vol. 983, Bellingham, WA: SPIE, 1989. (ISBN: 0819400181)
5. *Technology and Science at a High-Power Spallation Source: Proceedings of a Workshop Held at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, May 13-16, 1993*, Argonne National Laboratory, 1993. (Report No. ANL/IPNS/PROC-81937) (NTIS Order No. DE94009685) (See Solicitation General Information and Guidelines, section 7.1.)
6. Wilpert, T., ed., *International Workshop on Position-Sensitive Neutron Detectors: Status and Perspectives, Hahn-Meitner-Institute, Berlin, Germany, June 28-30, 2001*. (URL: www.hmi.de/bensc/psnd2001)
7. Windsor, C. G., *Pulsed Neutron Scattering*, London: Taylor & Francis, 1981. (ISBN: 0-85066-195-1)

Subtopic b: Electron Beam Microcharacterization Facilities

8. *Proceedings of the Microscopy Society of America, Annual Meetings, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.* (Printed version ISSN: 1431-9276) (Electronic version ISSN: 1435-8115)

9. *Ultramicroscopy*, 78(1-4), Elsevier-Holland, June 1999. (ISSN: 0304-3991)
10. Williams, D. B. and Carter, C. B., *Transmission Electron Microscopy: A Textbook for Materials Science*, Vols. 1-4, Plenum Publishing Corp., New York-London, 1996. (ISBN: 0-306-45247-2)
11. *Aberration Correction in Electron Microscopy: Materials Research in an Aberration-Free Environment, Argonne National Laboratory, July 18-20, 2000*, Workshop Report, U.S. DOE Argonne National Laboratory, Materials Science Division, October 1, 2001. (Full text available at: <http://ncem.lbl.gov/team/TEAM%20Report%202000.pdf>)
12. *Report: Second TEAM [Transmission Electron Aberration-corrected Microscopy] Workshop: Materials Research in an Aberration-Free Environment, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, July 18-19, 2002*. (Full text available at: <http://ncem.lbl.gov/team/TEAM%20Report%202002.pdf>)

31. ENERGY STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES FOR ELECTRIC AND HYBRID VEHICLES

The commercial use of electric and hybrid electric vehicle technologies has been limited by the performance and excessive costs of power sources and storage devices. In conjunction with the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy is interested in identifying and developing innovative concepts for advanced energy storage devices (batteries) that will improve the performance, extend the life, and significantly reduce the cost of the vehicles.

Battery-powered electric vehicles (EVs) require energy storage devices with high energy density, and hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) require devices that can deliver high power pulses. Advanced hybrids may require devices that both store significant energy and can deliver high power pulses. All of these devices must be able to accept high power recharging pulses from regenerative braking. For high energy density systems, the goal is to develop cells that provide at least 200 Watt-hours/kg (Wh/kg), 400 Wh/l, 400 W/kg, and 800 W/l; have a life of 1000 cycles at 80 percent depth of discharge; and have a calendar life of at least 10 years. For high power applications, the goal is to develop cells that provide peak power of 1500 W/kg or greater, have a cycle life of

at least 300,000 shallow cycles, and have a calendar life of 15 years. For all systems, materials to be utilized should be plentiful, have low cost (< \$10/kg), be environmentally benign, and be easily recycled. Evaluation of the technology with regard to the above criteria should be performed in accordance with applicable U.S. Advanced Battery Consortium test procedures or Society of Automotive Engineers recommended practices (see references that follow).

Grant applications must show how proposed innovations would result in significant advances in performance and cost reduction over state-of-the-art technologies. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Technologies that Facilitate the Use of a Lithium Metal Anode in a Rechargeable Battery—The use of lithium metal as the anode (negative electrode) in a rechargeable battery offers advantages over lithium-ion systems; these potential advantages include lower cost, higher energy density, and the option of using positive electrode materials that do not have to be pre-lithiated. Unfortunately, multiple discharges and recharges of a lithium electrode can result in the growth of metal dendrites and the formation of finely divided lithium particles. These phenomena limit the life and compromise the safety of any battery incorporating such an electrode. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop novel technologies, such as (but not limited to) interfacial materials or electrolytes, that will allow the use of a lithium metal anode in a rechargeable battery that meets the cycle and calendar life requirements associated with vehicular use. Grant applications must address the theoretical basis of the proposed R&D effort, the probable cost of using the technology in vehicular batteries, and the impact of the technology on other performance parameters such as power capability – technologies that adversely affect the performance of other parameters are not likely to be adopted. The proposed approach must be evaluated by cycling a lithium/lithium cell in Phase I, with full electrochemical cells containing a lithium electrode developed in Phase II. (References 2 and 3 are particularly applicable to this issue.)

b. Novel Electrochemical Couples for Advanced Batteries—New electrochemical couples offer the potential to overcome the limitations of current electrochemical systems, and to provide high-specific energy, long-life, and low-cost alternatives. Grant applications are sought to develop and demonstrate novel rechargeable couples that meet the criteria

described in the introduction to this topic. Rechargeable battery couples that incorporate anodic active materials such as aluminum or magnesium are of particular interest because of their potential use in high-performance, non-aqueous batteries for electric and hybrid vehicles. Areas of interest include (1) the synthesis and/or characterization of ionic conducting polymers and gel electrolytes that can transport polyvalent ions; (2) development of electrolytes that are capable of conducting alkaline earth, other divalent cations, and trivalent transition metal ions; (3) development of cathodes composed of intercalation compounds that allow the rapid diffusion of polyvalent ions; and (4) development of novel non-lithium couples that do not involve a polyvalent species. In addition, grant applications related to novel, non-lithium couples that do not involve the movement of metal ions from the negative to the positive electrode are also of interest. Proposed approaches must be demonstrated in full electrochemical cells of at least 0.2 Ampere-hour in size. Reference 8 is one of many articles on this subtopic.

c. Technologies to Improve the Tolerance of Lithium-Ion Cells and Batteries to Abusive Overcharge—High energy and high power lithium-ion cells and batteries may be subject to inadvertent, abusive overcharge if the battery's charging control mechanism fails. Depending upon the failure mode, cells may experience charging voltages that exceed the design specification by as little as 100 millivolts or up to many volts. Even low levels of overcharge have been shown to make a cell more susceptible to thermal runaway. More extreme overcharge can produce rapid events such as venting with smoke and flames. Grant applications are sought to develop novel methods of improving the tolerance of lithium-ion cells to overcharge. Improvements must be demonstrated in cells of at least 0.2 Ampere-hour in size. Grant applications may focus on changes in one or more of a cell's basic components (anode, electrolyte, separator, and cathode), or on materials added to a "standard" cell. Any standard, commercially available lithium-ion cell, suitable for vehicular use, may be used as the basis for the changes/improvements. (Note: some commercially available cells are not suitable for vehicular use because they contain costly components, operate only at low rates, have relatively limited cycle or calendar lives, etc.) Investigators that do not have access to specific information about the components of commercially available cells may use the specifications published by the Advanced Technology Development Program for its Generation 1 and Generation 2 cells as a starting point (see references 4 and 5).

Grant applications must be for novel research and development as defined in the introductory sections of this solicitation, provide a theoretical basis for the research, address the probable cost of using the technology in vehicular batteries, and address the impact of the technology on other performance parameters such as calendar life, power capability, and energy density – technologies that adversely affect these parameters are not likely to be adopted.

d. Non-carbonaceous Anode Materials for Lithium-Ion Batteries—Conventional lithium-ion cells use carbon-based materials for their anodes (negative electrodes). The use of carbon in these cells does offer some advantages (e.g., a potential very near that of pure lithium), but disadvantages include an irreversible capacity loss on the first charge and limited capacity for lithium in terms of both weight and volume. Grant applications are sought for the development of new materials (i.e., that are not a form of carbon) that can serve as the active component of the anodes of lithium ion cells. Grant applications must address the probable cost of using the material in vehicular batteries and the impact of the technology on other performance parameters such as calendar life, power capability, and energy density – technologies that adversely affect these parameters without commensurate benefits are not likely to be adopted. The novel materials must be demonstrated in full electrochemical cells of at least 0.2 Ampere-hour in size. Compatibility of the new anode material with other cell components (electrolyte, separator, and positive electrode material) must be demonstrated. (For this purpose, investigators may choose components described by the Advanced Technology Development Program for its Generation 2 cells (see references 4 and 5) or choose other components.)

References:

Please note: Paper copies of these references not available in the open literature or from NTIS. They may be obtained by addressing a request to Mr. Irwin Weinstock, Senior Engineer, Sentech, Inc., 4733 Bethesda Ave., Suite 608, Bethesda, MD 20814. Where available, locations of the documents on the Internet are given.

1. Links to the following manuals are all available at: <http://ev.inel.gov/battery>. These documents provide a good general basis for understanding the performance requirements for electric and hybrid electric vehicle energy storage devices.
 - FreedomCAR 42V Battery Test Manual

- FreedomCAR Battery Test Manual for Power Assist Hybrid Electric Vehicles
- PNGV Battery Test Manual, Revision 3
- Electric Vehicle Capacitor Test Procedures
- USABC Electric Vehicle Battery Test Procedure Manual, Revision 2

2. The internet site for the Batteries for Advanced Transportation Technologies (BATT) program at <http://berc.lbl.gov/BATT/BATT.html> includes quarterly and annual reports. This program addresses many long-term issues related to lithium batteries, including new materials and basic issues related to abuse tolerance.
3. Zhou, J., et al., “Interfacial Stability Between Lithium and Fumed Silica-Based Composite Electrolytes,” *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, 149(9):A1121-A1126, 2002. (Addresses issues related to the formation of Li dendrites.) (ISSN: 0013-4651) (Available via Electrochemical Society Web site at: <http://ojps.aip.org/JES/?jsessionid=2984621059489204943>. On menu at left, select “Browse all JES issues,” and then “Volume 149.” Scroll down to September 2002, Issue 9, and select either TOC, from which you may access article.)

References 4 and 5 discuss issues related to more mature, high power, lithium-ion batteries. They include information about cell chemistries that have proven to be useful model systems for these applications along with discussions of issues related to abuse tolerance and cell life.

4. *FY 2000 Progress Report for the Advanced Technology Development Program*, U.S. DOE, Office of Advanced Automotive Technologies, December 2000, <http://www.carttech.doe.gov/pdfs/FC/97.pdf>
5. *Advanced Technology Development (High-Power Battery): 2001 Annual Progress Report*, U.S. DOE, Office of Advanced Automotive Technologies, February 2002 <http://www.carttech.doe.gov/pdfs/B/196.pdf>
6. Information about requirements for vehicular batteries, separators for lithium-ion batteries, and abuse testing can all be found at the USABC section of the USCAR internet site. Go to <http://www.USCAR.org>; click on “Teams”; scroll down and click on “United States Advanced Battery Consortium (USABC)”. This site provides a second source for many documents found at reference 1.

7. The abuse test procedures, developed for FreedomCAR by Sandia National Laboratories may be accessed directly at:
<http://www.uscar.org/consortia&teams/USABC/SAND99-0497%20USABC%20Safety%20Manual.pdf>
8. Amatucci, G. G., et al., "Polyvalent Intercalation Batteries, a Step into Next Generation Energy Storage," presented at the 198th Meeting of the Electrochemical Society, Phoenix, AZ, October 22-27, 2000, Abstract No. 215, *Meeting Abstracts*, Vol. MA2000-2, Electrochemical Society, 2000. (ISSN: 1091-8213) (Paper published under new title: "Investigation of Yttrium and Polyvalent Ion Intercalation into Nanocrystalline Vanadium Oxide," *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, 148(8):A940-A950, 2001. (ISSN: 0013-4651) (Available via Electrochemical Society Web site at: <http://ojsps.aip.org/JES/?jsessionid=2984621059489204943>. On menu at left, select "Browse all JES issues," and then "Volume 148." Scroll down to August 2001, Issue 8, and select either TOC from which you may access article.)

32. INNOVATIVE RESEARCH FOR THE HYDROGEN ECONOMY

Clean and secure forms of energy are needed to support sustainable economic growth while mitigating greenhouse gas emissions and impacts on air quality. To address these challenges, the President's National Energy Policy and the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE's) Strategic Plan call for expanding the development of diverse domestic energy supplies. In his February 28, 2003, State of the Union address, President Bush expressed a goal to reverse America's growing dependence on foreign oil by developing commercially-viable, hydrogen-powered fuel cells to power automobiles, homes, and businesses with near-zero pollution or greenhouse gases. The President's new Hydrogen Fuel Initiative proposes to provide more than \$1.2 billion in funding over the next five years to accelerate the development of the technologies and infrastructure necessary to achieve this goal. Working with industry, the DOE has developed a national vision for moving toward a hydrogen economy. To realize this vision, the U.S. must develop and demonstrate advanced technologies for hydrogen production, delivery, storage, and use. This topic addresses two important concerns for the hydrogen economy: the production of hydrogen from biomass and the utilization of Polymer Electrolyte Membrane (PEM) fuel cell technology. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Modification of Biomass Composition through Plant Science—One of the advantages of hydrogen is that it can be produced from a variety of feedstocks and process technologies. Renewable biomass is an important potential feedstock because its use would be carbon-dioxide neutral relative to climate change concerns. Ultimately, the ability to produce hydrogen from biomass competitively will require lower feedstock costs. Examples of the desired traits of these feedstocks include increased yield, fast growth, less input requirements, and the ability to withstand stresses such as drought. Currently there is a lack of understanding of plant biochemistry, as well as inadequate genomic and metabolic data on potential crops. Grant applications are sought to further the understanding of the metabolic pathways for biomass crops and to modify these pathways in order to achieve step-change improvements in the above desired traits. In addition, grant applications are sought to genetically engineer the introduction of process-active cellulases and/or hemicellulases into the cell walls of biomass feedstock crops in order to enable the low cost hydrolysis of biomass to sugars.

b. Hydrogen Fermentation—The fermentation of sugars produced from biomass is a biomass-based production option that has not been extensively explored. This route to hydrogen production would be valuable because greenhouse gas emissions would be near zero. A few micro-organisms have the capability to produce hydrogen through the fermentation of carbohydrate (sugar) feedstock. However, known hydrogen production rates are far too low to be of practical interest. Therefore, grant applications are sought to significantly increase the rate of hydrogen production by the micro-organism fermentation of sugars generated from biomass.

c. Fuel Cell System Coolants and Membranes—PEM fuel cell technology is under development for a variety of applications, including light-duty transportation, portable power, distributed generation, and auxiliary power units. Much of this work is focused on cost reduction and performance enhancement to meet stringent targets for durability, specific power, power density, efficiency, and cost. Further work is sought to address specific component needs that ultimately aid in the development of cost-effective fuel cell systems. This subtopic address two of these needs: improved coolants and lower cost membranes.

Grant applications are sought to develop improved fuel cell system coolants that operate at elevated temperature (120°C), have very low electrical conductivity (less than

2.0 microsiemens/cm), are compatible with other materials in the coolant loop, and are non-flammable (i.e., have a very high flash point). In addition, the advanced fuel cell coolants also should have good thermo-physical properties (viscosity, heat capacity and thermal conductivity), a low freezing point (<-40°C), and low cost.

The high cost of the fluorinated polymers used to produce the membrane in PEM fuel cells is one of the major barriers to fuel cell commercialization. Polymer electrolyte membranes typically are composed of poly (perfluorosulfonic) acid, and the synthesis of the polymer includes a costly fluorination step. Grant applications are sought to develop novel membranes that are less than fully fluorinated, yet maintain high performance. The new membrane must be able to tolerate an acidic environment, perform under standard operating conditions, and cost no more than \$5/kW.

d. Innovative Fuel Cell Concepts—Typical PEM fuel cell configurations include multiple cells that are stacked to achieve adequate working voltages and are fueled by hydrogen and air. Grant applications are sought to develop alternative, innovative PEM fuel cell configurations and concepts that address niche markets or employ unique technology that show promise for commercialization in the long-term. Areas of research interest include but are not limited to applications from biotechnology, microtechnology, or nanotechnology; unique cell design; and alternative concepts for membrane conduction. Proposed approaches should clearly demonstrate the potential benefits compared to conventional fuel cell technology.

References:

1. *The Vision and Technology Roadmap for Plant/Crop-Based Renewable Resources 2020*, Renewables Vision 2020 Executive Steering Group, February 1999. (Full text available at: http://www.oit.doe.gov/agriculture/pdfs/technology_road_map.pdf)
2. *Vision for Bioenergy and Biobased Products in the United States*, Biomass Research and Development Technical Advisory Committee, October 2002. (Full text available at: http://www.bioproducts-bioenergy.gov/pdfs/BioVision_03_Web.pdf)
3. *Roadmap for Biomass Technologies in the United States*, Biomass Research and Development Technical Advisory Committee, December 2002. (Full text available at: <http://www.bioproducts-bioenergy.gov/pdfs/FinalBiomassRoadmap.pdf>)
4. Himmel, M. E., et al., "Cellulases: Structure, Function, and Applications," Chapter 8, *Handbook on Bioethanol: Production and Utilization*, pp. 143-161, Washington, DC: Taylor & Francis, 1996. (ISBN: 1560325534) (See also *Cellulase Enzyme Research* at: <http://www.ott.doe.gov/biofuels/cellulase.html>)
5. Hardy, R. W. and Segelken, J. B., *Agricultural Biotechnology: Novel Products and New Partnerships*, Report No. 8, Ithaca, NY: National Agricultural Biotechnology Council, 1996. (For more information see: <http://www.agbiotech.net/reports/nabc.asp#contents>)
6. Tengerdy, R. P. and Szakács, G., "Perspectives in Agrobiotechnology," *Journal of Biotechnology*, 66(2-3):91-99, December 1998. (ISSN: 0168-1656) (Ordering information and abstract available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/01681656>)
7. Zechendorf, B., "Sustainable Development: How Can Biotechnology Contribute?" *Trends in Biotechnology*, 17(6):219-225, June 1, 1999. (ISSN: 0167-7799) (Ordering information and abstract available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/01677799>)
8. Wu, R., et al., "Molecular Genetics and developmental Physiology: Implications for Designing Better Forest Crops," *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 19(5):377-393, 2000. (ISSN: 0735-2689) (Ordering information and abstract available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/07352689>)
9. Zaborsky, O. R., ed., *Biohydrogen*, New York: Plenum Press, 1998. (ISBN: 0-306-46057-2) (See also: Das, D. and Veziroglu, N., "Hydrogen Production by Biological Processes: A Survey of Literature," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 26(1):13-28, 2001. ISSN: 03603199)
10. *National Hydrogen Energy Roadmap*, U.S. Department of Energy, November 2002. (Full text available at: <http://www.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells>)
11. *Hydrogen, Fuel Cells & Infrastructure Technologies Program: Multi-Year Research, Development and Demonstration Plan*, June 3, 2003 Draft, U.S. DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. (Full text available at: <http://www.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/mypp>) (Final version scheduled for release in October 2003)

12. *FY 2002 Progress Report for Hydrogen, Fuel Cells, & Infrastructure Technologies Program*, U.S. DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, November 2002. (Full text available at: http://www.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/annual_report.html)

33. NANOTECHNOLOGY APPLICATIONS IN INDUSTRIAL CHEMISTRY

The U.S. chemical industry is poised to apply many of the recent discoveries in nanotechnology, undertaken at universities and national laboratories, which may have an important influence on the manufacture and uses of chemicals and materials. In this topic, small businesses are encouraged to take advantage of these discoveries by conducting further R&D, leading to marketable products of importance to the U.S. chemical industry. The subtopic areas focus on nanomaterials research in catalysis, on polymers and polymer manufacture, on composite materials, and on new materials with special properties that mimic properties of living organisms (i.e., “biomimetics” applications). Grant applications must demonstrate a significant energy benefit, either from saving energy in manufacture, conserving materials, or providing longer life in applications. Grant applications also must demonstrate how these nanotechnology innovations will be introduced into the marketplace in conjunction with major chemical companies that have capabilities for widespread technology implementation and manufacturing. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Nanomaterials with Catalytic Activity—Recent discoveries suggest that some materials with nanosized features may exhibit novel heterogeneous catalytic activity. Grant applications are sought to develop new nanoscale materials with catalytic properties. Chemical transformations of interest include, but are not limited to isomerizations, halogenations, oxidations, reductions, stereospecific transformations, or combinations of these. Proposed approaches must demonstrate that (1) the materials exhibit catalytic behavior only when their functional properties are imparted at the nanoscale, and (2) the intended products of the chemical reactions have commercial value. Partnership with chemical companies that have the manufacturing capabilities needed to bring the technology to widespread commercial application is strongly encouraged.

b. New Nanoscale Polymer Materials, Polymer Composites, and Polymer Processes—Recent research

has shown that polymer materials with controlled nanocrystalline features may exhibit special or new properties that are not exhibited otherwise when the polymer material’s nanosize features are not controlled. Furthermore, a composite material comprising both polymers and nanosize organic or inorganic substances could exhibit useful properties that are not exhibited by the polymer alone. Grant applications are sought to develop novel polymer processes with the potential to control features of the polymer at the nanoscale, resulting in polymer materials that have properties unmatched by any other materials. (Examples of such naturally occurring processes include the spinning of a web by a spider or the clotting of blood.) Grant applications should (1) address commercial applications or markets for proposed approaches, (2) demonstrate a careful review of the relevant scientific literature, and (3) address possibilities for forming partnerships with industrial chemical companies willing to assist in the development and application of the technology.

c. Development of Materials with Structure or Function Derived from Analogy with Properties Exhibited by Living Systems (“Biomimetics”) — Grant applications are sought to develop materials that, due to the nanoscale features of the material, mimic some of the remarkable properties exhibited by living organisms. Such properties include self-repair, unusual hardness or strength or both, novel optical or electromagnetic behavior, or unusual transport properties for heat or mass. Grant applications must identify: (1) the novel biomimetic features to be developed; (2) the basis in nanoscience for the proposed materials development; (3) reasonable commercial applications for the new materials, and how these applications would save energy or materials or both in their intended use; and (4) a chemical industry partner that would participate in the development of the materials and that has the manufacturing capability to bring the materials to the marketplace.

d. Nanomaterials and Specialty Products Chemistry—In addition to the catalysts sought in subtopic a above, grant applications are sought to develop new products, based on nanoscience and nanotechnology, for use in specialty chemicals markets. These products include adhesives, antioxidants, biocides, corrosion inhibitors, dyes, flame retardants, flavorings and fragrances, specialty coatings, surfactants, and water-soluble polymers. Grant applicants must identify (1) specialty chemicals markets that will use the new materials, (2) energy benefits to be obtained from using the new materials, (3) the basis in nanoscience for the

properties of the new materials, and (4) a specialty chemicals manufacturer that is prepared to assist in the commercialization of new materials technology.

References:

1. Siegel, R. W., et al., eds., *Nanostructure Science and Technology: A Worldwide Study*, prepared under guidance of NSTC/CT and IWGN, Baltimore MD: Loyola College, September 1999. (Full text available via WTEC Web site at: <http://www.wtec.org/loyola/nano/final/>)
2. *National Nanotechnology Initiative: Leading to the Next Industrial Revolution*, Supplement to President's FY 2001 Budget, NSTC/IWGN Report, February 2000. (Full text available via OSTI Web site: <http://www.ostp.gov/NSTC/html/iwgn/iwgn.fy01budsupp/lnni.pdf>)
3. Roco, M. C., et al., eds., *Nanotechnology Research Directions: IWGN Workshop Report. Vision for Nanotechnology Research and Development in the Next Decade*, prepared under guidance of NSTC/CT, Baltimore, MD: Loyola College, September 1999. (Full text available at: <http://www.sc.doe.gov/production/bes/IWGN.Research.Directions/welcome.htm>)
4. *Nanomaterials and the Chemical Industry R&D Roadmap Workshop: Preliminary Results*, sponsored by Vision 2020, NNI, and U.S. DOE Industrial Materials and Chemicals Program, October 2002. (Full text available at: http://www.energetics.com/download/chemvision2020/anomaterialsroadmap/nano_workshop_results_report.pdf)
5. Roco, M. C. and Bainbridge, W. S., eds., *Societal Implications of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*, Final Report on Nanoscale Science, Engineering, and Technology Workshop held September 28-29, 2000, Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation, March 2001. (Full text available at: <http://wtec.org/loyola/nano/NSET.Societal.Implications/>)

34. REACTIVE SEPARATIONS

Reactive separations utilize close coupling of separation and chemical reactor systems, often in a single unit, to improve the yield of the reaction, the production of desired products, and/or to lower energy consumption and capital investment. Reactive separation systems

may take many forms and may not resemble conventional chemical reactors and separations equipment. Reactors could be catalytic or homogeneous, continuous or batch. Any separation method could be used including adsorption, distillation, or extraction. A simple example of a reactive separation is a tubular reactor that utilizes a selective membrane tube filled with catalyst. The membrane selectively permeates a desired reaction product, and the removal of that product along the reactor length continuously shifts the chemical equilibrium among the potential products and reactants, increasing both the utilization of reactants and the production of the desired product.

Improvements from combining separations and chemical reactor operations can be substantial. In conventional systems, the yields of desired products are often limited by the equilibrium constant, and a product's concentration is usually determined by a thermodynamic equilibrium distribution of products and reactants. By combining a reactor with a separation operation that removes the most desired product, as in the above example, the utilization of reactants can be improved, and the reaction can provide significantly higher yields of the most desired product. Energy savings can also be realized when products from one reaction step can be separated and used as reactants in a second reaction step. When one reaction step is exothermic and the other reaction is endothermic, the energy from the exothermic reaction can be used to drive the endothermic reaction.

Unfortunately, effective reactive separation systems usually are highly system-specific, and particular combinations of separation and reactive systems are required for each potential application. For numerous low yield systems, no effective reactive separation systems are likely to be found. (Part of the difficulty is that reactive separation systems not only must include both reactor and separation capabilities, but also both functions must take place at approximately the same temperature and pressure, at least if they are to be incorporated in the same equipment.) Therefore, each grant application must identify a particular application -- one with the potential for large savings of energy and materials, and/or for significant reduction in waste products. Grant applications targeting new and/or improved processing of radioactive wastes (i.e. high level waste, spent nuclear fuel, low level wastes, etc.) will not be considered under this topic. Also, grant applications aimed at demonstrating reactive separation systems that have been studied extensively in the past, or those limited to testing a particular system under a specific set of conditions, are not of interest and will be declined.

Proposed efforts should not only be innovative but also should seek to understand the dynamics of the reactive separation system. Grant applications must explain how or why the proposed reactive separation concept would result in improved raw material utilization (reactor yield) and energy savings compared to current (or currently proposed) approaches to producing the target products. Grant applications should also address the likelihood of further development or commercialization beyond Phases I and II (e.g., by identifying particular industries, government agencies, or even companies, that not only would benefit from the technology development but also may contribute follow-on funding). **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Reactive Distillation—Forty thousand distillation columns are used today in manufacturing 90 to 95 percent of all products in the continuous process industries. Advances in distillation could increase productivity, reduce costs, enhance product purity, and increase overall energy efficiency. Reactive distillation offers the possibility of reducing capital costs by combining reaction and distillation in one process step. The best candidate reactions involve reversible exothermic reactions with favorable kinetics at temperatures of separation. Several reactive distillation processes for the preparation of ethers, such as ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) and tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME), have been commercialized already, and efforts to broaden the application of reactive distillation to other reaction systems have begun. However, the advantages of reactive distillation can be off-set by kinetics, equilibrium, and mass transfer issues; catalyst placement; and the compatibility of separation and reaction conditions for a given system. Grant applications are sought to adopt the reactive distillation process to other reversible exothermic reaction systems to improve energy efficiency and product yield. Proposed efforts must provide an understanding of process fundamentals and show how and why the above technical barriers will be overcome.

b. Membrane Reactors—Membrane reactors have been proposed in a variety of configurations employing polymeric, ceramic, metallic, or liquid membranes for coupling and combining process reactions and separations. The membrane reactors can improve process performance through equilibrium shifts, reducing product inhibition, the use of catalyst activated membranes, etc. However, to be competitive with conventional technologies, membrane reactors must be shown to have superior economics (e.g., reduced material and energy intensity, lowered pollutant dispersion) over a full life cycle. Grant applications are

sought to develop improved membrane reactors for particular applications with outstanding economics compared to existing technology. Proposed efforts must include the development of membrane reactor materials with improved reliability and performance (e.g., better selectivity, permeability, stability) as well as the development of unique approaches for engineering the membrane contacting devices. Grant applications that simply apply membrane technology to existing reactor processes are not of interest and will be declined; rather, proposed efforts must identify and exploit new, more efficient chemical pathways that membrane reactors would make possible.

c. Reactive Separations For Waste Reduction—Most industrial interest in reactive separations is due to the potential to increase product yields and improve the economics of a number of important synthesis processes. However, the increased product yield also provides an opportunity for decreasing waste generation. Grant applications are sought to develop reactive separation systems, other than reactive distillation and membrane reactors, which provide significant reductions in waste generation and pollutant dispersion. Areas of interest include reductions in net CO₂ production, solvent use, and the release of persistent, bio-accumulating, toxic materials into the environment.

References:

1. Gonzalez, J. C. and Fair, J. R., "Preparation of Tertiary Amyl Alcohol in a Reactive Distillation Column. 1- Reaction Kinetics, Chemical Equilibrium, and Mass-Transfer Issues," *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 36(9):3833-3844, September 1997. (ISSN 0888-5885)
2. Gonzalez, J. C., et al., "Preparation of Tert-Amyl Alcohol in a Reactive Distillation Column. 2- Experimental Demonstration and Simulation of Column Characteristics," *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 36(9):3845-3853, September 1997. (ISSN 0888-5885)
3. Ho, W. W. and Sirkar, K. K., *Membrane Handbook*, Chapman & Hall, 1992. (ISBN 0412988712)
4. Humphrey, J. L. and Keller, G. E., II, *Separation Process Technology*, McGraw-Hill, 1997. (ISBN 0-07-0331173-0)
5. Preprints of the Topical Conference on Separation Science and Technologies, American Institute of Chemical Engineers (AIChE) Annual Meeting, Los Angeles, CA, November 17-19, 1997, New York: AIChE, 1997. (ISBN 0816999384)

6. Subawalla H., et al., "Capacity and Efficiency of Reactive Distillation Bale Packing: Modeling and Experimental Validation," *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 36(9):3821-3832, September 1997. (ISSN 0888-5885)
7. *Vision 2020: 2000 Separations Roadmap*, New York: AIChE, Waste Reduction Technologies, 2000. (ISBN 0-8169-0832-X) (Available on the Web at: <http://www.oit.doe.gov/chemicals/>. On menu at left, select "Vision & Roadmaps." Scroll down to center of page, and select "Separations 2000.")
8. *Vision 2020: 1998 Separations Roadmap*, New York: AIChE, Waste Reduction Technologies, October 1998. (ISBN 0816907870)

35. SOLID STATE ORGANIC LIGHT EMITTING DIODES FOR GENERAL LIGHTING

This topic provides small businesses with an opportunity to carry out substantially novel research and development on the fabrication, processing, and characterization of solid state organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs) associated systems suitable for general lighting. Many researchers believe that solid state lighting represents an unparalleled opportunity to achieve major energy conservation in general illumination applications with attendant benefits in pollution reduction. While the final form of the solid state lighting of the future remains unknown today, there are several promising approaches including the subject of this topic, OLEDs. However, to achieve the important benefits typically associated with solid state lighting, key technical milestones must first be achieved. Some of these have already been defined and include: (1) major efficacy improvements at all wavelengths to obtain high efficiency white-light sources; (2) major cost reduction of practical device structures and form factors to be competitive with traditional light sources; (3) development of a new support infrastructure including powering, fixtures, etc.; and (4) identification of new approaches to lighting enabled by OLEDs such as "smart" light sources. These and other issues have been addressed in several recent workshops sponsored jointly by the Department of Energy (DOE), the Optoelectronics Industrial Development Association (OIDA), and the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) in collaboration with a number of industrial, academic, and national laboratory participants.

To achieve the price (\$3.00 per 1000 lumens) and performance (90 lumens per watt) required to enable the wholesale energy conservation sought in general illumination applications, quantum leaps in device performance are needed. To realize very large increases in performance, research directed at breakthrough opportunities is required. Thus, applications should be submitted under this topic **only** if the research represents a **significant** advance in materials or in processing and characterization that will lead ultimately to high-quality OLEDs capable of producing white light. Grant applications will be declined if they are limited to a minor or incremental improvement of an existing material or process. Also note that grant applications for research associated with other types of LEDs or other advanced lighting systems will not be considered under this topic; such systems may be the subject of topics submitted by other DOE programs within this solicitation. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Device Synthesis and Architecture—Grant applications are sought to develop methods for improving the synthesis and architecture of OLEDs devices. Areas of interest include: (1) cost-effective, continuous deposition processes that can be scaled-up for large area coatings; (2) novel substrate and electrode materials; and (3) novel device architecture designs that are practical for large-scale manufacturing and/or that simplify the layer structures while increasing device performance.

b. Device Efficiency—Grant applications are sought to achieve higher OLED device efficiency, which can be characterized by its quantum efficiency, luminous efficiency, and luminous yield. Some potential areas of interest include: (1) reduction of injection barriers and balancing charge injection; (2) searching and developing new efficient emitters and activation catalysts; (3) researching new methods to increase internal quantum efficiency by employing phosphorescence, fluorescence, or other luminous molecular processes; and (4) developing new methods, device geometries, and materials for more efficient light extraction to yield higher external quantum efficiency.

c. Reliability and Lifetime—Grant applications are sought to improve the lifetime and reliability of OLEDs devices. Areas of interest include, but are not limited to: (1) characterization of the degradation mechanisms; (2) understanding the role and evolution of organic and inorganic impurities in OLEDs; and (3) new schemes, materials and geometries for device encapsulation and sealing from environmental contaminants.

References:

1. Burrows, P. E., et al., "Color-Tunable Organic Light-Emitting Devices," *Applied Physics Letters*, 69(20):2959-2962, November 11, 1996. (ISSN: 0003-6951)(Available from American Institute of Physics. Web site: <http://www.aip.org>)
2. Shen, Z., et al., "Three-Color, Tunable, Organic Light-Emitting Devices," *Science*, 276:2009-2011, June 27, 1997. (ISSN: 0036-8075)(Available from the American Association for the Advancement of Science at: <http://www.sciencemag.org/>. Browse "Archives of Science.")
3. Tang, C. W., et al., *Applied Physics Letters*, 65:3610, 1989. (ISSN: 0003-6951)
4. *The Promise of Solid State Lighting for General Illumination—Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs) and Organic Light Emitting Diodes (OLEDs)*, Washington, DC: Optoelectronics Industry Development Association (OIDA), 2002. (Full text available at DOE Web site: <http://www.eere.energy.gov/buildings/documents>) (Conclusions and Recommendations from OIDA Technology Roadmaps)
5. Van Slyke, S. A., et al., "Organic electroluminescent devices with improved stability," *Applied Physics Letters*, 69(15):2160-2162, October 7, 1996. (ISSN: 0003-6951)(Available from American Institute of Physics. Web site: <http://www.aip.org/>)

PROGRAM AREA OVERVIEW ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY

<http://www.eere.energy.gov>

The mission of the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) is to strengthen America's energy security, environmental quality, and economic vitality through public-private partnerships that enhance energy efficiency and productivity; bring clean, reliable, and affordable energy technologies to the marketplace; and make a difference in the everyday lives of Americans by enhancing their energy choices and their quality of life.

In order to accomplish this mission EERE has streamlined and integrated its program and business management by creating 11 programs to most effectively address the needs of the industry, transportation, buildings and power sectors: Biomass; Buildings; Distributed Energy and Electricity Reliability; Federal Energy Management; FreedomCar and Vehicle Technologies; Geothermal; Hydrogen, Fuel Cells, and Infrastructure Technologies; Industrial Technologies; Solar Energy Technology; Wind and Hydropower Technologies; and Weatherization and Intergovernmental.

One of EERE's core mission priorities is to engage and partner with the small business technology sector, in so doing, "leapfrog the status quo" by facilitating the development of new technologies that will dramatically reduce or end dependence on foreign oil; increase the viability and deployment of renewable energy technologies; increase the reliability and efficiency of electricity generation, delivery, and use; increase the efficiency of buildings and appliances; and increase the efficiency/reduce the energy intensity of industry.

It is estimated that the energy technologies and practices supported by the EERE programs have saved Americans billions of dollars in energy costs over the past decade. These savings are projected to dramatically increase as emerging and new energy technologies are developed and commercialized. These energy savings are accompanied by parallel reductions in emissions and pollutants that affect human health and in the production of greenhouse gases. The EE program in renewable energy has advanced the state of technologies in such areas as solar, wind, and biomass to the point where renewables have been projected to supply as much as 28 percent of the nation's energy by 2030.

36. NEW TECHNOLOGIES FOR GENERAL ILLUMINATION APPLICATIONS

Electricity consumed for general lighting applications in commercial and industrial buildings, residences, and

outdoor applications represents about 22% of total U.S. electric energy production. As new, imaginative applications for lighting appear on the marketplace, lighting is predicted to continue to grow as an end use of electricity. Yet, despite concentrated efforts from both

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

government and industry, the efficiency of converting electric energy into visible light by commercial light sources has increased only incrementally over the last three decades. Even the most efficient of today's lighting systems convert only about 30% of electrical energy into useful visible light. While there have been some significant recent advances in light sources, such as the compact fluorescent lamp, no truly revolutionary light sources have been developed and commercialized since the mid 1960s. Increases in lighting system efficiency have come primarily by substituting one type of lamp for another and from the addition of sophisticated controls. In spite of these efficiency increases, the overall installed base of general illumination in U.S. buildings is inefficient, especially in comparison to other buildings systems. However, the potential for substantial increases in light source efficiency is significant. Within the Office of Buildings Technologies, the Department of Energy maintains an active program to explore new methods by which high quality electric light can be produced with less energy and less environmental impact. The technical potential exists to increase light production efficiency by a factor of two or more. To realize this exceptionally high level of performance, with the attendant energy conservation potential, major advancements in basic light producing technologies must be made. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Advanced High Intensity Discharge Lighting— Approximately 17% of the total energy consumed by electric lighting is by high intensity discharge (HID) sources that produce nearly all the lighting service in outdoor applications, about 30% in industrial applications, and about 10% in commercial spaces. There are three basic types of HID lamps in service today: mercury vapor, metal halide (MH) and high pressure sodium (HPS). All require ballasts and each has certain performance attributes for specialized applications such as street lighting, parking lots, high bay industrial lighting, and sports complexes. In addition, the replacement of conventional lamps, including incandescent "A-Line" lamps, with new, improved HID lamps represents an additional energy conservation opportunity. Although technological advances, particularly premium light engines and electronic lamp ballasts, have provided significant increases in energy efficiency compared to standard HID lamps, these advances have not led to the expected increases in sales.

The most energy efficient metal halide lamps use a premium light engine (the part of lamp enclosed by the quartz envelope containing the working gasses and

electrodes under high pressure) that costs more than the standard light engine. The premium light engine is not only more efficient than the standard design, it also produces light with more desirable color attributes and less lumen depreciation, making it more life cycle cost attractive. These premium lamps are marketed as "Energy Efficient" because they replace a standard lamp by producing the same light with less power (e.g., a 360 W "Energy Efficient" lamp replaces a standard 400 W lamp, and so on). "Energy Efficient" lamps have been on the market for a number of years but have failed to experience significant market penetration, generally due to their higher first cost price differential compared to standard HID lamps (although compelling argument can be made in favor of "Energy Efficient" lamps on the basis of total life cycle cost).

Lamp ballast designs also can make a significant difference in HID system efficiency. Today, numerous manufacturers offer a line of electronic ballasts, but volume sales are almost exclusively conventional electromagnetic products. Adding increased functionality to the lamp-ballast designs may produce even more energy reductions as well as make the lamps more marketable. Some concepts for increasing HID lamp system functionality include: instant on, limited dimming, uniform lumen and color temperature, and networked controls integration.

Grant applications are sought to develop novel HID lamp designs with improved performance, in order to reduce first costs and simultaneously increase life cycle cost competitiveness (by increasing lamp lifetime, lumen maintenance, or lamp efficacy). Approaches of interest include less costly methods of manufacture that also produce a desirable reduction in cost, novel ballast designs that increase efficiency beyond presently available designs, and energy efficient system designs that add functionality and/or can replace conventional light sources (such as incandescent lamps).

b. Advanced Fluorescent Lamp Technology— Fluorescent lighting consumes about 41% of the total energy consumed in lighting and is especially prominent in commercial and industrial spaces where it produces about 60% of the light. Modern lamps, especially T-8 linear fluorescent lamps (LFLs) are good at producing very high light quality with acceptable energy efficiency over an extensive lifetime, and compact fluorescent lamps (CFLs) are not far behind. However, even the best of today's T-8 LFLs convert only about 28% of consumed power into visible radiation. Mostly, this inefficiency is attributed to electrode losses (~16%), unwanted infrared emissions (~37%) and other discharge

column loses (~18%) including small amounts of ultraviolet emission. Grant applications are sought to develop technology to reduce these losses and thereby increase fluorescent lamp efficiency. Two selected opportunities, involving (1) new phosphor technology and (2) methods to eliminate mercury, are described in the paragraphs that follow, but other approaches for improving the performance of fluorescent lamps also would be of interest.

(1) Phosphors are essential for the energy efficient operation of low-pressure plasma discharge lighting in the form of either compact or linear fluorescent lamps. Inside the most efficient fluorescent lamps, both CFLs and all types of LFLs, almost 100% of the invisible, ultraviolet light is absorbed by the phosphors and re-emitted as long wavelength, visible light. Phosphor conversion efficiency is very close to one (i.e., one invisible UV photon produces one long wavelength, visible photon). The overall efficiency of this conversion is governed by various basic chemical processes, and, while the present embodiment of the technology is good, there is ample room for improvement. Physical effects on the macro-scale (such as particles size, lattice or host matching, crystal structure, and even methods of application) also can have a significant impact on lamp efficacy. Grant applications are sought to advance the state-of-the-art in phosphor technology for general illumination applications by developing novel conversion schemes that increase long wavelength photonic emissions. Areas of interest include, but are not limited to: Quantum Splitting Phosphors, a leading candidate for increasing the performance of lamps using phosphors, which has yet failed to reach commercialization; molecular effects including nanoscale properties; novel macro-scale effects such as unusual phosphor material structures or different manufacturing methods; and numerical modeling of novel energy conversion processes.

(2) All fluorescent lamps currently manufactured for sale in the United States contain various amounts of mercury. This small dose of mercury provides critical performance attributes that enable practical products like CFLs and LFLs to enjoy remarkable market penetration. Energy efficient attributes like lamp efficacy and cold starting are among the most important, but other practical attributes include color rendering, lamp to lamp consistency, output uniformity over life, and service lifetime. Succinctly stated, mercury makes conventional fluorescent lights work; without it, these important lamps would not be nearly as useful and energy efficient. However, some regulators worldwide have begun to

phase out the mercury contained in lighting products, either directly by limiting disposal options or by requiring recycling of spent lamps. Although considerable research has been expended towards the goal of eliminating mercury altogether from fluorescent lighting products, designs for mercury-free fluorescent lamps have failed to reach the efficiency and performance levels of existing products and a significant technical challenge remains. Therefore, grant applications are sought for alternative lamp designs that do not depend upon mercury for efficient operation, and therefore do not contain any mercury at all. The mercury-free solutions required under this subtopic should have a form factor compatible with conventional fluorescent lamps or A-line lamps. Different power supplies or ballasts may be required but existing performance attributes must be maintained or exceeded. Life cycle costs must be comparable to existing CFL and LFL lamp products and should provide performance consistent with the goals of ENERGY STAR[®] lighting specifications.

c. Novel Solid State Lighting Structures—Light emitting diodes (LEDs) based upon, traditional III-V semiconductor materials and substrates are being developed to meet expanding demands in numerous markets including general illumination. However, for general illumination applications (those that require high color quality, broad spectrum, bright white light), the prospects for energy efficient solutions based on existing III-Nitride semiconductor technology (which uses near UV or blue monochrome light, down-converted with a yellow phosphor) appears to be constrained to less than 90 Lumens per Watt (LPW). Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop novel materials systems and structures that are fundamentally different than currently manufactured III-Nitride semiconductor systems and that promise performance in excess of 90 LPW. These novel solutions must be capable of eventually being commercially manufactured at a cost of \$2.00 per 1000 lumens or less. For organic devices which are inherently less complex and costly to produce, certain pathways have already been demonstrated which may provide practical solutions for efficient white light production. However, there may be other, even more efficient materials systems solutions that, when combined with novel device architectures, may be even more attractive for general illumination. Alternative device configurations or hybrid structures that take advantage of efficient phosphor performance are of interest as are novel combinations of organic dyes and dopants that may shift spectral outputs to more desirable regimes. Approaches that represent incremental

increases in III-Nitride semiconductor device performance are not of interest and will be declined.

In addition, configurations of existing semiconductor light producing devices may not be optimum for general illumination applications. External quantum efficiencies may be low and other geometric optical limitations may impose performance constraints that limit overall device efficiency. For example, the optical efficiency of today's white light devices can be as low as 10%. In order to maximize energy efficiencies, solid state lighting products of the future will extract at least 90% of the visible light produced. Therefore, grants applications are sought to develop alternative geometrical designs, matrices, or arrays of existing device designs that can overcome certain physical limitations, including heat dissipation and low optical efficiency, leading to novel device designs that promise device efficiencies in excess of 60%.

d. "Off-Grid" Solid State Lighting Devices—Solid state lighting (SSL) devices have evolved rapidly over the past several years to a point where certain monochrome or single color applications like traffic control lights and emergency lighting can incorporate them to reduce energy consumption while simultaneously providing superior performance over a long lifetime. Many industry experts believe that it is unlikely that there are many more near-term markets for monochrome illumination in general lighting (i.e., replacing incandescent lamps) until high brightness, inexpensive, high color quality, SSL sources are fully developed. Nevertheless, important energy conserving applications may exist for low power, small devices in selected small or niche markets where high spectral content white light is unnecessary. Furthermore, if these devices were powered by sunlight using existing solar voltaic and existing battery technologies, instant energy conservation could be realized because these devices could be removed from the power grid. Once they are off the power grid, their security would increase as they can be designed to operate for a specified period of time completely independent of the status of electricity service.

Grant applications are sought to develop novel designs for practical devices that can use commercial off-the-shelf technology for the SSL source, photovoltaic collection system, batteries, and controls. Grant applications must describe the subject market, its size, and the likelihood of market penetration. The subject devices should be cost competitive with the designs they replace, and life cycle cost comparisons must also be provided. For new applications where no conventional

lighting system is used, societal benefits should be described. Examples of the kinds of devices sought include but are not limited to: remote lighting and signage, architectural illumination, security lighting, landscape lighting, marine applications, and portable illumination.

References:

Subtopic a: Advanced High Intensity Discharge Lighting

1. *Lighting Handbook: Reference and Application*, 9th ed., New York: Illuminating Engineering Society of North America, 2000. (ISSN: 1088-5102)
2. *Introduction to Light and Lighting*, York, PA: Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA), 1991. (ISBN: 087995034X)
3. Murdoch, Joseph P., *Illumination Engineering: From Edison's Lamp to the Laser*, 2nd ed., New York: Visions Communications, 2003. (ISBN: 1-885750-05-6) (To order, contact publisher via e-mail at: bayvisions@aol.com.)
4. Gough, A. B., et al., *Proceedings of ALITE '95 Workshop, Rochester, NY, February 28 – March 2, 1995*, Palo Alto, CA: Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), 1995. (Report No. EPRI-TR-106022) (Available from EPRI to members and non-members. Telephone: 800-313-3774)
5. *Federal Energy Management Program*, U.S. DOE Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Network, <http://www.eere.energy.gov/femp/>

Subtopic b: Advanced Fluorescent Lamp Technology

6. Gough, A. B., et al., *Proceedings of ALITE '95 Workshop, Rochester, NY, February 28 – March 2, 1995*, Palo Alto, CA: Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), 1995. (Report No. EPRI-TR-106022) (Available from EPRI to members and non-members. Telephone: 1-800-313-3774)
7. Kurokawa, M., et al., "The afterglow characteristics of xenon pulsed plasma for mercury-free fluorescent lamps," *19th Symposium on Plasma Physics and Technology, Czechoslovak Journal of Physics*, 50(suppl. S3):433-6, 2000. (Complete proceedings with hypertext links available on the Web at: <http://aldebaran.feld.cvut.cz/sppt2000/>)

8. Shiga, et al., "Mercury-free, high luminance and high efficacy flat discharge lamp for LCD backlightings," *Transactions of the Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers*, J83-C(4):326-33, (Japan), April 2000.
9. Roozekrans, et al., "Neon (mercury free) fluorescent lamp with amber colour," *Proceedings of 8th International Symposium on the Science and Technology of Light Sources (LS-8)*, Greifswald, Germany, Aug. 30–Sept. 3, 1998, pp. 138-9, Institute for Low Temperature Plasma Physics, 1998.
10. Saito, et al., "Mercury-free HPS lamp with high CRI and its one application on plant growth," *Proceedings of 8th International Symposium on the Science and Technology of Light Sources (LS-8)*, Greifswald, Germany, Aug. 30–Sept. 3, 1998, pp. 218-9, Institute for Low Temperature Plasma Physics, 1998.
11. Il'mas, et al., "Mercury-free fluorescent lamps with photon multiplication," *Bulletin of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Physical Series*, 33(5):904-7, 1969. (ISSN of translation: 0001-432X)
12. Sarroukh, R., et al., "Detailed investigation on the neon-xenon mixture as filling gas for mercury-free fluorescent lamps," *PPPS-2001: Pulsed Power Plasma Science 2001: Digest of Technical Papers: [the 28th IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, the 13th IEEE International Pulsed Power]*, Piscataway, NJ: IEEE, 2001. (ISBN: 0-7803-7120-8)

Subtopic c: Novel Solid State Lighting Structures

13. Stringfellow, G. B., and Craford, M. G., eds., *High Brightness Light Emitting Diodes, Vol. 48: Semiconductors and Semimetals*, San Diego: Academic Press, 1997. (Vol. 48 ISBN: 0127521569) (ISSN: 0080-8784)
14. Bierman, A., "LEDs: From Indicators to Illumination?" *Lighting Futures*, 3(4), Troy, NY: Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Lighting Research Center, 1998. (Available on the Web at: <http://www.lrc.rpi.edu/Futures/LF-LEDs/index.html>)
15. Kendall, M. and Scholand, M., *Energy Savings Potential of Solid State Lighting in General Lighting Applications*, Final Report, Arlington, VA: Arthur D. Little, Inc., 2001. (Available on the Web at: http://www.eren.doe.gov/buildings/documents/pdfs/ssl_final_report3.pdf)

16. Streetman, B. G. and Banerje, S., *Solid State Electronic Devices*, 5th ed., Prentice Hall, Inc., 1999. (ISBN: 0-13-025538-6)
17. Schubert, E. F., *Light Emitting Diodes*, Cambridge University Press, 2003. (ISBN: 0-521-82330-7)
18. Zukauskas, A., et al., *Introduction to Solid State Lighting*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 2002. (ISBN: 0-471-21574-0)

Subtopic d: "Off-Grid" Solid State Lighting Devices

19. Craine, S. and Halliday, D., "White LEDs for Lighting Remote Communities in Developing Countries," *Solid State Lighting and Displays: Proceedings of SPIE*, 4445:39-48, December 2001. (For ordering information and to view abstracts, see: <http://www.spie.org/scripts/toc.pl?volume=4445&journal=SPIE>)

37. ENERGY EFFICIENT MEMBRANES

Separation technologies recover, isolate, and purify products in virtually every industrial process. Pervasive throughout industrial operations, conventional separation processes are energy intensive and costly. Separation processes represent 40 to 70 percent of both capital and operating costs in industry. They also account for 45 percent of all the process energy used by the chemical and petroleum refining industries every year. Industrial efforts to increase cost-competitiveness, boost energy efficiency, increase productivity, and prevent pollution, demand more efficient separation processes. In response to these needs, the Department of Energy supports the development of high-risk, innovative separation technologies. In particular, membrane technology offers a viable alternative to conventional energy intensive separations.

Successful membrane applications today include producing oxygen-enriched air for combustion, recovering and recycling hot wastewater, volatile organic carbon recovery, and hydrogen purification. Membranes also have been combined with conventional techniques such as distillation to deliver improved product purity at a reduced cost. Membrane separations promise to yield substantial economic, energy, and environmental benefits leading to enhanced competitiveness by reducing annual energy consumption, increasing capital productivity, and reducing waste streams and pollution abatement costs.

Despite the successes and advancements, many challenges still face the adoption of membrane technology. Technical barriers include fouling, instability, low flux, low separation factors, and poor durability. Advancements are needed that will lead to new generations of organic, inorganic, and ceramic membranes. These membranes require greater thermal and chemical stability, greater reliability, improved fouling and corrosion resistance, and higher selectivity. The objective is better performance in existing industrial applications, as well as opportunities for new applications. To advance the use of membrane separations, research is needed to develop new, more effective membrane materials and innovative ways to incorporate membranes in industrial processes. Grant applications must address the potential public benefits that the proposed technology would provide from reduced energy consumption and from the reduction in one or more of the following: materials consumption, water consumption, and toxic and pollutants dispersion. Grant applications should also include a plan for introducing the new technology into the manufacturing sector, in order to access capabilities for widespread technology dissemination. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Membrane Materials with Improved Properties—Grant applications are sought to develop lower cost inorganic, organic, composite, and ceramic membrane materials in order to improve one or more of the following properties: (1) increased surface area per unit volume, (2) higher temperature operation (e.g., by using ceramic or metal membrane materials), and (3) suitability for separating hydrophilic compounds in dilute aqueous streams. Particular membrane materials of interest include nano-composites, mixed organic/inorganic composites, and chemically inert materials. Particular processes/systems of interest include membranes for the separation of biobased products, membranes for hydrogen separation and purification, and membranes for industrial applications. Grant applications must be targeted toward the development of specific membrane materials for carefully defined commercial applications; efforts focused on generalized membrane material research are not of interest and will be declined.

b. Membranes for Separations of Biobased Products—Grant applications are sought to develop membrane technology to enhance the production of large volume, value-added chemical products using biomass feedstocks. These processes may use either enzymatic or chemical catalysis, and may be conducted in either aqueous reaction media or organic solvents. Grant

applications must demonstrate a clear connection to a crop-based feedstock and a large volume chemical product (one that would be manufactured at greater than 500 million pounds). Of particular interest are (1) novel membrane processes that use reactive separation technology, which combines the reactive transformation with the separation; and (2) novel membrane materials with higher flux or selectivity, and with improved chemical and thermal membrane stability.

c. Hydrogen Production—Hydrogen can be produced from coal, natural gas, biomass, and biomass derivatives through the use of gasification, pyrolysis, reforming and shift technologies. In all cases, a hydrogen rich producer gas or syngas results, from which the hydrogen must be separated and purified. The most common approach today involves the use of pressure swing adsorption (PSA) technology. The use of membranes holds the promise of reducing cost by combining the separation and purification with the shift reaction in a reactive separation operation. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop improved hydrogen membrane separation and purification technology for use in the production of hydrogen; the focus of the research should be on low cost, high flux rate, durable membranes systems that can be integrated with the shift reaction. Membranes of interest include ceramic ionic transport membranes, micro-porous membranes, and palladium based membranes. Such membranes could be used for a wide range of production capacities, from large central production facilities (50,000-300,000 kgs/day of hydrogen) to small-distributed production units (50-1000 kgs/day of hydrogen).

d. Industrial Membrane Process Systems—Grant applications are sought to enhance the separation capabilities of membranes used in industrial process streams. Proposed research should be aimed at developing and commercializing innovative membrane systems, using new or currently existing membranes, that can be robust when integrated within real-world processes (e.g. inert gas removal, isomer separation, aromatic/non-aromatic separations, sulfur removal, removal of trace metals). Grant applications should seek to address one or more of the following needs: (1) techniques for overcoming scale-up problems related to contaminants in industrial streams (fouling, oil misting, etc.), (2) manufacturing technologies that would reduce the cost of membrane modules, (3) anti-fouling and anti-flux schemes to improve the long-term operability of membrane systems, and (4) methods to regenerate membrane performance and lower membrane maintenance costs. The integration of membranes with other technologies to address specific process issues

would also be of interest. Grant applications should also include a process evaluation and an economic analysis along with the R&D effort.

References:

1. Humphrey, J. L. and Keller, G. E., II, *Separation Process Technology*, McGraw-Hill, 1997. (ISBN: 0-07-031173-0)
2. Sirkar, K. K., "Membrane Separation Technologies: Current Developments," *Chemical Engineering Communications*, 157:145-184, 1996. (ISSN: 0098-6445)
3. *Technology Vision 2020: The U.S. Chemical Industry*, Washington, DC: American Chemical Society, 1996. (Available from the Council for Chemistry Research. Web site: <http://www.ccrhq.org>. Select "Vision 2020.")
4. McLaren, J., *The Technology Roadmap for Plant/Crop-Based Renewable Resources 2020*, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, February 22, 1999. (Report No. NREL/BK-570-25942) (Available at: <http://www.osti.gov/energycitations/>. Using "Basic Search," search "Identifier Numbers" for "NREL/BK-570-25942.")
5. *Vision 2020: 2000 Separations Roadmap*, New York: AIChE, Waste Reduction Technologies, 2000. (ISBN 0-8169-0832-X) (Available at <http://www.oit.doe.gov/chemicals/>. On menu at left, select "Vision & Roadmaps." Scroll down to center of page & select "Separations 2000.")
6. *Vision 2020: 1998 Separations Roadmap*, New York: AIChE, Waste Reduction Technologies, October 1998. (ISBN 0816907870)
7. *Vision 2020: Reaction Engineering Roadmap*, New York: AIChE, Waste Reduction Technologies, 2001. (ISBN 0-8169-0833-8) (Available at: <http://www.oit.doe.gov/chemicals/>. On menu at left, select "Vision & Roadmaps." Scroll down to center of page & select "Reaction Engineering.")
8. *Nanomaterials and the Chemical Industry R&D Roadmap Workshop: Preliminary Results*, sponsored by Vision 2020, NNI, and U.S. DOE Industrial Materials and Chemicals Program, October 2002. (Full text available at: http://www.energetics.com/download/chemvision2020/nanomaterialsroadmap/nano_workshop_results_report.pdf)
9. *Biobased Industrial Products: Research and Commercialization Priorities*, National Research Council Commission on Life Sciences, 2000. (Available at: <http://books.nap.edu/books/0309053927/html/2.html#pagetop>)
10. *Vision for Bioenergy and Biobased Products in the United States*, U.S. Biomass Research and Development Advisory Committee, October 2002. (Available at: http://www.bioproducts-bioenergy.gov/pdfs/BioVision_03_Web.pdf)
11. *Roadmap for Biomass Technologies in the United States*, U.S. Biomass Research and Development Advisory Committee, December 2002. (Available at: <http://www.bioproducts-bioenergy.gov/pdfs/FinalBiomassRoadmap.pdf>)
12. *Developing and Promoting Biobased Products and Bioenergy: Report to the President of the United States in Response to Executive Order 13134*, U.S. DOE and U.S. Department of Agriculture, February 14, 2000. (Available at: <http://www.bioproducts-bioenergy.gov/existsite/pdfs/presidentsreport.pdf>)

38. MATERIALS FOR INDUSTRIAL ENERGY SYSTEMS

Efficiency gains in industrial processes and distributed energy systems will not only result from the development of higher temperature, more thermodynamically efficient processes, but also from the development of new materials. Affordable metals, ceramics, or composites with improved high temperature properties are needed to improve productivity, reduce equipment size, and lower energy use per unit of production. These new materials can enable more efficient thermal process heating systems, improved up-time, increased yield, and more stable operations. Many economic sectors (including the aluminum, chemical, glass, forest products, metalcasting, steel, and mining industries; supporting industries; and the distributed energy industry) can benefit from new materials. Some of the unit processes where new materials advances are required include fluid heating, melting, sintering, heat-treating, pre-heating, calcining, combustion, and distributed power generation. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Refractories and Insulation—New refractories and high temperature insulation materials are critical to many industrial processes and can directly impact the energy

efficiency of manufacturing processes. In the steel industry, for example, refractories that can withstand higher heating rates and that have improved mechanical and thermal shock properties (e.g., for applications such as ladles, blast furnaces, and protective coverings) can lead to significant energy benefits. In the glass industry, crown and side wall refractories with improved corrosion resistance to molten glass and volatiles, as well as improved high temperature properties, can lead to higher thermal efficiencies in two ways: first, by enabling the energy efficient oxyfuel firing process to be utilized more effectively, and, second, by improving yield due to decreased glass contamination from refractory inclusions and corrosion effects. In the aluminum industry, refractories with improved corrosion resistance to cryolite, and with high thermal insulation, erosion resistance, and corrosion resistance would result in significant energy benefits. Grant applications are sought to develop new refractory materials that will provide improved thermal resistance, increased corrosion resistance, high thermal shock, and high temperature strength. Of particular interest are approaches that address the development of preformed shapes, coatings, or surface modifications.

In addition, materials with low thermal conductivity would have significant benefits. These materials include fibers and porous materials, along with low-density materials coupled with higher density refractories on exposed surfaces. Therefore, grant applications are also sought to develop (1) low thermal conductivity, high temperature materials with increased their service life at high temperatures and under corrosive conditions, and (2) techniques for bonding fibrous or porous materials to a dense surface refractory layer.

b. Metallic Alloys and Composites—Metallic alloys play very significant roles throughout industrial and distributed power industries. Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) improved creep rupture properties, for example, by using nanophase strengthening mechanisms for bulk alloys, and developing new materials/process relationships to enable more accurate design for long term service; (2) processing and joining techniques for wrought alloys/composites in order to increase the upper use temperature limits of current alloys by 100 C, or to beyond 1200 C; (3) new or improved performance materials for furnaces, boilers, and other process heating applications; (4) alloys for increased resistance to chemically aggressive environments, especially with regard to sulfidation; and (5) advanced materials for waste heat recovery systems for use in dirty,

contaminated, or corrosive waste streams in industries where equipment fouling is a serious problem.

c. Ceramics, Composites, and Coatings—Advances in ceramics, composites, and coatings can significantly improve the thermal efficiencies of many industrial and distributed power generation processes, including heat generation systems, heat transfer operations, heat containment, and heat recovery. In the area of heat generation, grant applications are sought to develop new ceramics, composites, or coatings for flame stabilizers with increased oxidation resistance for longer life; nozzles with improved coking and carburization resistance and improved high temperature oxidation behavior; and improved wear and erosion resistance for many process system components. Regarding heat transfer operations, grant applications are sought for ceramic/composite tubes that would enable new melting and process heating technologies, and for materials developments that would greatly decrease the corrosion of large metallic components. Lastly, grant applications are sought for materials that would enable the use of new process sensing technologies (e.g., probes and sensors that can be used within a furnace or boiler).

d. Novel Heat Exchangers—Cooling, heating, and power (CHP) integration is an essential market for thermally activated technologies. One important example of CHP is the heat recovery/transfer from microturbine exhaust gases (500F to 600 °F) into hot water. Current technology uses conventional fin-tube heat exchangers and requires significant volume (e.g., 34 ft³, with floor space of 12 ft² and weight of 820 pounds). Grant applications are sought to design and develop novel materials and enhanced surfaces (including ceramics, polymer based materials, coatings, membranes, and fluids) for heat exchangers and accompanying systems (e.g., micro-channel, rotating systems, etc.) The grant application must address how the technology will contribute to reductions in overall system size, weight, and cost; and improved reliability.

References:

1. Carniglia, S. C. and Barna, G. L., *Handbook of Industrial Refractories Technology*, New York: Noyes Publications, 1992. (ISBN: 0-8155-1304-6)
2. *Office of Industrial Technology*, U.S. DOE Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Network, <http://www.oit.doe.gov>
3. *Industrial Materials for the Future*, U.S. DOE Office of Industrial Technology, <http://www.oit.doe.gov/imf>

4. *Distributed Energy and Electric Reliability Program*, U.S. DOE Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Network, <http://www.eere.energy.gov/deer.html>
5. Freitag, D. W. and Richerson, D. W., *Opportunities for Advanced Ceramics to Meet the Needs of the Industries of the Future*, U.S. Advanced Ceramics Society /Oak Ridge National Laboratory, December 1998.
6. *Thermally-Activated Technologies: Technology Roadmap—Developing New Ways to Use Thermal Energy to Meet the Needs of Homes, Offices, Factories, and Communities*, U.S. DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, May 2003. (See: http://www.eere.energy.gov/der/thermally_activated/pdfs/tat_roadmap.pdf)
7. Foley, G., et al., “The Future of Absorption Technology in America: A Critical Look at the Impact of BCHP [Buildings: Cooling, Heating, and Power] and Innovation,” presented at *ABST [Advanced Buildings System Technology]-2000 Conference, Washington, DC, June 6-8, 2000*, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, June 2000. (Available at: http://www.eere.energy.gov/der/thermally_activated/docs_resources.html)
8. Hite, R., et al., *Thermally-Activated Technology Characterizations: Absorption Cooling*, Arlington, VA: Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc., June 2003. (Available from Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Contact: Erika Krause, ekrause@eea-inc.com. Web site: <http://www.eea-inc.com/>)
9. Darrow, K., et al., *Thermally-Activated Technology Characterizations: Desiccant Dehumidification*, Arlington, VA: Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc., June 2003. (Available from Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Contact: Erika Krause, ekrause@eea-inc.com. Web site: <http://www.eea-inc.com/>)
10. Bautista, P., et al., *Market Potential for Advanced Thermally-Activated BCHP in Five National Account Sectors*, Arlington, VA: Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc., May 2003. (Available from Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Contact: Erika Krause, ekrause@eea-inc.com. Web site: <http://www.eea-inc.com/>)

39. NEW ENERGY SOURCES

To meet national energy needs, diversify energy supplies, reduce security and reliability risks, and reduce environmental impacts, there is a need to develop new, clean, domestic energy supplies. Important options include new sources of electricity, clean sources of hydrogen, and low cost sources of thermal energy for loads such as residential water heating. This topic addresses important facets of each of these new energy sources. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Materials and Components for Solar Energy Systems—Silicon accounts for most photovoltaic (PV) modules manufactured in the world, despite the promise of alternative technologies, such as thin-film solar cells, that use other materials. As the popularity of PV grows and markets expand, larger, lower-cost sources of silicon will be required to satisfy future PV production. Historically, off-spec electronics grade polycrystalline silicon, in the form of chunks and beads, has been the source of growth feedstock for crystalline silicon solar cells. This off-spec material, sometimes referred to as “solar grade” silicon, has a sufficiently low concentration of mobile ions, making it suitable for commercial solar cells with efficiencies of at least 13%. Grant applications are sought to develop novel, low-cost processes, amenable to large-scale production environments, for the production of “solar grade” crystalline silicon feedstock material. Such processes must be able to deliver low-mobile-ion material at costs of \$10 to \$20 per kilogram with production rates as low as several thousand metric tons per year. Of particular interest is the ability to deliver material with low boron and phosphorus concentrations, important attributes for inexpensive manufacturing of efficient solar cells.

The high initial cost of solar water heating systems could be reduced by using polymer materials instead of traditional aluminum, copper, and glass. Also, the simplification of components and subsystems, as well as their design for multiple uses, offers the prospect of reduced cost in manufacturing, fabrication, and installation. Two industry teams are currently developing prototype polymer solar water systems based on the integral collector storage (ICS) design (passive, with storage in the collector and no tank), focusing primarily on collectors and heat exchangers. Because ICS systems are suitable only in non-freezing climates, development activities also include polymer solar water

heaters based on active, freeze-protected system designs. Grant applications are sought for the conceptualization and design of innovative approaches, materials, and components for low-cost solar water heaters. Approaches of interest could involve the entire water heating systems or be confined to components and subsystems that would be used in complete solar systems. Approaches need not be restricted to polymers, metals, or any single type of material, but could employ any combination thereof. Of particular interest are approaches that include the downsizing of components or subsystems without any degradation in performance.

b. Low Head Hydropower Systems—Current ongoing studies indicate that, in the continental United States, there is enough low head hydropower resources to provide 15,000 to 20,000 MW of electrical capacity. However, conventional hydropower technology is focused on large hydropower sites and does not apply well to the low head hydropower resource. Grant applications are sought for the development and implementation of innovative, cost effective, and environmentally acceptable concepts for low head hydropower energy systems for the production of electricity. The overall project must pursue a working demonstration as proof of concept. It is anticipated that a number of design approaches may meet these objectives, leading to worldwide marketability and application for the technology.

c. Power Converters for Diverse Applications—Power converters that convert variable voltage and frequency, AC and DC power to AC power (50/60 Hz) are needed for a variety of applications (e.g. wind, fuel cells, photovoltaic systems, etc.). Because much of the same “core” inverter technology can be utilized in different applications, a modular design approach would allow the multiple applications to benefit from economies of scale, reducing costs for all users. In this approach, the core inverter would include a power conversion section, a digital signal processor (DSP) based controller, minimal output filtering, and packaging; additional modules, (e.g., isolation, grid-tie, and additional filtering for lower total harmonic distortion) could be connected to the system on an “as needed” basis, facilitating plug-n-play implementation. Grant applications are sought for the development of the core power converter as described above. Because of the diverse applications, size, weight, and compatibility are very important criteria for consideration. An effective and practical power range/capability should be proposed, consistent with the intended application. For

example, a small wind turbine should have a power range on the order of 100 watts to 30 kilowatts. A need also exists for a micro-inverter that could be integrated into a PV panel (AC PV building block concept) for roof-top mounting or into small PV-powered systems mounted on buildings (windows, walls, frames, etc.); this micro-inverter should have a power capacity in the range of watts.

d. Hydrogen Production via Electrolysis in Photovoltaic and Wind Systems—A great deal of research into clean energy, especially for the replacement of fossil fuels in automobiles, has taken place on an international scale. One of the leading technologies that has emerged is hydrogen, both for direct utilization with a modified carburetor and, more recently, in non-combustion fuel cells that will generate electricity. One of the common ways to produce hydrogen is by electrolysis of water (H₂O). A relatively low voltage applied to water causes water molecules to separate into hydrogen and oxygen, which can then be gathered and stored. By using solar or wind energy to power the electrolysis generators, we can, in effect, store the energy from these intermittent resources for use whenever it is desired. To date, only very small experimental projects have been assembled using solar power for electrolysis generators.

Grant applications are sought to develop effective, distributed (small for residential and large for central-station collection), low-cost, hydrogen production systems using electrolysis with electricity supplied from solar photovoltaics (PV) or wind energy systems. Approaches should emphasize electrolysis units made from very low cost materials, and ranging in size from 1-50 kW for smaller applications up to 200 kW and above for larger or scaled-up systems. Capital costs should not exceed \$300/kW and a 60% electricity-to-hydrogen efficiency should be provided. Electrochemical compression technologies to reach pressures from 200-5000 psi are encouraged. In order to further improve efficiency and lower capital costs, the electrolysis unit should be amenable to integration with other system components, such as power conditioning and storage.

References:

1. Khattak, C. P., et al., “A Simple Process to Remove Boron from Metallurgical Grade Silicon,” *12th Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference, Jeju, Korea, June 2001*. (Available at: http://www.nrel.gov/ncpv_prm/pdfs/papers/57.pdf)

2. Conner, A. M., et al., *U.S. Hydropower Resource Assessment*, Final Report, U.S. DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, 1998. (Report No. DOE/ID-10430.2) (Full text available at: <http://www.osti.gov/energycitations/search.easy.jsp>. Using "Basic Search," search "Identifier Numbers" for "DOE/ID-10430.2")
3. Hall, D. G., et al., *Low Head/Low Power Hydropower Resource Assessment of the North Atlantic and Middle Atlantic Hydrologic Regions*, U.S. DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, April 2003. (Report No. DOE/ID-11077) (Full text available at: <http://hydropower.id.doe.gov/pdfs/doiid-11077.pdf>)
4. Mann, M. K., et al., "Techno Economic Analysis of Different Options for the Production of Hydrogen from Sunlight, Wind, and Biomass," *Proceedings of the 1998 U.S. DOE Hydrogen Program Review Meeting, Alexandria, VA, April 28-30, 1998*, Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 1998. (Report No. NREL/CP-570-25315) (NREL Report No. 25666) (Full text available at: http://www.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/hydrogen/annual_review1998.html)
5. Mann, M. K., et al., "Exploring the Technical and Economic Feasibility of Producing Hydrogen from Sunlight and Wind," *Hydrogen Energy Progress XII: Proceedings of the 12th World Hydrogen Energy Conference, Buenos Aires, Argentina, June 21-26, 1998*, 1:337-346, Argentina: Asociacion Argentina del Hidrogeno, 1998. (NREL Report No. 29042) (Summary of paper available at: <http://www.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/pdfs/29964.pdf>. Scroll down Bookmark menu and select #50.) (Proceedings ISBN: 9879707532)
6. Lof, G., ed., *Active Solar Systems, Solar Heat Technologies: Fundamentals and Applications*, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press, June 24, 1993. (ISBN: 0262121670)
7. Summary Report on the Systems Driven Approach for Inverter Research and Development Workshop, Baltimore, MD, June 2003, Technical Report, Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories, 2003.
8. Bower, W., "The AC PV Building Block Ultimate Plug-n-Play That Brings Photovoltaics Directly to the Customer," Proceedings of the NCPV Program Review, Denver, CO, May 24-26, 2003.

40. SENSORS AND CONTROLS

Robust, integrated measurement devices linked to intelligent control systems will enable the U.S. to use resources more efficiently and improve product quality. Through constant process monitoring and adjustment of parameters, these systems can reduce energy use and labor, minimize waste and pollution, and boost productivity. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Real-time Measurement of Biomass Yield and Moisture Content—The ability to measure instantaneous yield and moisture content contributes significantly to efficient harvesting and down-stream processing of biomass. Instantaneous biomass yield, defined as the rate of dry matter throughput in a harvesting operation, is a function of the size and speed of harvesting equipment and the amount of biomass available for harvest – instruments for yield monitoring have been integrated in modern precision grain harvesting systems. Moisture content is defined as the ratio of the mass of water removed from biomass to the mass of dry matter (based on the oven method reference for moisture content, where moisture is completely removed from biomass using heat) – on-line moisture controllers have been perfected for grain storage and merchandising.

Yield and moisture content measuring instruments would be equally valuable to biomass handling operations if the measurements could be made in real time. In this case, the critical data on yield and moisture content could be used to maximize the performance of equipment in the field, for moisture adjustment (wet or dry processing), and for storage management. However, the low commercial value of biomass and the apparent non-uniformity in physical properties are among the barriers that have prevented the development of real-time moisture and yield monitors for biomass. Grant applications are sought for methods and instruments to measure moisture content and/or yield of biomass in real-time. Proposed systems may operate at one or several points along the supply chain: collection, baling, storing, preprocessing, and delivery point. Priority will be given to measurement techniques that are low cost, robust, and provide traceable performance.

b. Boiler and Furnace Sensors and Controls—In boilers and furnaces, sensors are used to measure the chemical and physical properties of both the material being processed and the combustion process itself. This

information is then fed into control systems that make appropriate adjustments to optimize the combustion process. Grant applications are sought to develop innovative sensor and control technologies that provide new insights into controlling furnace and boiler systems and improving their efficiency. Approaches of interest include developing: (1) non-intrusive sensors based on optical methods; (2) self-learning and self-teaching smart control systems; (3) real-time sensors for measuring combustion phenomena; (4) sensors and control systems capable of measuring and controlling multiple emissions; and (5) low cost systems for measuring combustion energy efficiency performance including instantaneous energy consumption/efficiency and energy/fuel use and efficiency per unit of production.

c. Harvesting Power for Ubiquitous Wireless Sensing

—In the near future, low cost, wireless sensor systems are expected to be everywhere: in buildings, in cars and on the production lines that build them, on other industrial production lines, and on power generation systems of all types. Their use will enable better measurement, better control, better operation, and lower energy consumption in numerous systems that we encounter every day. However, these wireless sensors and their transmitters will need power sources that last as long as the sensing application itself. While some batteries have long lives, the most obvious approach for powering these wireless sensors is to scavenge or harvest power from the sensor's operating environment. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop this power harvesting technology, so that wireless sensors can be self-powered throughout their productive lives. The advent of ultra-low-power microelectronic designs should decrease the power requirements for these wireless sensors, rendering them completely autonomous if used with the type of creative power harvesting technologies sought here. Possible sources of power include thermal gradients, vibration, airflow, water flow, and solar energy, to name a few. Of course, there may be times (such as during start-up when the source of scavenged power may not even exist) when back-up power from a long lasting power source such as a battery may be required.

d. Integrated Sensor and Data Analysis Tools for Complex Mixtures

—There is a widespread need for robust, low-cost integrated sensing and control technology for complex mixtures of chemicals. Chemical mixtures are found virtually everywhere, occurring routinely in biomass, building materials,

reformer fuels, polymers used in wind turbines, and in the chemical and petrochemical industries. Improving the control of processes containing chemical mixtures would reduce raw material consumption and waste generation, decrease energy use and environmental emissions, and increase America's competitiveness. Improved chemical sensors also could be used to monitor specific components for indoor air quality and emissions from alternatively fueled vehicles. There have been significant advances in the development of small, relatively inexpensive chemical measurement devices (e.g., spectroscopy and chromatography) and in the development of data analysis tools (e.g., neural networks, multivariate techniques) capable of very rapidly analyzing large, complex data sets. Although these technologies have been used to characterize complex mixtures in the laboratory, development for the "real world" environment has progressed slowly. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop systems that integrate new chemical analysis tools with advanced data analysis for the characterization of complex mixtures in real world settings.

References:

Subtopic a: Real-Time Measurement of Biomass Yield and Moisture Content

1. Savoie, P., et al., "Evaluation of Five Sensors to Estimate Mass-Flow Rate and Moisture of Grass in Forage Harvester," *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, 18(4):389-397, 2002. (ISSN: 0883-8542)
2. Shinnars, K. J., et al., (Inventors) "Yield Monitor for Forage crops," U.S. Patent No. 6,431,981 of 8/13/2002. (To view, see USPTO *Patent Full-Text and Full-Page Image Databases*: <http://www.uspto.gov/patft/index.html>)
3. Snell, H. G., et al., "Fast Determination of the Moisture Content of Grass Using Electromagnetic Fields," St. Joseph, MI: American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE), 2001. (ASAE paper No. 011086) (Available from ASAE. Contact: Ellen Stewart. E-mail: stewart@asae.org Telephone: 1-800-695-2723)
4. Stowell, D. E., (Inventor) "Baler Mounted Continuous Moisture Monitoring System," Patent No. 4,812,741 of 5/14/1989. (To view, see USPTO *Patent Full-Text and Full-Page Image Databases*: <http://www.uspto.gov/patft/index.html>)

Subtopic b: Boiler and Furnace Sensors and Controls

5. *Roadmap for Process Heating Technology*, sponsored by Industrial Heating Equipment Association/U.S. DOE Office of Industrial Technologies, March 2001. (Full text at: <http://www.ihea.org/images/PH3-16-01.pdf>)
6. *Industrial Combustion Technology Roadmap*, U.S. DOE Office of Industrial Technologies, October 2002. (Full text available at: http://www.oit.doe.gov/combustion/vision_roadmap.shtml. Scroll down to “Download Roadmap,” and click on “PDF 1.0 MB.”)
7. Little, Arthur D., *Overview of Energy Flow for Industries in Standard Industrial Classifications 20-39*, U.S. DOE Office of Industrial Technologies, December 2000. (Full text at: <http://www.ase.org/steamingahead/library/adlittle.pdf>)
8. “Steam System Opportunity Assessment for the Pulp and Paper, Chemical Manufacturing, and Petroleum Refining Industries,” prepared by Research Dynamics Corporation for the U.S. DOE Office of Industrial Technologies, October 2002. (Full text at: http://www.oit.doe.gov/bestpractices/steam/pdfs/steam_assess_mainreport.pdf)
9. Singer, J. G., *Combustion – Fossil Power*, American Boiler Manufacturers Association (ABMA), January 1, 1991. (ISBN: 0960597409) (May be purchased from ABMA Bookstore at: http://www.techstreet.com/cgi-bin/detail?product_id=940371)
10. *The Boiler Book*, ABMA, January 1997. (May be purchased from ABMA Bookstore at: http://www.techstreet.com/cgi-bin/detail?product_id=35231)
11. *IHEA Heat Processing Manual*, 1st ed., Industrial Heating Equipment Association (IHEA). (May be purchased from IHEA Bookstore at: <http://www.ihea.org/pages/bks.html>.)
12. *Combustion Technology Manual*, 5th ed., IHEA. (May be purchased from IHEA Bookstore at: <http://www.ihea.org/pages/bks.html>.)

Subtopic c: Harvesting Power for Ubiquitous Wireless Sensing

13. *Industrial Wireless Technology for the 21st Century*, summary of proceedings of the Industrial Wireless Workshop, San Francisco, CA, July 30, 2002, U.S. DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable

Energy, December 2002. (Full text available at: http://www.oit.doe.gov/sens_cont/pdfs/wireless_technology.pdf)

Subtopic d: Integrated Sensor and Data Analysis Tools for Complex Mixtures

14. Marten, G., et al., *Near Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy (NIRS): Analysis of Forage Quality*, USDA Agriculture Research Service Handbook No. 643, Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1985. (Available through interlibrary loan.)
15. Martens, H. and Naes, T., *Multivariate Calibration*, Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons, 1991. (ISBN: 0-471-93047-4)

41. INNOVATIVE WASTE HEAT RECOVERY

Many industrial processes generate large amounts of waste energy that simply pass out of plant stacks and into the atmosphere or are otherwise lost. Most industrial waste heat streams are liquid, gaseous, or a combination of the two and have temperatures from slightly above ambient to over 2000°F. Stack exhaust losses are inherent in all fuel-fired processes and increase with the exhaust temperature and the amount of excess air the exhaust contains. At stack gas temperatures greater than 1000°F, the heat going up the stack is likely to be the single biggest loss in the process. Above 1800°F, stack losses will consume at least half of the total fuel input to the process. Yet, the energy that is recovered from waste heat streams could displace part or all of the energy input needs for a unit operation within a plant. Therefore, waste heat recovery offers a great opportunity to productively use this energy, reducing overall plant energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions.

Waste heat recovery methods used with industrial process heating operations intercept the waste gases before they leave the process, extract some of the heat they contain, and recycle that heat back to the process. Common methods of recovering heat include direct heat recovery to the process, recuperators, regenerators, and waste heat boilers. Unfortunately, the economic benefits of waste heat recovery do not justify the cost of these systems in every application. For example, heat recovery from lower temperature waste streams (e.g., hot water or low-temperature flue gas) is thermodynamically limited. Equipment fouling, occurring during the handling of “dirty” waste streams, is another barrier to

more widespread use of heat recovery systems. Innovative, affordable waste heat recovery methods that are ultra-efficient, are applicable to low-temperature streams, or are suitable for use with corrosive or “dirty” wastes could expand the number of viable applications of waste heat recovery, as well as improve the performance of existing applications. The focus of this topic is on the development of innovative waste heat recovery processes and techniques that are more efficient than conventional methods yet are still cost-effective, and those applicable to waste streams from which heat cannot easily be recovered with conventional methods.

Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:

a. Low-Temperature Waste Heat Recovery Methods—A large amount of energy in the form of medium- to low-temperature gases or low-temperature liquids (less than about 250°F) is released from process heating equipment, and much of this energy is wasted. Grant applications are sought to develop technology for the additional processing of these waste streams before they are discharged into the environment. Approaches of interest include: (1) developing revolutionary process schemes, system designs, and equipment to allow economic recovery and reuse of the energy in these streams; (2) developing innovative technologies to economically upgrade low-temperature waste heat to useable process heat; and (3) developing technologies that can capture plant emissions and recover their fuel content.

b. Conversion of Low Temperature Exhaust Waste Heat—Grant applications are being sought for the design and development of technologies that are able to make use of the low temperature waste heat generated by prime movers such as micro-turbines, IC engines, fuel cells and other electricity producing technologies. The energy content of the waste heat must be high enough to be able to operate equipment such as chillers, refrigeration applications, heat amplifiers, dehumidifiers, heat pumps for hot water, turbine inlet air cooling and other similar devices.

c. Conversion of Low Temperature Waste Heat into Power—The steam-Rankine cycle is the principle method used for producing electric power from high temperature fluid streams. For the conversion of low temperature heat into power, the steam-Rankine cycle may be a possibility, along with other known power cycles, such as organic-Rankine. Grant applications are sought to design and develop power-cycle technologies that can utilize low-temperature (800 degrees F or lower) waste heat streams.

d. Small to Medium Air-Cooled Commercial Chillers—All existing commercial chillers, whether using waste heat, steam or natural gas, are water-cooled (i.e., they must be connected to cooling towers which evaporate water into the atmosphere to aid in cooling). This requirement generally limits the market to large commercial-sized units (150 tons or larger), because of the maintenance requirements for the cooling towers. Additionally, such units consume water for cooling, limiting their application in arid regions of the U.S. No suitable small-to-medium size (15 tons to 200 tons) air-cooled absorption chillers are commercially available for these U.S. climates. A small number of prototype air-cooled absorption chillers have been developed in Japan, but they use “hardware” technology that is not suited to the hotter temperatures experienced in most locations in the United States. Although developed to work with natural gas firing, these prototype air-cooled absorption chillers would also be suited to use waste heat as the fuel. Therefore, grant applications are being sought to design and develop waste-heat-driven, small-to-medium size commercial air-cooled absorption chillers, suitable for U.S. markets.

References:

1. *Thermally-Activated Technologies: Technology Roadmap—Developing New Ways to Use Thermal Energy to Meet the Needs of Homes, Offices, Factories, and Communities*, U.S. DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, May 2003. (See: http://www.eere.energy.gov/der/thermally_activated/pdfs/tat_roadmap.pdf)
2. Foley, G., et al., *The Future of Absorption Technology in America: A Critical Look at the Impact of BCHP [Buildings: Cooling, Heating, and Power] and Innovation*, presented at ABST [Advanced Buildings System Technology]-2000 Conference, Washington, DC, June 6-8, 2000, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, June 2000. (Available at: http://www.eere.energy.gov/der/thermally_activated/docs_resources.html)
3. Hite, R., et al., *Thermally-Activated Technology Characterizations: Absorption Cooling*, Arlington, VA: Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc., June 2003. (Available from Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Contact: Erika Krause, ekrause@eea-inc.com. Web site: <http://www.eea-inc.com/>)

4. Darrow, K., et al., *Thermally-Activated Technology Characterizations: Desiccant Dehumidification*, Arlington, VA: Energy and Environmental Analysis, June 2003. (Available from Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Contact: Erika Krause, ekrause@eea-inc.com. Web site: <http://www.eea-inc.com/>)
5. Bautista, P., et al., *Market Potential for Advanced Thermally-Activated BCHP in Five National Account Sectors*, Arlington, VA: Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc., May 2003. (Available from Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. Contact: Erika Krause, ekrause@eea-inc.com. Web site: <http://www.eea-inc.com/>)

PROGRAM AREA OVERVIEW FOSSIL ENERGY

<http://www.fe.doe.gov>

Fossil energy plays a key role in our nation's prosperity, and it is important that we secure an adequate energy supply from our coal, natural gas, and oil resources. However, national complacency, derived from low-cost imported oil, has allowed petroleum imports to increase to alarming levels. We need not go far back in history to find out how uncertainty in petroleum supply can affect our nation's economic growth. Nonetheless, our near term power generation, heating, and transportation needs still require the utilization of these hydrocarbon-based fuels. As the economy expands, demand for hydrocarbons will increase accordingly. Therefore, the Office of Fossil Energy seeks to develop advanced fossil energy technologies that are environmentally sound and economically competitive.

Technological innovation is required to take advantage of the United States' large supply of coal and natural gas reserves. Coal's major drawback is that it contains sulfur, nitrogen, and trace heavy metals, precursors of pollutants that could have deleterious effects on the environment. Natural gas is also produced with a wide variety of pollutant-forming compounds, which preclude some applications such as fuel cells and advanced gas turbines. For both coal and natural gas, further improvements are needed to develop advanced, low cost, high-efficiency processes for the production of clean energy. In addition, it is prudent to consider ways to reduce carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases that are generated by the combustion of fossil fuels, to investigate carbon sequestration in geological and other systems, to consider hydrogen as alternate fuel, and to mitigate impacts on water resources. Advanced technology development in materials to assure compatibility with advances in power systems, will be needed for these challenges - as well as innovations in measurements, sensors, monitors, and controls - to be commercially competitive.

Improvements are also needed in our ability to recover both oil and natural gas. About two-thirds of our national petroleum reserve is "unrecoverable"; i.e., it cannot be extracted economically by conventional means. This unused resource could play a major role in supplementing the national petroleum supply if efficient approaches were developed for improved extraction. Natural gas production and utilization could also be increased through improved characterization of reserves and through better infrastructure.

The purpose of this topic is to seek the participation of small businesses in addressing problems related to utilization of coal and natural gas to produce power, and to the recovery of oil and natural gas.

42. MEASUREMENT AND TECHNOLOGY FOR GASIFICATION

To sustain our economic growth, we need to utilize our most abundant fossil energy resource, coal, in an efficient and environmentally responsible manner. The Department of Energy (DOE) is supporting the development of advanced technology power plants that offer higher efficiency, lower emissions, and reduced capital and operating costs. Gasification is a key

technology for many energy related needs of the future, including clean production of electric power, hydrogen for the new "hydrogen economy," production of industrial chemicals or refined fuels with reduced impact on water resources, solid waste disposal, and capture of carbon dioxide (CO₂) generated in the use of fossil fuels. To meet the demands of the Hydrogen Initiative, the requirements for fuel cell and advanced turbine power units, and the increasingly stringent environmental regulations, the synthesis gas produced by gasification

Please note: (1) The technical topics are to be interpreted literally, and all grant applications must respond to a particular topic and subtopic. (2) Last year only 1 out of 5 grant applications were awarded; only those applications with high scientific/technical quality will be competitive.

will need to be cleaned to tighter specifications. At the same time, gasification and gas cleanup processes will need to have reduced costs, improved reliability, and the ability to be readily integrated for increased efficiency. These improvements will enable the integration of advanced concepts for high-efficiency power generation and pollution control into a class of fuel-flexible facilities capable of operating with near zero environmental emissions. This topic seeks to develop key support technologies and measurement techniques for coal gasifiers. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Advanced Refractory Systems for Gasification Systems—Refractory liners in high temperature slagging gasifiers are known to undergo significant deterioration over a relatively short period of time, requiring considerable maintenance. Depending upon the operating temperature of the gasifier, the plant size, and the feedstock, refractory liners last only 6-18 months and cost over \$1 million in materials, manpower, and lost revenues to replace. Therefore grant applications are sought to develop advanced refractory systems or new materials with an expected useful life of three or more years and the ability to withstand multiple feed stocks such as coal, biomass, and petroleum coke. Of particular interest are materials that cost 50% or less than current materials and materials that contain no chromium.

b. On-Line Flow and Composition Measurements for Gasification Systems—The ability to measure, control, and quickly respond to fluctuations in flow quantity and composition of the feed streams to gasifiers, as well as in the synthesis gas product stream, can be crucial for maintaining performance levels at design standards and for keeping on-stream gasifier production at high capacity. Real-time, on-stream measurements are likely to be helpful in identifying system upsets and generating responses to protect downstream equipment. Grant applications are sought to develop robust, real-time, on-line measurement and control systems for the following gasifier flows:

(1) The feeding of abrasive and eroding solids into gasifiers across pressure barriers up to 1000 psi. The gasifier feeds are typically water slurries with loadings of 50-70% solids or pneumatically-fed dry pulverized solids. The feed may contain coal, pet coke, coal-pet coke mixtures (typically 50% for each), water as the slurry agent, or biomass (typically 10 -20%). On-line measurements of feed quantities and composition should address such attributes as particle size distribution,

particle loading, changes in coal/pet coke/biomass composition, and the amount of water.

(2) Product synthesis gas streams, laden with aggressive particulates, at high temperature (up to 2500 °F) and high pressure (up to 1000 psi). The synthesis gas product will typically contain bulk constituents (CO, CO₂, H₂, H₂O, CH₄), major contaminants (H₂S, COS, NH₃, Cl), and trace contaminants (Hg, As, Se, V, Ni). On-line measurement of any or all of these constituents at gasifier exit conditions would enable more direct control of gasifier operation.

c. Gasifier Injectors—In the operation of many types of gasifiers, the feed enters the gasifier vessel through an injector with small orifices, which become exposed to the high temperature, high pressure, corrosive, and reducing conditions of the gasifier. The injector orifices are further subjected to melted mineral matter that becomes slag on cooling. In this environment, the orifices and injector devices become non-functional and need to be replaced, causing down-time in gasifier operation. Grant applications are sought to develop devices and materials that can extend the useful lifetime and reduce the replacement costs of these injectors.

d. Warm, Multi-Contaminant Gas Cleanup—Advanced gasification systems will be needed to provide synthesis gas feed for advanced, combined cycle power plants; for separation systems for hydrogen production or for separating CO₂ for sequestration purposes; or for chemical conversion plants. All of these advanced applications will require that any sulfur-containing species, as well as other contaminants, be reduced to parts-per-billion (ppb) levels. For acid-gas removal, such as sulfur, technologies that are either currently available or under development include: (1) low-temperature or refrigerated solvent-based scrubbing systems using amines, such as MDEA, or methanol (Rectisol, Selexol, Sulfinol), or (2) high temperature sorbents (as yet not demonstrated at commercial scale). However, these gas cleaning processes operate at temperatures that are either below or above the temperature of the downstream processing operations (say, for gas turbine fuel systems and catalytic synthesis processes), which are in the range of 300 to 700°F. These temperature differences lead to energy efficiencies: the low temperature clean-up processes require temperature reductions to below 100°F and then reheating to downstream process requirements; the high temperature sorbent systems operate at 1000°F, requiring unnecessary gas stream severity. Therefore, grant applications are sought for desulfurization systems that

can be matched to the elevated temperature and pressure conditions of gasification processes (i.e., temperatures in the range of 300-700°F and pressures in the range 300-1000 psi) and that can be integrated with the warm-gas cleanup of other contaminants (trace components and heavy metals), which must be reduced to negligible levels. Contaminants of interest include mercury, arsenic, selenium, cadmium, vanadium, and nickel. Ammonia, halides, and other gaseous contaminants also are of interest. Cleanup technologies that address multi-constituent cleanup, as opposed to individual technologies for individual contaminants, and processes within the 500°F to 700°F temperature window, are strongly preferred. A good reference discussion of both acid gas removal (sulfur, in particular) and mercury removal can be found on the National Energy Technology Laboratory Gasification Technologies website at the following addresses:

http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/gasification/pubs/pdf/SFA%20Pacific_Process%20Screening%20Analysis_Dec%202002.pdf or
<http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/gasification/pubs/pdf/MercuryRemoval%20Final.pdf>

References:

1. *Gasification Technologies: Gasification Markets and Technologies: An Industry Perspective*, U.S. DOE National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), July 2002. (Report No. DOE/FE-0447(Full text available at: http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/gasification/pubs/pdf/Gasification_Technologies.pdf)
2. Gasification Technologies Council Web site, <http://www.gasification.org/>
3. Maley, S., et al., *Sensors and Controls Workshop: Summary Report*, U.S. DOE NETL, November 2001. (Report No. DOE/NETL 2002/1162) (Full text available at: <http://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/reports/2001/S&C%20wkshp%20rpt.pdf>)
4. *Process Screening Analysis of Alternative Gas Treating and Sulfur Removal for Gasification*, Revised Final Report, U.S. DOE NETL, December 2002. (Full text available at: http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/gasification/pubs/pdf/SFA%20Pacific_Process%20Screening%20Analysis_Dec%202002.pdf)
5. *The Cost of Mercury Removal in an IGCC Plant*, Final Report, U.S. DOE NETL, December 2002. (Full text available at: <http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/gasification/pubs/pdf/MercuryRemoval%20Final.pdf>)

43. COMBUSTION TECHNOLOGIES AND WATER RESOURCES

The DOE-sponsored Vision 21 program aims at the development of sophisticated technologies for combining power generation, fuel production, and the option of CO₂ sequestration into customizable packages that would offer higher net efficiencies than stand-alone technologies. These packages would be capable of providing low cost energy from coal or natural gas, while co-producing fuels and chemicals and reducing CO₂ emissions. In order to accomplish the Vision 21 program, novel technologies need to be conceptualized and developed, especially those with potentially lower costs or higher efficiencies. In particular, advances in combustion technologies are needed for the development of the envisioned power systems.

The first two subtopics are concerned with a new combustion technology, chemical looping combustion, that, until now, has been developed for gaseous fuels. Chemical looping is a process by which the combustion of a hydrocarbon occurs in two steps: in the first step, air is used to oxidize an oxygen carrier (e.g. Fe); in the second step, the carrier (e.g. Fe₂O₃) is used to oxidize a fuel (with the carrier reduced to its metal form). In this process the carrier is circulated in two separate reactors: a combustion step where the oxygen carrier reacts with the fuel, and an oxygen regeneration step in which the carrier is oxidized with air. An advantage of this process is that the CO₂ is emitted as a concentrated steam that is ready for subsequent sequestration.

The third subtopic seeks to develop a turbulent reactor for more efficient hydrogenation to produce high hydrogen fuels. Lastly, because power generation processes, as well as the mining for fuel, drains our water resources, the fourth subtopic aims at reducing the amount of water use and improving its quality in association with fossil energy processes. **Grants applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Development of Oxygen Carrier Particles for Chemical Looping Combustion of Solid Fuels—

Although research and development on chemical looping combustion has been published in the literature since 1994, several problems have restricted its use to the combustion of gaseous fuels such as methane and natural gas. One of these problems is the lack of robust and effective oxygen carriers. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop robust oxygen carrier particles suitable for chemical looping combustion of solid fuels.

The oxygen carrier particles must: (1) provide high rates of reactivity for both reduction and oxidation processes; (2) possess superior mechanical, thermal, and sintering properties that can withstand the harsh environment without particle breakage, attrition, and sintering; (3) be capable of maintaining high reactivity after recycles; (4) be low cost and environmentally sound. Applications of chemical looping combustion that are directed toward power generation and co-production of other fuels and/or chemicals are of particular interest.

b. Innovative Methods for Chemical Looping Combustion of Solid Fuels—In addition to the need for more robust and effective oxygen carriers, the adaptation of the chemical looping process to the combustion of solid fuels presents other challenges. Technical difficulties include the separation of the oxygen carrier particles from fuel and ash particles, the possible interaction between the fuel ash and oxygen carriers, the lack of free oxygen for combusting solid fuel particles, and the combustion of unburnt carbon particles in the oxidizer due to the circulation of solid fuel particles from the reducing reactor to oxidizing reactor. Grant applications are sought to develop novel methods to address these technical issues that would arise during the combustion of solid fuels in the chemical looping process.

c. A Truly Turbulent Reactor for Hydrogenation Reactions—Hydrogenation reactions are becoming more attractive as demand grows for cleaner high hydrogen forms of some petroleum-based fuels such as ultra low sulfur diesel. At the same time, the development of a more efficient process for the direct liquefaction of coal remains a viable option, especially overseas where labor costs are lower than in the U.S. Both of these processes face the extreme difficulty of providing an efficient contact between the hydrogen gas and the fossil feed being treated. Calculations and practice have demonstrated that it is nearly impossible to disperse small bubbles of hydrogen in a hydro-carbonaceous slurry or fluid. The problem is that the low density of a hydrogen gas stream does not provide enough momentum to keep it dispersed. However, recent unpublished and incomplete data suggest that even simple mixers can promote and maintain hydrogen dispersion. In fact, the so-called in-line mixers, perhaps modified by innovative designs, may accomplish the necessary improvements. Grant applications are sought to design, fabricate, and test an improved hydrogenation reactor that may be in turbulent flow in order to disperse the hydrogen gas in the fossil feed and to confirm the expected high rates of conversion and product yields.

d. Water Usage in Electric Power Production—Power generated from fossil fuels, especially coal, is dependent on water. On average, approximately 30 gallons of water are required for each kWh of power produced from coal. Thermoelectric power production uses approximately 132,000 Mgal/day of fresh water, second only to irrigation. Mining also uses a large amount of water, estimated at 3,770 Mgal/day, impacting both surface and ground water. In power generation, the largest single use of water is for cooling the low-pressure steam from the turbine. Air has been considered as an alternative, but air-cooled systems (sometimes referred to as dry systems) can have associated capital-cost and energy-inefficiency penalties, particularly in retrofit applications. Grant applications are sought to develop novel concepts and technology to reduce both the amount of water used and the potential impact on water quality, and must be directed toward one following areas of interest: (1) reducing water used in power generation, and (2) water quality improvements in power generation.

References:

Subtopic a: Development of Oxygen Carrier Particle for Chemical Looping Combustion of Solid Fuels and Subtopic b: Innovative Methods for Chemical Looping Combustion of Solid Fuels

1. Mattisson, T. and Lyngfelt, A., "Applications of Chemical-Looping Combustion with Capture of CO₂," *Second Nordic Minisymposium on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage, Göteborg, Sweden, October 26, 2001*, pp. 46-51. (Full text available at: <http://www.entek.chalmers.se/~anly/symp/01mattisson.pdf>)
2. Lyngfelt, A., et al., "A Fluidized Bed Combustion Process with Inherent CO₂ Separation: Application of Chemical-Looping Combustion," *Chemical Engineering Science*, 56:3101-3113, 2001. (ISSN: 0009-2509)
3. Mattisson, T., et al., "The Use of Iron Oxide as an Oxygen Carrier in Chemical-Looping Combustion of Methane with Inherent Separation of CO₂," *Fuel*, 80:1953-1962, 2001. (ISSN: 0016-2361)

Subtopic c: A Truly Turbulent Reactor for Hydrogenation Reactions

4. Comolli, A. G., et al., *Low Severity Catalytic Two-Stage Liquefaction Process*, U.S. Department of Energy, September 1988 (Report No. DOE/PC/80002-9) (NTIS Order No. DE89003441) (See Solicitation General Information and Guidelines, section 7.1)

- Burke, F. P., et al., "Summary Report of the DOE Liquefaction Process Development Campaign of the Late Twentieth Century," U.S. DOE National Energy Technology Laboratory, July 2001 (Report No. AC22-94PC93054--25) (Full text available at: http://www.osti.gov/bridge/product.biblio.jsp?osti_id=794281)

Subtopic d: Water Usage in Electric Power Production

- Energy-Water Interface*, U.S. DOE National Energy Technology Laboratory, <http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/environment/water/index.html> (To see how this program fits into NETL, start at the NETL home page, <http://www.netl.doe.gov>. On the left menu select "Technologies". Under "Coal and Environmental Systems," select "Environmental & Water Resources," and then "Energy-Water Interface" on the right. These instructions should bring the viewer to the same Web location, and give a broader perspective of this subtopic.)
- Estimated Use of Water in the United States in 1995*, United States Geological Service, 1998, <http://water.usgs.gov/watuse/pdf1995/html/>

44. MATERIALS FOR ADVANCED POWER SYSTEMS

New materials, ideas, and concepts are required to significantly improve performance and reduce the costs of existing fossil systems or to enable the development of new systems and capabilities. The Fossil Energy Materials Program conducts research and development on high-performance materials for longer-term fossil energy applications, including high-temperature/high-pressure heat exchangers, hot gas filtration systems for removing particulate matter formed during coal combustion and coal gasification, gas separations, high-temperature fuel cells, and advanced turbine systems. The program is concerned with operation in the hostile conditions created when fossil fuels are converted to energy. These conditions include high temperatures, elevated pressures, pressure oscillations, corrosive environments (reducing conditions, gaseous alkali), surface coating or fouling, and high particulate loading. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Coatings for Coal-Fired Environments—In order to achieve higher operating temperatures in fossil energy systems, where sulfur and water vapor can cause severe

oxidation problems, the corrosion resistance of Fe- and Ni-base alloys must be improved. In power generation applications, typical examples of surface damage include: (1) accelerated high-temperature fire-side corrosion associated with the presence of molten alkali-containing salts; (2) accelerated medium-temperature fire-side corrosion associated with the presence of a low oxygen activity environment and sulfur; and (3) steam-side oxidation of tubing, piping and valves in fossil fuel-fired boilers. Grant applications are sought to develop protective coatings and coating techniques for the Fe- and Ni-based alloys, and for nickel-based superalloys as well. The coatings must provide superior corrosion resistance in oxidizing, sulfidizing, carburizing, and water-containing environments. The protective coatings and coating techniques should be optimally designed as part of the overall power generation system, should be maintainable, and should be capable of non-intrusive evaluation to determine remaining life. To this end, model coatings should be fabricated for corrosion testing and diffusion studies in order to develop a comprehensive lifetime evaluation approach for the coatings. At least one ferritic and one austenitic alloy should be selected as substrate materials for study. The approach should provide sufficient data regarding lifetime performance in applicable environments.

b. Hydrogen Separation and Storage Materials—Hydrogen separation materials based on inorganic membranes and materials for hydrogen storage are critical supporting technologies for next generation power systems. Two types of inorganic membranes are being investigated for the recovery of hydrogen from coal gasification streams: porous membranes and dense membranes. These membrane types differ significantly in their microstructures, and, therefore, gas separation takes place by entirely different hydrogen diffusion mechanisms, as described below. Grant applications are sought to further the development of either or both types of these ceramic membranes for commercial hydrogen production. Proposed approaches must demonstrate that the hydrogen can be produced in large quantities and at high purity; therefore, both the permeation properties and the selectivity of the membranes must be well characterized and understood.

In porous membranes, hydrogen is transported through the pores as molecules and the process occurs readily. The separation membrane is usually made from silica and/or alumina supported by a highly porous metallic or ceramic layer. Zeolite membranes also fall in this category. Porous membranes are being designed to operate at temperatures in the range of 250-1000°C to be compatible with Integrated Gasification Combined

Cycles (IGCC). Currently, the maximum operating temperature for these membranes is about 500°C, although even at this temperature, there are concerns with stability in H₂O-containing atmospheres.

In dense membranes, hydrogen is transported in the solid phase as hydrogen ions (protons) or as hydrogen dissolved in the inorganic phase. Membranes with high protonic conductivity include such ceramics as doped SrCeO₃ and BaCeO₃, which also should have high electronic conductivity and low oxygen ionic conductivity. Membranes based on palladium are examples in which the hydrogen is transported as a solute in the inorganic phase. In principle, both types of dense membranes can produce very high purity hydrogen because only hydrogen is transported through the membrane. Along with high flux, the membranes should exhibit stability in environments typical of coal-derived gases.

Another critical need is the development of materials for hydrogen storage. These materials include alloys and intermetallics, sodium and lithium alanates, nanocubes, carbon nanotubes, or other emerging materials. Grant applications are sought to develop materials that provide high hydrogen storage density and stability at commercially relevant conditions of temperature and pressure. The materials to be investigated must be amenable to realistic processing conditions and to the likelihood of large-scale manufacturing.

c. Seals for Gas Separation Membrane Systems— Ceramic membranes, which have operating temperatures between 250 and 1000°C, are attracting increasing attention because of their technological importance in high temperature gas separation and membrane reactor processes. However, in order to fully exploit the unique properties of these advanced ceramics, the ceramic membranes must be sealed to a dense ceramic or a metal support structure. Commonly used seals are not suitable for these applications because their heat resistance is ineffective above 400°C. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop inorganic materials with high melting points that can be used for sealing the ceramic membranes at high temperatures (greater than 600 °C). For good sealing results, the seals must be tailored to obtain suitable wettability, viscosity, chemical inertness, thermal expansibility, and bonding strength. The sealing of these ceramic membranes should achieve a success rate of nearly 100% if correct sealing procedures were adopted.

References:

Subtopic a: Coatings for Coal-Fired Environments

1. Stringer, J., "Coatings in the Electric Supply Industry: Past, Present and Opportunities for the Future," *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 108-109: 1-9, 1998. (ISSN: 0257-8972)
2. Pint, B. A., et al., *Defining Failure Criteria for Extended Lifetime Metallic Coatings*, 2002. (Full text available at: <http://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings/02/materials/Pint%20Fossil%20Paper.pdf>)
3. Pint, B. A., et al., *High Temperature Oxidation Performance of Aluminide Coatings*, 2003. (Full text available at: http://198.99.247.24/publications/proceedings/03/materials/manuscripts/Pint_m.pdf)
4. Zhang, Y., et al., *Interdiffusion Behavior in Aluminide Coatings for Power Generation Applications*, 2003. (Full text available at: http://198.99.247.24/publications/proceedings/03/materials/manuscripts/Zhang_m.pdf)

Subtopic b: Hydrogen Separation and Storage Materials

5. Benson, S., *Ceramics for Advanced Power Generation*, London: International Energy Agency (IEA) Coal Research, August 2000. (ISBN: 92-9029-349-7) (Available from IEA Coal Research. Online synopsis and ordering information at: <http://www.iea-coal.org.uk/publishing/generation/cc37.htm>)
6. Norby, T. and Larring, Y., "Mixed Hydrogen Ion-Electronic Conductors for Hydrogen Permeable Membranes," *Solid State Ionics*, 136-137:139-148, 2000. (ISSN: 0167-2738)
7. Lin, Y. S., "Microporous and Dense Inorganic Membranes: Current Status and Prospective," *Separation and Purification Technology*, 25:39-55, 2001. (ISSN: 1383-5866)
8. Fujii, H. and Orimo, S., "Hydrogen Storage Properties of Nano-Structured Magnesium- and Carbon-Related Materials," *Physica B*, 328:77-80, 2003. (ISSN: 0921-4526)

9. Simicic, M. V., et al., "Hydrogen Storage Material Based on LaNi₅ Alloy Produced by Mechanical Alloying," *Journal of Power Sources*, 92:250-254, 2001. (ISSN: 0378-7753)

Subtopic c: Seals for Gas Separation Membrane Systems

10. Weil, K. S. and Hardy J. S., "Development of a New Ceramic-to-Metal Brazing Technique for Oxygen Separation/Generation Applications," *Proceedings of the 16th Annual Conference on Fossil Energy Materials*, 2002. (Full text available at : <http://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings/02/materials/weil.pdf>)
11. Weil, K. S., et al., "Development of Brazing Technology for Use in High Temperature Gas Separation Equipment," *Proceedings of the 17th Annual Conference on Fossil Energy Materials*, 2003. (Full text available at: http://198.99.247.24/publications/proceedings/03/materials/manuscripts/Weil_m.pdf)
12. Qi, X., et al., "Ceramic-Glass Composite High Temperature Seals for Dense Ionic-Conducting Ceramic Membranes," *Journal of Membrane Science*, 193:185-193, 2001. (ISSN: 0376-7388)

45. GREENHOUSE AND HYDROGEN GAS RESEARCH

The environment is a major concern to the fossil energy program. As more and more emission issues become resolved, global warming and greenhouse gas emissions have moved up in importance. Global warming is a consequence of the heat-retaining property of gases such as carbon dioxide (CO₂), released to the atmosphere from the combustion of fossil fuels for power generation and from the natural decomposition of organic carbons such as fibers, tissues, leaves, and food. Because CO₂ is a heat opaque gas, radiation does not simply pass through it; rather, through various modes of vibration, some of the heat in the radiation is absorbed by CO₂ molecules. Other gases such as methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) share this partial heat-retaining property. Together, they are known as greenhouse gases. The focus of this topic is on reducing the level of greenhouse gases. In addition, because the adoption of hydrogen as a fuel may help mitigate greenhouse gas emissions, this topic also addresses the extraction (or production) of hydrogen from natural gas. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Amine Scrubbing of CO₂—Significant research and development is currently being pursued for new technologies to separate and capture CO₂ from both fuel and flue gas streams. Currently, the amine scrubbing process is the only commercially-available option for removing CO₂ from gas streams related to coal combustion in energy systems. Several amine compositions are commercially available for the process, including monoethylamine (MEA) and diethylamine (DEA). MEA is the most common as it is relatively inexpensive, highly reactive, and offers high capacity and high removal efficiency. However, MEA has a number of drawbacks, including its corrosivity and its reactivity with contaminants commonly found in the process stream (reference 1). In addition to the problems with MEA, the amine scrubbing process has significant costs, both capital and operating, due to the complexity of its systems (reference 2). Grant applications are sought to develop technology needed to address these shortcomings. Areas of interest include: (1) determining operational and practical issues related to feed stream gas composition and scale-up of aqueous scrubbing systems through non-site-specific design studies; (2) determining the effects of temperature, oxygen, sulfur oxides, nitrogen oxides (NO_x), hydrochloric acid, and ash on such process parameters as amine losses, corrosion, foaming, aerosols, and disposal of waste related to aqueous scrubbing; (3) determining methods or practices to minimize operational costs associated with flue gas desulfurization and amine loss, in particular; (4) determining system designs or operational practices to minimize steam usage and optimize the integration of the aqueous scrubbing system into the steam cycle; and (5) determine scale-up issues and cost to apply an aqueous scrubbing system at one or more actual industrial sites.

b. Post Combustion De-Carbonation—Coal-based power plants account for over 50% of electricity generation capacity in the U.S. Most of these plants use pulverized coal boilers to generate superheated steam for turbine applications. The flue gas stream contains carbon as CO₂, along with nitrogen and other minor components such as SO₂ and NO_x. It is desirable to sequester the CO₂ emission from these plants in order to reduce the buildup of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The first step is to produce a concentrated CO₂ stream ready for sequestration, since its concentration in the flue gas is only in the 10-15% range. Although some commercial technologies, which use chemical/physical solvents such as amines, are available for effecting CO₂ separation, these processes are both capital intensive and high in operating costs.

Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop alternative technologies that can substantially lower the cost of CO₂ separation from the flue gas. Among the candidate technologies, some data has already been developed for membrane separations and solid adsorbents (reference 1). Grant applications should demonstrate familiarity with both current commercial technologies and ongoing work in new technology research, discuss how the presence of minor flue gas components would affect the new technologies, and account for the effect of flue gas conditions such as flow rate and temperature.

c. Non-Carbon Dioxide (Non-CO₂) Greenhouse Gas Reduction—Until recently, efforts to understand and reduce the level of greenhouse gases have focused on carbon dioxide sequestration, more efficient use of carbon fuels, and lower carbon-content fuels. Recent publications by Hansen, et al., have shed new light on the importance of non-CO₂ contribution to greenhouse effects. Grant applications are sought to develop technology that could significantly reduce the escape to the atmosphere of two of these non-CO₂ gases: methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O). Areas of interest include the reduction of these emissions from oil and gas exploration and production, coal mines, landfills, refineries, rice cultivation, enteric fermentation, fertilizer utilization, manure, residue burning, biomass production and use, and other sources.

d. Conversion of Natural Gas to Hydrogen—U.S. demand for hydrogen is now about 9 million tons per year (reference 1) and is anticipated to greatly expand as the Nation moves toward a hydrogen economy. Currently, the principal uses of hydrogen include petroleum refining and manufacture of nitrogen fertilizers. As a primary hydrogen source, many refineries rely on the hydrogen that is a byproduct from naphtha catalytic reformers. Supplemental hydrogen, as well as hydrogen used in fertilizer and petrochemical manufacturing, is produced primarily from the catalytic steam reforming of natural gas. Natural gas, which has a favorable hydrogen to carbon ratio (4:1) compared to coal (0.7:1) or biomass (1:1), is the most affordable near term resource for producing large amounts of hydrogen. Current commercial technology (via SMR) produces hydrogen from methane at a cost of \$5.54/MM Btu, with a feed natural gas price of \$3.15/MM Btu. This figure does not include the costs of carbon sequestration, which is the major driving force toward a hydrogen economy. In order for hydrogen to become a viable fuel for motor vehicle use, the cost of hydrogen production must be reduced.

Current DOE and industry funded research is developing technology that will simplify the production of hydrogen from natural gas by combining two steps – air separation to produce oxygen and partial oxidation of natural gas to produce synthesis gas – into one, leading to lower costs and increased efficiencies. Also, membranes to separate hydrogen from hot synthesis gas are being developed. The goal is to reduce the cost of hydrogen production from natural gas by 25% over the next ten years. This is a considerable task, since, over a period of decades, the petroleum and chemical industries have optimized the current technology on an operating and capital cost basis.

Grant applications are sought to develop new, non-microbial, technologies for the production of hydrogen from natural gas. Areas of interest include catalysis (syngas and/or water-gas shift), membrane and other separation processes, and reactor systems. Hydrogen production processes that also enable the separation of carbon (as carbon dioxide or elemental carbon) are of particular interest. Cost effectiveness, compared to currently available hydrogen production technologies (references 2 and 3) must be demonstrated. The process must produce hydrogen at lower cost (capital and operating) and higher efficiency than steam reforming technology.

References:

Subtopic a: Amine Scrubbing of CO₂

1. *Carbon Dioxide Capture from Power Stations*, Technical Report, London: International Energy Agency (IEA) Greenhouse Gas Programme, 1994. (ISBN: 1 898373 15 9) (Full text available at: <http://www.ieagreen.org.uk/sr2p.htm>)
2. *Carbon Sequestration Technology Roadmap and Program Plan*, U.S. DOE National Energy Technology Laboratory, 2003. (Full text available at: <http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/sequestration/pubs/Sequestration%20Roadmap%203-13-03amfinal.pdf>)

Subtopic b: Post Combustion De-Carbonation

3. Kerr, Helen, “CO₂ Capture Project: Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage Challenges”, *11th Annual National Model System/Annual Energy Outlook Conference*, Washington, DC, March 18, 2003. (Full text available at: <http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/sequestration/pubs/Sequestration%20Roadmap%203-13-03amfinal.pdf>)

Subtopic c: Non-Carbon Dioxide (Non-CO₂) Greenhouse Gas Reduction

4. *Final Report on U.S. Methane Emissions 1990-2020: Inventories, Projections, and Opportunities for Reductions*, September 1999. (Report No. EPA430-R-99-013) (Available on the Web at: <http://www.epa.gov/ghginfo/reports/index.htm>. Scroll down to title.)
5. Hansen, J. E. and Sato, M., "Trends of Measured Climate Forcing Agents," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.*, 98(26):14778-14783, December 18, 2001. (ISSN: 0027-8424)
6. Hansen, J. E., "The Forcing Agents Underlying Climate Change: An Alternative Scenario for Climate Change in the 21st Century," Testimony to U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation, May 1, 2001. (Full text available at: <http://commerce.senate.gov/hearings/hearings01.htm>. Scroll down to May 1, and select author's name.)
7. Houghton, J. T., et al., eds., *Climate Change 2001*, produced by Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, August 2001. (ISBN: 0521807670) (Full text available at: <http://www.ipcc.ch/>)
8. *Methane and Other Gases*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air and Radiation, <http://www.epa.gov/ghginfo/>

Subtopic d: Conversion of Natural Gas to Hydrogen

9. *National Hydrogen Energy Roadmap*, U.S. Department of Energy, November 2002. (Full text available at: http://www.afdc.doe.gov/pdfs/national_h2_roadmap.pdf.)
10. *National Energy Technology Laboratory*, U.S. Department of Energy, <http://www.netl.doe.gov>
11. *Hydrogen, Fuel Cells and Infrastructure Technologies Program*, U.S. DOE Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, <http://www.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells>

46. SEQUESTRATION OF CARBON

The world is becoming increasingly concerned about the greenhouse effect, and CO₂ emission is a significant

contributor to it. Hence, the permanent sequestration of CO₂ has become a major world wide goal. In the United States, geologic storage of CO₂ is expected to be an important element of any strategy implemented to reduce the emission of this greenhouse gas to the atmosphere. Other sequestration possibilities also need to be explored, for example in solid and in above-ground vegetation. In order to assure the safety and reliability of these sites to permanently store CO₂, it will be essential to verify and monitor such sequestration. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Identifying Suitable CO₂ Storage Sites of Verifiable Integrity and Quantified Capacity—Storage sites for carbon dioxide must be identified and verified so that they will be available for use when needed. It also must be assured that the sites will not leak and that safe storage pressures can be determined. Both power plant operators and government oversight entities will require the means for screening and selecting these storage sites. Grant applications are sought to develop sophisticated tools to evaluate the suitability of potential storage sites such as saline aquifers, depleted oil and gas reservoirs, and unmined coal seams. The storage site parameters that require determination include multi-phase flow, potential leakage, ultimate storage capacity, and the dissolution of CO₂ in or its adsorption on various media which might be present (e.g., saline water, oil, or coal). An inventory of potential sites, along with the determination of pertinent attributes for each, could serve as a national register for carbon sequestration.

b. Sequestration of CO₂ in the Outer Layers of the Earth's Crust—Large volume locations for sequestering CO₂ have generally not been found. Underground disposal is appealing, but so far the only attractive possibilities are storage in depleted gas wells or storage in saline water wells. Unfortunately, these geologic features are few and far between. An alternative type of underground site must offer an opportunity for the chemical bonding of CO₂ to some material that is existent underground. One promising candidate would be a deposit of one or more of the mineral silicates – e.g., calcium silicate (AlKSiO₃) or magnesium silicate (Mg₂SiO₄). The reaction of CO₂ with silicates of this type results in the conversion of the mineral into an insoluble carbonate and the precipitation of SiO₂, which drives the reaction to completion. The CO₂ would be thus permanently sequestered.

Grant applications are sought to develop alternative types of underground CO₂ sequestration. Initial tests

should be conducted in a test mock-up or at qualifying terrestrial location for the above system, either for the one of the silicate systems described above or for an alternative and novel means of sequestration. In these systems, care must be taken to avoid injecting the CO₂ at an excessively high rate; otherwise, it could cumulate underground, leading to a sudden, large discharge of CO₂ with adverse health impacts to workers and/or passers-by. Therefore, the selected approach must include the ability to determine the maximum pressure for CO₂ delivery, in order to assure that the rate of injection of CO₂ into the selected area is limited to the rate at which the CO₂ reacts with the underground mineral.

c. Regenerable Processes for CO₂ Capture—Flue gas produced from fossil fuel combustion consists of, nominally, 15% CO₂ and 82% nitrogen, with the remainder mostly oxygen. This CO₂ concentration is far too low to be sequestered directly; therefore, the CO₂ must first be concentrated to over 90%, or near purity, in order to be sequestered economically by any sequestration methods. Currently, chemical solvent scrubbing processes, such as the monoethanolamine (MEA) process, are the most widely used CO₂ capture technologies. In the MEA process, the MEA aqueous solution absorbs CO₂ at 100°F or slightly higher, and when the CO₂-rich MEA solution is heated to approximately 250°F, the CO₂ gas is released in high purity. The MEA process is termed “regenerable” because the CO₂ is absorbed at low temperature and then released at a higher temperature. The regenerated, high purity CO₂ gas stream could now be sequestered by a variety of technologies, and the regenerated MEA solution that remains is now in a CO₂-lean condition, which can be reused for CO₂ capture.

An alternative regenerable process for CO₂, using aqua ammonia (consisting of ammonia gas (NH₃), and an NH₄OH solution), offers the possibility of much lower costs during the regeneration phase due to the higher CO₂ loading capacity of aqua ammonia compared to the MEA solution. However, the complex chemistry that occurs when CO₂ is dissolved in aqua ammonia solution is poorly understood – many species co-exist in the solution in an unstable transition. These species could include, but not limited to, ammonium bicarbonate, ammonium carbonate, and ammonium carbamate (all in crystal and aqueous solution forms), plus CO₂, NH₃, and NH₄OH. These byproducts, especially ammonium bicarbonate, could be used as fertilizer or decomposed by heating. During regeneration by heating, the CO₂ could be released from the ammonium

bicarbonate/carbonate solution and become available for sequestering. Meanwhile, the ammonium-containing solution could be recycled in a continuous process for reuse as a CO₂ absorbent.

Grant applications are sought to develop further improvements to the aqua ammonia process as a CO₂ concentrator. Approaches must include the development of a three-phase (solid, liquid, and gaseous) diagram of the NH₃ – CO₂ – H₂O system at different temperatures, which would be an invaluable tool in determining optimum operating conditions for the process (including both the absorption and regeneration phases). For example, during the absorption phase, it would be desirable to maximize CO₂ absorption, thus maximizing ammonium byproduct formation; the situation would be reversed during the regeneration phase. If the low-cost CO₂ capture of CO₂ could be developed, the potential capture market would be immeasurable.

d. Instrumentation Systems for Monitoring and Verifying Carbon Sequestration in Terrestrial Systems—New, low-cost methods for determining and verifying carbon sequestration are needed to lower the overall cost of carbon sequestration. Grant applications are sought for new reliable, low-cost instrumentation, diagnostic tools, and measurement systems that can be used to monitor and verify the sequestration of carbon in soil and in above-ground vegetation.

In the soil, low cost technologies are sought that are capable of measuring carbon at one-tenth of the cost and time required to analyze samples with using current methods. Systems must be capable of measuring carbon concentrations at 0.1 percent or less, with a measurement accuracy of ±10%. The soil carbon must be measured at a minimum within the top 30 cm, with measurement at 100 cm below the surface desired. The system can either take *in-situ* measurements or incorporate soil sampling (soil core) techniques for later analyses. Soil sampling devices that are capable of measuring other soil properties (e.g., bulk density and the concentration of such nutrients as phosphorus, nitrates-nitrogen, potassium, magnesium, calcium, and iron) along with the carbon will be considered an advantage. The measurement of these other properties may improve farming practices and reduce fertilizer use, thereby increasing carbon storage and decreasing other greenhouse gas emissions such as nitrous oxide. In addition, the measurement of soil bulk density may be required to determine the mass of carbon in a sample. The bulk density measurement precision should be 0.1g/cm³ with an accuracy of ±10%.

For above-ground vegetation systems, new technologies are needed to measure the carbon stored in above ground vegetation on carbon sequestration projects. The technology must be able to reduce the costs of measuring above ground carbon stored in biomass to 1/10 the cost of current field methods. In trees, current methods use the diameter at breast height to estimate the amount of biomass, and subsequently carbon. However, new remote sensing technologies, which offer the most immediate potential, require that other parameters be used (such as crown diameter and height of the vegetation) to estimate carbon content. The technology must be appropriate for estimating carbon stocks over large geographic areas (10,000+ acres). Because many of these sequestration projects also provide the potential for forest products, technologies that also can estimate forest product potential will be considered advantageous compared to those that only measure carbon storage.

References:

Subtopic a: Identifying Suitable CO₂ Storage Sites of Verifiable Integrity and Quantified Capacity

1. Beecy, D. A., "A Perspective on the Potential Role of Geologic Options in a National Carbon Management Strategy," presented at the *First National Conference on Carbon Sequestration*, 2001. (Full text available at: http://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings/01/carb_on_seq/1a1.pdf)

Subtopic b: Sequestration of CO₂ in the Outer Layers of the Earth's Crust

2. Liu, Z. and Dreybrodt, W., "Dissolution kinetics of calcium carbonate minerals in H₂O-CO₂ solutions in turbulent flow: The role of the diffusion boundary layer and the slow reaction $\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{HCO}_3^-$," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 61:14, 2879-2889, 1997. (ISSN: 0016-7037) (For ordering information and to view abstract, see: <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/issn/00167037>)
3. Caldeira, K. G. and Rau, G. H., "Geoengineering Earth's Radiation Balance to Mitigate CO₂-Induced Climate Change," *Geophysical Research Letters*, 7(2):225-228, December 15, 2000. (ISSN: 0094-8276) (Available at: <http://www.agu.org/journals/gl/>)
4. Rau, G. H. and Caldeira, K., "Enhanced carbonate dissolution: a means of sequestering waste CO₂ as ocean bicarbonate," *Energy Conversion & Management*, 40(17):1803-1813, November 1999. (ISSN: 0196-8904) (Full text available at Science Direct Web site: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/>. Using

"Quick Search," search for title within "All Full-text Sources.")

5. Downs, W. and Sarv, H., "CO₂ Capture and Sequestration Using a Novel Limestone Lagoon Scrubber," *2nd Ohio Carbon Dioxide Reduction, Capture, & Sequestration Forum, Lancaster, OH, April 2002*. (MS Powerpoint presentation available at: <http://www.ohiocoal.org/co2symposium/2002/agenda.html>. Scroll down to "SECTION II, 1:20 – 1:40," and click on title.)

Subtopic c: Regenerable Processes for CO₂ Capture

6. Yeh, J. T., et al., "Aqua Ammonia Process for Simultaneous Reduction of CO₂, SO₂, and NO_x," *19th Annual International Pittsburgh Coal Conference, Pittsburgh, PA, September 23-27, 2002*. (Available from author, James Yeh: james.yeh@netl.doe.gov)
7. Dorchak, T., et al., "Method to Regenerate Ammonia for the Capture of Carbon Dioxide," *Energy and Fuel*, 16(4):904-910, 2002. (ISSN: 0887-0624)
8. Yeh, A. C. and Bai, H., "Comparison of Ammonia and Monoethanolamine Solvents to Reduce CO₂ Greenhouse Gas Emissions," *The Science of the Total Environment*, 228(2-3):121-133, April 1999. (ISSN: 0048-9697) (Ordering information and abstract available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/>. Using "Quick Search," search for title within "All Full-text Sources.")
9. Bai, H., and Yeh, A. C., "Removal of CO₂ Greenhouse Gas by Ammonia Scrubbing," *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 36(6):2490-2493, 1997. (ISSN: 0888-5885)

Subtopic d: Instrumentation Systems for Monitoring and Verifying Carbon Sequestration in Terrestrial Systems

10. *Carbon Sequestration Technology Roadmap: Pathways to Sustainable Use of Fossil Energy* U.S. DOE National Energy Technology Laboratory, 2003, <http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/sequestration/>
11. *Soil Survey Laboratory Methods Manual*, Soil Survey Investigations Report No. 42 - Version 3.0, U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)-National Resources Conservation Service/National Soil Survey Center, January 1996. (Full text available at: <http://soils.usda.gov/procedures/lmm/ssir42.pdf>)

12. Vine, E. and Sathaye, J., *The Monitoring, Evaluation, Reporting, and Verification, of Climate Change Mitigation Projects: Discussion of Issues and Methodologies and Review of Existing Protocols and Guidelines*, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, prepared for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, December 1997. (Full text available at: <http://yosemite.epa.gov/oar/globalwarming.nsf/content/ResourceCenterPublicationsReferenceMERVCRReportMethods.html>.)

47. NATURAL GAS AND OIL TECHNOLOGIES

The Department of Energy (DOE) seeks innovative methods and concepts that will contribute to more efficient and economic processes for the recovery and utilization of natural gas and oil. Much of the known reserves of natural gas in the United States cannot be recovered by conventional means, and advanced technologies will be required for extraction. In addition, the utilization of natural gas can be further enhanced by new technology for storage and delivery. With respect to oil, advanced imaging systems are needed to better characterize reservoirs. **Grant applications are sought only in the following subtopics:**

a. Natural Gas Sustainability—In the United States, the economical production of natural gas from conventional and low permeability gas resources will require additional advances in exploration and production technologies. New diagnostic and imaging technologies will be needed to assess the effectiveness of new extraction techniques related to drilling, completion, and stimulation. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop: (1) advanced seismic-while-drilling technology systems to incorporate advances in high-temperature (above 400 °F) electronics currently under development at the Department of Energy’s National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL); (2) advanced well logging tools for improved detection of mobile fluid saturations and net pay thickness in thinly bedded sandstone-shale sequences associated with low-permeability tight sands; (3) better borehole and near-borehole systems (including improved acoustic, optical, and electrical tools) for imaging fractures and thin beds that standard logging tools cannot detect – these imaging systems should be capable of measuring fracture dimensions and other properties, such as azimuth, density, spacing, length, flow aperture, saturation, connectivity, and *in situ* stress. Grant applications are also sought for improved multicomponent seismic data analysis, interpretation, and application techniques for

detecting variations in lithology, porosity, pore fluid content (i.e. discriminating oil, water, and gas), and bulk reservoir properties by the joint analysis of information derived from s-waves and p-waves. Partnering with industry is encouraged. Applicants are encouraged to review related information on the NETL Website at <http://www.netl.doe.gov/scng/index.html>.

b. Natural Gas Storage—The current distributed electric generation model in the United States is designed to provide emergency and stand-by power to minimize the impact of electric outages. This service is dominated by diesel generating equipment because of the need for on-site fuel storage. Penetration into this market by mini-turbine and fuel cell systems, which can provide not only emergency/stand-by service but also can contribute to base loads and peak needs, will require safe and efficient means of storing natural gas. On-site natural gas storage would provide protection against electric utility outages and supplement the natural gas arriving via the servicing pipeline during periods of peak demand or at times of low pipeline pressure or availability. Therefore, grant applications are sought to develop and evaluate technology for safe and efficient small-scale, on-site storage of natural gas for distributed electric generation. Partnering with industry is encouraged. Applicants are encouraged to review related information on the NETL Website at <http://www.netl.doe.gov/scng/index.html>.

c. Natural Gas Delivery Reliability—Maintaining the integrity and reliability of the natural gas distribution and transmission systems across the United States is essential to ensure the availability of clean, affordable energy for homes, businesses, and industries. Natural gas consumption in the U. S. is projected to reach or exceed 36 trillion cubic feet (TCF) per year by 2025, increasing from 22 TCF per year in 1997, and this increase will require not only that the existing natural gas infrastructure be maintained but also that it be expanded. DOE’s NETL, through the Strategic Center for Natural Gas (SCNG), recently initiated a new program, Delivery Reliability for Natural Gas, to provide research and development for maintaining and enhancing the integrity and reliability of the nation’s gas transmission and distribution network. Grant applications are sought to develop: (1) advanced non-metallic pipeline materials (although some metallic constituents would be acceptable), capable of containing higher pressures (above 300 psi) and suitable for fabrication into pipes from 6 to 20 inches in diameter; (2) sensors, that can be incorporated into or onto the pipe, that detect unauthorized right-of-way intrusion prior to pipeline damage; or (3) improved technologies

or tools for repair of damaged pipe – both metallic (i.e., steel) and non-metallic (i.e., polyethylene) – and suitable for small openings, meaning that “keyhole” or “micro-excavation” technologies would be of particular interest. Applicants are encouraged to review the document “Pathways for Enhanced Integrity, Reliability and Deliverability” (available on the NETL website at <http://www.netl.doe.gov/scng/publications/t&d/naturalg.pdf>; and the update to that document “Roadmap Update for Natural Gas Infrastructure Reliability” at http://www.netl.doe.gov/scng/publication/t&d/Pittsburgh%20Roadmap%20Update_3-15-02_Final_1.pdf, which summarizes a NETL-sponsored road mapping session to identify priority research needs for the natural gas pipeline infrastructure.

d. Oil Sands and Oil Shale—There are potentially significant amounts of hydrocarbon available in oil sands and oil shale within the United States. Despite several attempts in the past to recover these hydrocarbons, there has not been much success. Grant applications are sought to develop new or improved methods for recovering hydrocarbons from oil sands and oil shale. The research project must include the identification of specific oil sand and oil shale locations within the United States, a review of past and current technology used for their recovery, and a determination of the amount of hydrocarbons available from these locations, updating previous accounts as appropriate.

References:

Subtopic a: Natural Gas Sustainability, and Subtopic b: Natural Gas Storage

1. *The Strategic Center for Natural Gas*, U.S. DOE National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), <http://www.netl.doe.gov/scng/index.html>

Subtopic c: Natural Gas Delivery Reliability

2. *Natural Gas Infrastructure Reliability: Pathways for Enhanced Integrity, Reliability and Deliverability*, U.S. DOE Office of Fossil Energy and NETL, September 2000. (Full text available at: <http://www.netl.doe.gov/scng/publications/t&d/naturalg.pdf>)

3. *Roadmap Update for Natural Gas Infrastructure Reliability: Workshop Proceedings, Pittsburgh, PA, January 29-30, 2002*, U.S. DOE Office of Fossil Energy and NETL, 2002. (Update to # 2 above. Summarizes NETL-sponsored road mapping session to identify priority research needs for the natural gas pipeline infrastructure.) (Full text available at: <http://www.netl.doe.gov/scng/news/2002/4-02news.html>)

Subtopic d: Oil Sands and Oil Shale

4. *U.S. Petroleum Refining: assuring the adequacy and affordability of cleaner fuels*, Washington, DC: National Petroleum Council, June 2000. (LCCN: 00-105784) (OCLC No. 46609652) (Executive Summary available at: <http://www.npc.org/reports/RefExSum.pdf>)
5. Altgelt, K. H. and Boduszynski, M. M., *Composition and Analysis of Heavy Petroleum Fractions*, Marcel Dekker Inc., 1994. (ISBN: 0824789466)
6. Gray, M. R., *Upgrading Petroleum Residues and Heavy Oils*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1994. (ISBN: 0824792114)
7. Maples, R. E., *Petroleum Refinery Process Economics*, PennWell Corp., 1993. (ISBN: 087814384) (2nd ed., PennWell Corp., 2000. ISBN: 0878147799)
8. Worthington, P. F., “Reservoir Characterization at the Mesoscopic Scale,” *Reservoir Characterization II: Proceedings of the 2nd International Reservoir Characterization Technical Conference, Dallas, TX, 1989*, pp. 123-165, San Diego: Academic Press, 1991. (ISBN: 0124340660)
9. Yildirim, E., “Oil Sands Development in Canada,” *Heavy Oil—A Major Energy Source for the 21st Century: Proceedings of the 7th UNITAR International Conference on Heavy Crude and Tar Sands, Beijing, China, October 27-30, 1998*, 2 Vols., Beijing, China: Petroleum Industry Press/Tulsa, OK: UNITAR, 1998. (Paper No. 1998.227) (Full 11-page text available at: <http://www.oildrop.org/Info/Centre/Lib/7thConf/19980227.pdf?id=6esnr>)
10. Ball, D., et al., eds., *IOCC Monograph Series: Tar Sands*, Oklahoma City: Interstate Oil Compact Commission, January, 1982. (LCCN: 82-83004) (OCLC No. 9165049)
11. Benyon, B. M. and Pemberton, S. G., “Resource Characterization of the Lower Cretaceous Brand Rapids Formation: reservoir geology and hydrocarbon distribution, Cold Lake Oil Sands Area, Alberta,” *AOSTRA Journal of Research*, 8(2):108-130, 1992. (ISSN: 0822-2509)

12. Wightman, D. and Berezniuk, T., "Resources characterization and depositional modeling of the Clearwater Formation, Cold Lake Oil Sands Area, East Central Alberta," *The Canadian Heavy Oil Association Reservoir Handbook*, pp. 267-282, Calgary, AB: Canadian Heavy Oil Association, January 1991. (ISBN: 0-9695213-0-8) (For more information, contact the Alberta Oil Sands Information Service. Telephone: 403-297-5221. E-mail: Aleksandra.Bizon@gov.ab.ca)
13. Allen, T. O. and Roberts, A. P., *Production Operation: well completions, workover and stimulation*, 2nd ed., Vol. 2, Chapt. 4: "Sand Control," (pp. 35-62); Vol. 2, Chapt. 5: "Formation Damage," (pp. 63-76), Tulsa OK: Oil and Gas Consultants International, 1982. (ISBN: 0930972007)
14. Maly, G. P. and Krueger, R. F., "Improper formation sampling leads to improper selection of gravel size," *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, 23:1403-1408, December 1971. (ISSN: 0149-2136)
15. Saucier, R. J., "Considerations in Gravel Pack Design," *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, 26:205-212, February 1974. (ISSN: 0149-2136)
16. Schwartz, D. H., "Successful Sand Control Design for High Rate Oil and Water Wells," *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*, 21(9):1193-1198, September 1969. (ISSN: 0021-9487)
17. McKay, G., et al., "Harding, a field case study: sand control strategy for ultra high productivity and injectivity wells," *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*, 40(5):60-66, May 2001. (ISSN 0021-9487)
18. Tague, J. R., "Clay Stabilization Improves Sand Control," paper presented at the 2000 SPE/AAPG (Society of Petroleum Engineers/American Association of Petroleum Geologists) Western Regional Meeting, Long Beach, CA, June 19-23, 2000, Dallas, TX: SPE, Inc., 2000. (SPE 62524) (Available from SPE. Telephone: 800-456-6863. E-mail: spedal@spe.org.)